

Under the Sergei Magnitsky Rule of Law Accountability Act. Submission of alleged candidates

Categories defined by the Sergei Magnitsky Rule of Law Accountability Act:

(A) is responsible for the detention, abuse, or death of Sergei Magnitsky;

(B) participated in efforts to conceal the legal liability for the detention, abuse, or death of Sergei Magnitsky;

(C) benefitted financially from the detention, abuse, or death of Sergei Magnitsky;

(D) was involved in the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Sergei Magnitsky

Name	Date of birth	Title	Organization	Category	Alleged role	Alleged details	Extract from available material	Date of material	Item	Link
GENERAL PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE										
Yuri Yakovlevich Chaika	21-May-51	General Prosecutor	GPO	A	In his position as General Prosecutor of Russia, was responsible for the oversight of decisions made by his subordinate prosecutors concerning applications about the violations of Mr Magnitsky's rights in custody, and the non-investigation of the complicity of officials in the thefts of Hermitage Fund companies and \$230 million uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	1. In his complaint to court three months before his death, Sergei Magnitsky named General Prosecutor Chaika personally responsible for the breaches of his constitutional rights, the failure of the prosecutor's office to intervene and cease his rights violations in custody in spite of the authority for doing so and the numerous complaints filed by Mr Magnitsky with the General Prosecutor's Office detailing the violations and seeking redress	S.L. Magnitsky: "On 10 June 2009, I appealed to General Prosecutor of Russia with a complaint against acts of deputy head of department of the General Prosecutor's Office of Russia in charge of the oversight over especially important cases A.I. Pechegin...In accordance with p.1 of Article 124 of the Criminal Procedural Code of Russia, prosecutors shall consider the complaint within 3 days of receipt...In spite of the requirements of the law stated above until now I am not informed by General Prosecutor of Russia about the results of the consideration of my complaint from 10 June 2009 and about the decision made in relation to it. I consider the said inaction by the General Prosecutor of Russia to be unlawful and breaching my constitutional rights under Articles 33, 45, and 52 of the Constitution of Russia, and accordingly I file a complaint against this inaction and ask the court to deem unlawful the inaction of the General Prosecutor of Russia in the form of failure to consider my complaint from 10 June 2009, and failure to notify me within the timeframe stipulated by the law about the decision in relation to my complaint."	17-Aug-09	Complaint by Magnitsky to court naming General Prosecutor Chaika responsible for his rights violations in custody	D1603.pdf
						2. In the crime report addressed to General Prosecutor Chaika, Hermitage Fund lawyers sought an investigation of the theft of Hermitage Fund companies and the fraudulent fabrication of multi-million dollar liabilities against them in St. Petersburg court, and an investigation of the abetting of the fraud by Interior Ministry officers and judges	To General Prosecutor of Russia ...Chaika: "Dear Yuri Yakovlevich. The most egregious violations of the law caused me to apply to you with this complaint. Documents of commercial companies seized during a search under a criminal case were used to attempt a theft of funds exceeding 9 billion rubles!"	3-Dec-07	Report of the crime - theft of Hermitage Fund's companies - addressed to General Prosecutor Chaika	D1001.pdf

					3. In the crime report addressed to General Prosecutor Chaika, Hermitage Fund representative sought an investigation of the theft of \$230 million paid in taxes by Hermitage Fund companies	To General Prosecutor of Russia: "I now report the following further events connected to those set out in my previous Request. These events lead me to reasonably believe that the Russian subsidiaries of Glendora Holdings and Kone Holdings [Hermitage Fund subsidiaries]...misappropriated from Glendora Holdings and Kone Holdings through fraudulent lawsuits and transactions reported to you on 10 December 2007, have been used as vehicles for fraudulently obtaining tax monies properly paid to into the Russian Federation budget in the amount of more than 5.4 billion RUR. Given the scale of the apparent theft and the nature of the crime, which affects interests both of the Russian state and of the Hermitage Fund, a major foreign investor in Russia, Glendora Holdings and Kone Holdings request that you organise an objective and independent investigation in Russia of the facts, take the investigation of these facts under your personal control and keep us informed of the progress of the investigation."	25-Jul-08	Report of the crime - theft of \$230 million - addressed to General Prosecutor Chaika	D1002.pdf
					4. In response to the Hermitage complaint about the improper investigation of the theft of Hermitage Fund's companies and the conflict of interest in the investigation, senior aid to General Prosecutor A.K. Bumazhkin informed that no crimes were found in actions of the false directors Markelov, Kurochkin and Khlebnikov and they had been freed from prosecution for the theft of Hermitage Fund's companies. He also confirmed that the investigative outcomes were controlled by the General Prosecutor's Office	A.K. Bumazhkin: "On 11 June 2008, the criminal prosecution of Markelov, Kurochkin and Khlebnikov was discontinued...The results are under control of the General Prosecutor's Office."	18-Aug-08	Letter from General Prosecutor's Office on the release from prosecution of the false directors of Hermitage Fund's companies	D1003.pdf
					5. In response to the Hermitage application seeking an investigation of the criminal conspiracy, which under a pretext of a criminal case seized Hermitage corporate documents to perpetrate thefts, prosecutor A.I. Pechegin from the General Prosecutor's Office stated that no violations occurred during the search or the criminal cases under investigation and confirmed that the proceedings were controlled by the General Prosecutor's Office	"The decision to open a criminal case is lawful and justified... During the investigation of this criminal case [No 151231 concerning Kameya] on the basis of the investigator's decree on 4.07.2007 a search was conducted... during which founding and financial documents of OOO Rilend, OOO Parfenion and OOO Makhaon that have significance for the investigation had been seized. The seized documents ...are stored with the case. There were no violations of the norms of the criminal procedural legislation during the search...The course of the investigation of the criminal cases is under control."	21-Aug-08	Letter from General Prosecutor's Office finding no violations in seizing the documents of Hermitage Fund's companies	D1011.pdf
					6. In the letter addressed to General Prosecutor Chaika five months before Mr Magnitsky's death, the International Bar Association requested to look into the lawfulness of custody of Mr Magnitsky and the criminal prosecution of another lawyer for Hermitage Fund, Mr Khairetdinov expressing concern that they breached legal covenants protecting lawyers from intimidation and harrassment	"We have received information that on 24 November 2008, one of the HSBC and Hermitage legal advisers working at the law firm Firestone Duncan, Mr Sergey Magnitskiy, was arrested following a search of his home and office. Prior to his arrest, Mr Magnitskiy had apparently given witness statements detailing the complicity of Interior Ministry officials in the alleged frauds against HSBC and Hermitage. We understand that he has since been held without bail in a Moscow pre-trial detention facility... We urge you to look into the actions taken against the lawyers who are defending the Hermitage Fund and more specifically, the pre-trial detention of Mr. Magnitskiy."	8-Jun-09	Letter addressed to General Prosecutor Chaika from the International Bar Association concerning Mr Magnitsky's arrest	D1604.pdf

					7. In the letter addressed to General Prosecutor Chaika four months before Mr Magnitsky's death, the Law Society of England and Wales raised concern about the arrest of Mr Magnitsky and the criminal prosecution of another lawyer for the Hermitage Fund, Mr Khairetdinov	"Among the various reports, we have received information that on 24 November 2008, one of the HSBC and Hermitage legal advisers working at the law firm Firestone Duncan, Mr Sergei Magnitskiy, was arrested following a search of his home and office. Prior to his arrest, Mr Magnitskiy had apparently given witness statements alleging the complicity of Interior Ministry officials in the alleged frauds against HSBC and Hermitage. We understand that he has since been held without bail in a Moscow pre-trial detention facility. In parallel to this it is alleged that the Interior Ministry has opened a criminal case against another independent lawyer representing HSBC and Hermitage Fund, Mr Eduard Khairetdinov, on the grounds that his representation of the interests of the Hermitage Fund's Russian investments is improper because he was acting under a power of attorney issued by HSBC officials who - having been removed (allegedly by fraud) as directors of the Russian companies - were no longer technically empowered to issue the power of attorney after the theft...On behalf of the Law Society, I respectfully urge you to look into the actions taken against the lawyers who are defending the Hermitage Fund and more specifically, the pre-trial detention of Mr. Magnitskiy."	24-Jul-09	Letter addressed to General Prosecutor Chaika from the Law Society for England and Wales concerning Mr Magnitsky's arrest	D1605.pdf
					8. As part of the preparation of the report by the Council of Europe "Allegations of Politically Motivated Abuses of the Criminal Justice System in Council of Europe Member States", Special Rapporteur addressed questions to the General Prosecutor's office in Russia concerning Mr Magnitsky's arrest and his rights violations in custody seven months before his death, with unsatisfactory answers provided	"According to Mr Magnitsky's lawyers, he has not been questioned even once during the four months since his detention was sanctioned by the court on 26 November 2008; the detention, in inhuman and degrading conditions,106 was extended for another three months on 13 March 2009 on the basis of the need to carry out the same pre-trial investigative actions that were given as a reason to detain him in the first place....I had included questions on the alleged harassment of HSBC/Hermitage lawyers and the detention of Sergei Magnitsky in my letters to the head of the Investigative Committee and to the Prosecutor General. The reply from the Investigative Committee confirmed that Mr Magnitsky was heard as a witness in one particular criminal case but insisted that no coercive measures had been taken against him and, in particular, that he was "not detained". ...The answer received from the Prosecutor General's office regarding this case is more precise [than from the Investigative Committee] in that it recognises the fact of Mr Magnitsky's detention and indicates on what charges he is being held – a criminal case lodged on 4 October 2004 by investigators of the Ministry of Internal Affairs of the Kalmykh Republic for tax evasion. But it does not explain why he was arrested in November 2008 and was not interrogated once for several months."	7-Aug-09	Council of Europe Report discussing the Hermitage case and the response from General Prosecutor's Office on Mr Magnitsky	D1606.pdf
					9. In the complaint addressed to General Prosecutor Chaika two months before Mr Magnitsky's death, his lawyers reported the denial of medical care and the physical and psychological pressure on him in custody to give false testimony and sought an intervention from the General Prosecutor's Office in accordance with its authority over the observance of human rights in detention	"S.L. Magnitsky does not consider himself guilty in committing this crime, because he did not commit any tax violations and crimes. The criminal prosecution opened up against him in fact is a retribution...Recognising the baselessness of their claims, the investigation has in fact organised the exertion of physical and psychological pressure on S.L. Magnitsky with the aim to suppress his will and subsequently to force a false testimony against himself and other persons...S.L. Magnitsky on many occasions complained against the violations of his rights by the administration of detention center: 1. the non provision of the primary medical examination and sanitation upon arrival to the detention center; 2. the non provision of medical care which was expressed itself in that for the first time he was seen by a doctor only 30 days after he had filed a written application about it, the non-provision of the medical examination and planned surgery prescribed earlier."	11-Sep-09	Complaint to General Prosecutor Chaika from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers about pressure on him in custody to testify	D1004.pdf

					10. In response to the application from the Law Society of England and Wales addressed to General Prosecutor Chaika, General Prosecutor's office official Mr Pechegin denied any rights violations or ill-treatment of Mr Magnitsky and any wrongdoing in the criminal proceeding against another lawyer for the Hermitage Fund, Mr Khairtudinov	"The General Prosecutor's Office has considered your application from 24.07.2009 about the unlawful and unjustified placement into custody of S.L. Magnitsky under criminal case No 153123 and the unlawful criminal prosecution of attorney E.M. Khairtudinov under criminal case No 360138. The criminal cases No 153123, 360138 are being investigated in accordance with existing legislation, no violations of the criminal procedural legislation during the investigation have occurred."	16-Sep-09	Letter from General Prosecutor's Office finding no violations in Mr Magnitsky's detention and the proceeding against another Hermitage Fund lawyer	D1010.pdf
					11. In the complaint to General Prosecutor Chaika one month before Mr Magnitsky's death, Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Jamison Firestone, reported the numerous violations of his rights in custody and pressure on him to give false testimony and sought intervention, he also reported the pressure on Mr Magnitsky's colleagues, and the falsification of evidence by officials to justify Mr Magnitsky's detention and prosecution	"To General Prosecutor of the Russian Federation Yuri Yakovlevich Chaika...I appeal to you in connection with numerous violations of the Constitution of Russia, the Criminal Procedure Code, federal laws and norms of international law by investigators of the Investigative Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia during the investigation of the criminal case No 153123...5.2. S.L. Magnitsky was offered on numerous occasions to agree that he is guilty of crime that he did not commit and with that purpose physical and psychological pressure was exerted upon him: unbearable conditions that do not meet the requirements of the laws and other normative acts of the Russian Federation were and continue to be created for him deliberately; 5.3. other auditors of Firestone Duncan are being pressured by members of the investigative group: under a threat of criminal prosecution investigator S.V. Oleinik demands from them knowingly false testimony to be given; 5.4. the investigative body is falsifying evidence...The above stated circumstances give you all grounds to seize the criminal case No 153123 from the investigative body, conduct a probe into the facts stated in this application, and forward the case for an impartial investigation to the Investigative Committee of the Prosecutor's Office."	5-Oct-09	Complaint to General Prosecutor Chaika of Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment in custody from his colleague, Jamison Firestone	D1607.pdf
					12. In the reply to the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers, prosecutor Pechegin of the General Prosecutor's Office refused to intervene concerning the reported denial of medical care to Mr Magnitsky and the pressure to testify, denying that it took place	"The probe has established that no physical or psychological pressure was exerted on defendant S.L.Magnitsky...There are no grounds to take measures of prosecutorial response."	9-Oct-09	Letter from General Prosecutor's Office refusing to intervene concerning the pressure and denial of medical care to Mr Magnitsky	D1009.pdf
					13. In the reply to the complaint from Mr Firestone, prosecutor Pechegin of the General Prosecutor's Office refused the complaint and denied that there were any violations of rights and law	"The General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation has considered your application from 05.10.2009 about the unjustified criminal prosecution of S.L. Magnitsky, W.B. Browder under the criminal case No 153123, the lack of impartiality during the preliminary investigation, and on other matters...No violations of the requirements of the criminal procedural legislation have been identified during the investigation of the criminal case. There are no grounds to take measures of prosecutorial response."	27-Oct-09	Reply from General Prosecutor's Office denying any violations of rights of Mr Magnitsky in custody	D1722.pdf

					14. According to prosecutorial record, ten days before Mr Magnitsky's death in custody, General Prosecutor Chaika ordered his deputy Victor Grin to consider the 5 October 2009 application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Jamison Firestone concerning the false arrest and ill-treatment of Mr Magnitsky, which was also raised by the U.S. Ambassador to Russia with the Investigative Committee. The complaint summarised the breaches of rights of Mr Magnitsky to which prosecutors had failed to respond	"Author of document:...Y.Y. Chaika, General Prosecutor of Russia, 6.11.2009. Please in accordance with stipulated procedure organise the consideration of an application from J.R. Firestone. Please inform the applicant on results... To be executed by:...V. Y. Grin, Deputy General Prosecutor (responsible)..."	6-Nov-09	Prosecutorial record of consideration by Chaika of the application from J. Firestone concerning the false arrest and ill-treatment of Mr Magnitsky	D1020.pdf	
				B	In his position as General Prosecutor of Russia, and following an instruction of President Medvedev from 31 May 2011, Mr Chaika was responsible for the oversight of posthumous criminal cases concerning Mr Magnitsky and the investigation of his death in custody	15. At the meeting with General Prosecutor Chaika, the President instructed him to strengthen oversight over Magnitsky cases	"The Head of State ordered the General Prosecutor Yuri Chaika to strengthen oversight over the investigation of criminal cases concerning lawyer Sergei Magnitsky. Statement by Y. Chaika for the press on the results of meeting with President. Y. Chaika: I just received an order from the President of the Russian Federation Dmitry Anatolievich Medvedev to strengthen the prosecutorial oversight over the investigation of this case."	31-May-11	President's website announcement on Prosecutor Chaika being in charge of oversight over the Magnitsky cases	D1608.pdf
					16. Following a meeting of General Prosecutor Chaika with the President, a group of prosecutors was formed responsible for the "strengthened oversight" over cases concerning Magnitsky, including his death investigation (http://genproc.gov.ru/smi/news/archive/news-72164/)	General Prosecutor's Office announcement: "Due to the public resonance on instruction from the President of Russia, the General Prosecutor's Office has created a group of prosecutors comprising employees of different specialised departments in order to strengthen and ensure qualified oversight over the process and results of the investigation of criminal cases by the Investigative Committee of Russia and the Investigative Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia"	1 June 2011	General Prosecutor's Office website announcement on the oversight over the Magnitsky cases	D1609.pdf	
Alexander Emanuelovich Buksman	15-Sep-51	First Deputy Prosecutor	GPO	B	In his position as First Deputy General Prosecutor, endorsed decisions by Deputy General Prosecutor Victor Grin, refused the complaint on behalf of Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking to cease the posthumous allegation falsely naming Mr Magnitsky as a co-conspirator in the \$230 million theft (Interior Ministry case No 678540)	In his decree, Mr Buksman refused the complaint on behalf of Mr Magnitsky's mother under Interior Ministry case No 678540 against unlawful actions of his subordinate, Deputy General Prosecutor Grin, who in excess of his authority, and in spite of evidence to the contrary, posthumously named Mr Magnitsky as a complicit in the \$230 million theft that Magnitsky had uncovered	A.E. Buksman: "There are no grounds to cancel the order of Deputy General Prosecutor of Russia [Grin] and the decree to open a criminal case [No 678540]."	8-Aug-12	Decree by Mr. Buksman refusing to cancel the posthumous allegation against Mr Magnitsky for an alleged complicity in the \$230 million theft	D1012.pdf
		Acting General Prosecutor of Russia			In his position as acting General Prosecutor of Russia, refused to remove Deputy General Prosecutor Grin on the grounds of his conflict of interest from the Magnitsky posthumous case (No 679591)	In his decree, Mr Buksman refused to remove Prosecutor V.Y. Grin from the posthumous case in relation to Mr Magnitsky in view of his partiality and conflict of interest, stating that he found no indication of conflict of interest, in spite of a series of arguments and evidence stated in the complaint on behalf of Mr Magnitsky's mother	A.E. Buksman: "Statements..about the partiality of V.Y. Grin are not supported by any data and are refuted by the materials of the criminal case...No showing of personal, direct or indirect interest, in the outcome of this criminal case has been expressed."	17-Nov-12	Decree by Mr. Buksman refusing to remove prosecutor V.Y. Grin from the posthumous case in relation to Mr Magnitsky	D1013.pdf

Victor Yakovlevich Grin	1-Jan-51	Deputy General Prosecutor	GPO	B	In his position as Deputy General Prosecutor, ordered two posthumous proceedings concerning Mr Magnitsky (case No 679591 and No 678540), and approved a posthumous accusation in relation to Mr Magnitsky under one of them (case No 679591)	1. In his decree ordering to reopen a posthumous proceeding in relation to Mr Magnitsky (No 311578, later assigned No 679591) Mr Grin did not specify any ground for the "rehabilitation", the only lawful reason to keep the case open after a person's death. The decree was also issued exceeding the 14-days statutory deadline under Article 214 of the Russian Criminal Procedure Code, which sets out the prosecutor's authority to cancel decisions to close a criminal case, a year and a half later.	V.Y.Grin: "Investigator must officially find out from close relatives the attitude towards the closure of the criminal case. In case of the absence of their consent, continue the proceeding in the case and consider the question of sending it to the court."	30-Jul-11	Decree by Mr Grin ordering a posthumous proceeding against Mr Magnitsky	D1015.pdf
						Mr Grin approved the posthumous "Accusation Conclusion" in relation to Mr Magnitsky under case No 679591 three years after his death. The signing of the Accusation Conclusion in relation to a deceased person is in breach of Article 6 of the European Human Rights Convention and Article 15 of the Russian Criminal Procedure Code which stipulate the principle of a fair trial.	V.Y. Grin: "Approve... the Accusation Conclusion to accuse Browder William Felix...and in relation to Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich who had been accused of committing crimes stipulated by part 3 and part 5 of Article 33, points a,b, of Part 2 of Article 199, part 3 and part 5 of Article 33, points a,b, of Part 2 of Article 199 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation (in the version of 08.12.2003 No 162 FZ)"	28-Nov-12	Approval by Mr Grin of the posthumous accusation of Mr Magnitsky	D1016.pdf
						In his order to Deputy Interior Minister V.V. Kozhokar to open a new criminal proceeding (later assigned No 678540) into the laundering of stolen \$230 million, Mr Grin falsely posthumously named Mr Magnitsky as a co-conspirator in that theft that he had uncovered, and also named three other individuals, who were deceased and could not verify the order. The order was issued 19 months after Mr Magnitsky's death. The order was issued exceeding authority, citing point 3 of part 2 of Article 37 of the Russian Criminal Procedure Code, which is limited to the Prosecutor's authority during preliminary investigation, and not the time before or after an investigation.	V.Y.Grin to V.V. Kozhokar, Deputy Interior Minister: "On the basis of investigation results, criminally prosecuted were Khlebnikov [entered in court record as a jobless person] and Markelov [entered in court record as a sawmill employee] who having entered into a prior conspiracy with Kurochkin [deceased for 3 years], Korobeinikov [deceased for 3 years], Gasanov [deceased for 4 years] and Magnitsky [deceased for 1.5 years], have committed a theft by deceit."	16-Jun-11	Order by Mr Grin posthumously naming Mr Magnitsky as an alleged co-conspirator in the \$230 million theft he had uncovered	D1017.pdf
				B	In his position as Deputy General Prosecutor, covered up his and others role in Mr Magnitsky's detention, ill-treatment and death	Mr Grin approved the accusation conclusion in relation to Dmitry Kratov, deputy head of Butyrka, for alleged medical negligence which covered up the circumstances of the arrest, torture and beating of Mr Magnitsky and the role of various involved officials, including his own, in Mr Magnitsky's detention, ill-treatment and death	V.Y. Grin: "Approve...the Accusation Conclusion to accuse Dmitry Borisovich Kratov in committing crime under section 2 Article 293 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation [negligence]."	7-Jul-12	Approval by Mr Grin of accusation of Mr Kratov for negligence (on grounds called false by the Magnitsky family)	D1018.pdf

					Mr Grin signed conclusions of the prosecutorial probe which exonerated the Interior Ministry officers who prosecuted Mr Magnitsky. A copy of the conclusion was not provided to the Magnitsky family, in spite of several applications	"Complaint submitted under Article 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code by attorney N.A. Gorokhov in the interests of victim N.N. Magnitskaya to recognise as unlawful the conclusion by Deputy General Prosecutor of Russian Federation V.Y. Grin about the absence of violations of law during the investigation of the criminal case No 153123 shall be sent for consideration to the jurisdiction of city district court of Elista of republic of Kalmykia."	20-Nov-12	Court decision on the non-disclosure to the Magnitsky family of Mr Grin's conclusion exonerating Interior Ministry officers who prosecuted Mr Magnitsky	D1019.pdf	
				A	In his position as Deputy General Prosecutor, failed to oversee the observance of rights of Mr Magnitsky in custody and to promptly intervene to provide redress in response to complaints	On 6 November 2009, General Prosecutor Chaika ordered to his deputy Victor Grin to consider the 5 October 2009 application from Jamison Firestone concerning the false arrest and ill-treatment of Mr Magnitsky which was also raised by the U.S. Ambassador to Russia with the Investigative Committee	"Y.Y. Chaika, General Prosecutor of Russia, 6.11.2009. Please in accordance with stipulated procedure organise the consideration of an application from J.R. Firestone. Please inform the applicant on results... To be executed by:..V. Y. Grin, Deputy General Prosecutor (responsible)..."	6-Nov-09	Prosecutorial record instructing Mr Grin to consider the application from J. Firestone concerning the false arrest and ill-treatment of Mr Magnitsky	D1720.pdf
				D	In his position as Deputy General Prosecutor, concealed the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	Mr Grin approved the accusation of Victor Markelov, an alleged sawmill employee, in the \$230 million theft and the finding that tax officials had been "tricked" into the tax refund	V.Y. Grin: Approve. The accusation conclusion of Victor Alexandrovich Markelov in committing the crime under p.4 of Article 159 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation [fraud]	10-Apr-09	Approval by Mr Grin of the accusation of Victor Markelov for the \$230 million theft	D1022.pdf
				D	In his position as Deputy General Prosecutor, ordered retaliatory prosecution of Attorney for Hermitage Fund, Mr Eduard Khairetdinov, for an alleged "complicity in the fraud" that the lawyer jointly with Mr Magnitsky had in fact helped to expose and report	In his decree, Mr Grin ordered the Moscow Investigative Committee to prosecute, under the criminal case No 360138, Hermitage Fund's lawyer, Mr Eduard Khairetdinov, who reported the criminal conspiracy involved in the theft of Hermitage Fund's companies and \$230 million in 3 December 2007 and 25 July 2008 complaints	V.Y. Grin: "having studied the criminal case No 360138,...in spite of the existence in the case of a testimony by V.A. Markelov [entered in court record as sawmill employee] and documents supporting it about his intent in conspiracy with O.G. Gasanov [deceased], V.G. Khlebnikov [entered in court record as jobless] and V.N. Kurochkin [deceased] to perpetrate the theft of budget funds by E.M. Khairetdinov [Hermitage Fund's lawyer]'s obtaining court decisions to mislead the tax authorities, these have not been checked by the investigation...The investigation must ...conduct the above stated investigative actions, and investigate the complicity of E.M. Khairetdinov in the committed fraud."	17-Jun-11	Decree by Mr Grin ordering to prosecute Hermitage Fund's lawyer who reported the criminal conspiracy	D1023.pdf
Alexander Konstantinovich Bumazhkin	21-Jun-57	Senior Aid to General Prosecutor	GPO	D	In his oversight role at the General Prosecutor's Office, did not compel proper investigation of the criminal conspiracy involved in the theft of Hermitage Fund's companies and subsequent theft of \$230 million, allowing the cover up of the fraud to continue	In the letter to Hermitage Fund's lawyer, Mr Bumazhkin informed that three persons in whose name Hermitage Fund's companies were fraudulently re-registered - Markelov, Kurochkin and Khlebnikov - were released from criminal prosecution.	A.K. Bumazhkin: "On 11 June 2008, the criminal prosecution of Markelov, Kurochkin and Khlebnikov was discontinued...The results are under control of the General Prosecutor's Office."	18-Aug-08	Letter by Mr Bumazhkin on the release from prosecution of the false directors of Hermitage Fund's companies	D1024.pdf

Vladimir Petrovich Yudin	3-May-50	Senior Aid to General Prosecutor, Head of Department of Oversight over Investigation of Especially Important Cases (since September 2011)	GPO	B	In his position as head of department of General Prosecutor's Office, Mr Yudin attempted to deliver to the Magnitsky family an accusation conclusion three years after his death, refused the complaint against another posthumous allegation against Mr Magnitsky (under Interior Ministry Case No 678540) in relation to the \$230 million theft, and refused complaints against the non-disclosure to the Magnitsky family of prosecutorial files concerning Mr Magnitsky	In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Yudin refused the complaint about the non-disclosure of prosecutorial files concerning Mr Magnitsky to his mother	V.P.Yudin: "Your application about the improper consideration of previously submitted requests have been considered...Replies have been motivated, justified, given within the due time and by authorised personnel."	5-Mar-12	Refusal by Mr Yudin of the complaint against the non-disclosure of prosecutorial files concerning Mr Magnitsky to his mother	D1027.pdf
						In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Yudin refused the complaint against the failure to disclose to Mr Magnitsky's mother the prosecutorial oversight files concerning Mr Magnitsky's treatment in custody, on the ground that other persons filed documents at the time	V.P. Yudin: ""You have submitted no grounds to disclose to you the applications [concerning Mr Magnitsky] that you and N.N. Magnitskaya did not author. General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation has no consent from other parties to disclose their statements to you."	19-Apr-12	Refusal by Mr Yudin of the request for information on the prosecutorial consideration of complaints concerning Mr Magnitsky to his mother	D1025.pdf
						In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Yudin refused to disclose the information about the group of prosecutors overseeing the cases concerning Mr Magnitsky as not concerning her rights and interests, and refused to refute the information published posthumously by the General Prosecutor's Office's website about an alleged complicity of Mr Magnitsky in crimes	V.P. Yudin: "Your application about the improper consideration of previously submitted requests has been checked. It has been determined that the information published by the General Prosecutor's Office is based on the results of the investigation of the criminal case about Mr Magnitsky committing tax crimes. It had been reported that there were no grounds to provide to Mrs N.N. Magnitskaya the decree of the General Prosecutor of Russia to form a group of prosecutors ensuring the oversight over the investigation of respective criminal cases because this act does not concern the rights and lawful interests of the said person, but organises the work of employees of the agency."	25-Apr-12	Refusal by Mr Yudin to cease the posthumous allegation against Mr Magnitsky and to release to the Magnitsky mother the names of prosecutors overseeing cases concerning her son	D1686.pdf
						In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Yudin refused the request for information on how many posthumous cases had been reopened by the Prosecutor's Office after the 14 July 2011 ruling of the Constitutional Court of Russia, similar to the reopening of the case in relation to Mr Magnitsky a year and a half after his death	V.P. Yudin: "Your application seeking to disclose the number of procedural decisions adopted by the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation in accordance with Article 214 of the Criminal Procedure Code of Russia...has been considered. The probe has determined that the request is not related to the protection of interests of N.N. Magnitskaya under a criminal case, because it does not concern the circumstances of a crime and an appeal of any specific procedural decision that violates the rights of said person."	5-Jul-12	Refusal by Mr Yudin of request for information on how many posthumous proceedings were initiated by the General Prosecutor's Office	D1028.pdf

					In his reply to the request for information on the prosecutorial consideration of reports about the theft of Hermitage Fund's companies, Mr Yudin refused the request, and referred instead to the court files that did not contain records of prosecutorial decisions on the reports	V.P. Yudin: "During a probe, it was determined, that the application from the said person [Attorney E.Khairtudinov] previously submitted to the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation, concerned circumstances that had been investigated by the Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia under the criminal case No 152979. An indictment was approved in this case on 18.02.2011 [in relation to Khlebnikov] following which it was sent to Tverskoi District Court of Moscow where on 22.03.2011 it was considered on substance. In light of the above, you have the right to apply to the mentioned court and seek access to the available materials in case of lawful grounds."	17-Oct-12	Refusal by Mr Yudin of application seeking access to prosecutorial files concerning the investigation of the theft of Hermitage Fund's companies	D1033.pdf
					In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Yudin refused the complaint challenging the order by Deputy General Prosecutor Victor Grin, which falsely named Mr Magnitsky as a co-conspirator in the \$230 million theft (under Interior Ministry case No 678540) which he had uncovered	V.P. Yudin: "Your application about the disagreement with the opening of a criminal case No 678540 and the order by deputy general prosecutor of Russia [Grin] about the elimination of violations of criminal procedural legislation has been considered. As part of the probe, it has been determined that previously on instruction from General Prosecutor of Russia his first deputy [Bukzman] had already considered your request with similar arguments. The lawfulness of the opening of the criminal case had been considered by Ostankinsky District Court of Moscow on 9 December 2011 and the Moscow City Court on 27 February 2012. The arguments concerning the order by deputy general prosecutor of Russia [Grin] had been determined to be baseless...In relation to your request about the existence of untrue data in the said procedural decisions, it has been explained to you on several occasions that they are based on investigation materials. In case of the disagreement with their conclusions, you have the right in accordance with the legal position of the Constitutional Court of Russia...to demand for the criminal case to be sent to court for the comprehensive and objective examination."	29-Oct-12	Refusal by Mr Yudin of the complaint against the posthumous allegation targeting Mr Magnitsky concerning the \$230 million theft	D1026.pdf
					In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Yudin attempted to deliver a notice of accusation in relation to Mr Magnitsky three years after his death	V.P.Yudin: "In accordance with Article 222 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, I inform you that Deputy General Prosecutor of the Russian Federation has approved an accusation conclusion under the criminal case No 679591 in relation to W.F. Browder, accused of committing crimes under p. a,b of p.2 of Article 199 of the Criminal Code of RF, p. a,b, p.2 of Article 199 of the Criminal Code of RF, and also in relation to S.L. Magnitsky for the purpose of his possible rehabilitation, who was accused under p. 3 and 5 of Article 33, p. a, b of p.2 of Article 199, p.3 and 5 of Article 33, p. a,b of p.2 of Article 199 of the Criminal Code of RF. ...the said criminal case has been sent to court for consideration on substance to the Tverskoi District Court of Moscow."	29-Nov-12	Letter by Mr Yudin announcing delivery of accusation conclusion to Mr Magnitsky after his death	D1029.pdf
				D	Mr Yudin in his previous capacity, as Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow, approved the decree to commence a fabricated criminal case concerning Kameya used to seize corporate documents for the criminal conspiracy to steal Hermitage Fund's companies and \$230 million taxes they had paid uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	V.P. Yudin: "Approve" - Decree to commence a criminal case in relation to Kameya	28-May-07	Decree approved by Mr Yudin to begin a Kameya case used to seize documents for the criminal conspiracy	D1031.pdf

					Testimony by Mr Magnitsky describing that the fabricated criminal case approved by V.P. Yudin and initiated by Interior Ministry officer A.K. Kuznetsov was used a pretext to seize the corporate documents by the criminal conspiracy	S.L. Magnitsky: " I believe that it was specifically the criminal case fabricated on the initiative from Kuznetsov A.A. [sic] which allowed to seize the founding and registration documents of the stolen companies and deprive the legal owners of the control over them."	14-Oct-09	Testimony by Mr Magnitsky	D1032.pdf	
Igor Alexandrovich Myasnikov	31-Mar-59	Head of Department of Oversight over Investigation of Especially Important Cases (2009-2011)	GPO	A, B	In his position as head of department of General Prosecutor's Office, found no violations in the criminal prosecution of Mr Magnitsky, did not compel an investigation of the application from his mother about the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's death in custody, sanctioned the posthumous criminal proceeding against Mr Magnitsky, and refused to disclose to the Magnitsky family the identity of prosecutors in charge of the oversight of cases concerning Mr Magnitsky	In his letter to O.G. Kovalev, acting head of Department of Oversight over Adherence to Law in Penal System, Mr Myasnikov determined that there were no grounds of "prosecutorial response" to the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother about the death of her son, and found no violations during the investigation.	I.A. Myasnikov to O.G. Kovalev, acting head of Department of oversight over adherence to law in penal system: "There were no petitions from defendant and his counsel about the impossibility of being held in detention centers due to poor health submitted to the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry and the section of oversight over investigation of criminal investigations by the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia...The management of FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia [Butyrka] was informed on 30.09.2009 without delay (ref No 17zh-7759) about the application received on 26.08.2009 by Interior Ministry's Investigation Committee Investigator O.F.Silchenko from lawyers...about the need to conduct a controlled ultrasound examination of defendant S.L. Magnitsky. During the investigation of the criminal case no violations of the requirements of the criminal procedural law were identified. There are no reasons to take measures of prosecutorial response."	17-Dec-09	Prosecutorial report by Mr Myasnikov finding no grounds for action concerning an application from Mrs Magnitskaya about the death of her son	D1034.pdf
					In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Myasnikov refused request for information about the group of prosecutors overseeing the cases concerning Mr Magnitsky and their names	I.A. Myasnikov: "There are no grounds to disclose to N.N. Magnitskaya and her counsel the order by General Prosecutor of Russia [Chaika] to form a group of prosecutors ensuring the oversight over the investigation of respective criminal cases."	11-Aug-11	Refusal by Mr Myasnikov of request to disclose to the Mr Magnitsky's mother the names of prosecutors overseeing the cases in relation to her son	D1035.pdf	
					In his letter to Hermitage lawyers in London, Mr Myasnikov refused the application from June 2011 which sought an investigation of the conspiracy within the Prosecutor's Office to conceal tax officials engaged in systematic tax refunds , and found no breaches in the actions of the prosecutors	I.A. Myasnikov: "Your application received from the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation with arguments about the improper consideration of applications and conduct of the prosecutorial oversight over the investigation of criminal cases concerning S.L. Magnitsky and the theft of funds from the budget of Russia has been considered. During the probe, it has been established that the applications received by the General Prosecutor Office of the Russian Federation within its competence have been considered, in cases stipulated by the law the measures of prosecutorial response were taken, reasoned answers were given to applicants...Arguments about the complicity of tax officials mentioned by you in the theft of budget funds are checked by investigative means. The investigative body will give them a criminal legal assessment based on the results of gathering evidence."	15-Aug-11	Refusal by Mr Myasnikov of the application reporting a conspiracy within the Prosecutor's Office to conceal liability of tax officials involved in the systematic tax refunds	D1037.pdf	

Timofei Yurievich Borisov	24-Sep-80	Acting Head of Department of Oversight over Investigation of Especially Important Cases	GPO	B	In his position as acting head of department of General Prosecutor's Office, refused requests from the Magnitsky family to conduct a proper investigation into his death, not to destroy files about Magnitsky held by the General Prosecutor's Office, and to protect the family from risk of intimidation by Interior Ministry officials	Letter to Lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, refusing the application concerning the misleading and falsified materials in the indictment of Dmitry Kratov	T.Y. Borisov: "The General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation has considered your application from 22.06.2012 about the incompleteness of the investigation carried out under the case No 201/713112-11 [in relation to Mr Kratov and Ms Litvinova for the death of Mr Magnitsky] and other matters. It has been determined that following the outcomes of the review of the said case, on 07.07.2012 an accusation conclusion has been confirmed, following which it was sent to Tverskoi District Court in Moscow for the consideration on substance [concerning Mr Kratov]...This reply may be appealed to the higher ranking prosecutor or to the court."	13-Jul-12	Refusal by Mr Borisov of application to conduct proper investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1040.pdf
						In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Borisov refused the request not to destroy Mr Magnitsky's files, stating the matter will be decided in accordance with internal instructions	T.Y. Borisov: "Your request about ensuring the unlimited time of storage of documents and oversight files related to Mr Magnitsky has been considered. This matter will be decided in accordance with the Instruction on document management, specifying the terms of storage stipulated by the corresponding instructions of the General Prosecutor's Office of Russia."	31-Jul-12	Refusal by Mr Borisov of request not to destroy Mr Magnitsky files	D1039.pdf
						In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Borisov refused the complaint from lawyer for Mr Magnitsky's mother about the disclosure of files of death investigation to the Interior Ministry officials with conflict of interest and in positions of power. The complaint highlighted the threat to victims and witnesses posed by this disclosure.	T.Y. Borisov: "The General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation has considered your application from 06.11.2012 about the unlawfulness of acts of the head of investigative body A.V. Schukin in providing access to the materials of the criminal case No 201/366795-10 [into the death of Mr Magnitsky] to investigator of the investigative group of the Investigative Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia M.Y. Sapunova and on other matters...The oversight over the criminal case No 201/366795-10 is carried out in accordance with the requirements of the existing law. The resolution of matters stated in your application to carry out an internal probe, remove A.V. Schukin from the procedural control of the criminal case, undertake measures in relation to investigator M.Y. Sapunova are within the competence of heads of investigative bodies."	3-Dec-12	Refusal by Mr. Borisov of complaint against the provision of the Magnitsky death investigation files to the Interior Ministry officials	D1038.pdf
Sergei Nikolaevich Churashkin	12-Oct-66	Acting Head of Department of Oversight of Criminal Cases by the Interior Ministry's Investigation Committee	GPO	A, B	In his position as acting Head of Department of Oversight over Criminal Cases, refused to intervene and duly examine the violation of Mr Magnitsky's rights in custody and was involved in the responding to inquiries after Mr Magnitsky's death in spite of the conflict of interest	In his letter to Mr Magnitsky's lawyer, Mr Churashkin refused the complaint against the unlawful acts by Interior Ministry's investigator O.V. Urzhumtsev, who withheld Mr Magnitsky's power of attorney intended for his lawyers and instead attached it to the criminal case file, thereby obstructing the timely preparation of a complaint to the Russian Constitutional Court on his behalf. Mr Churashkin justified his complaint by citing that by the time he reviewed the complaint, investigators were "ready" to forward the power of attorney to the lawyers	S.N. Churashkin: "Senior Investigator...O.F. Silchenko on 28.08.2009 sent to you a notice No 17/zh-6314 about the possibility to provide you the said power of attorney, accordingly on 28.08.2009 a decree was issued to refuse to satisfy the complaint."	28-Aug-09	Letter by Mr Churashkin refusing Mr Magnitsky's complaint against Interior Ministry Investigator Urzhumtsev	D1051.pdf
						In his letter, Mr Churashkin refused complaint from Hermitage about the failure to investigate the false arrest and death of Mr Magnitsky and the theft he had uncovered	S.N. Churashkin: "Your application about the impartiality during the investigation of criminal cases No 153123 [under which Mr Magnitsky's was arrested], 152979 [under which a jobless Mr Khlebnikov was sentenced for the \$230 m theft] and 366795 [into Mr Magnitsky's death] has been considered...No violations of the requirements of the criminal procedural legislation during the investigation of criminal cases have been identified."	26-Feb-10	Refusal by Mr Churashkin of the complaint against Mr Magnitsky's persecution	D1684.pdf

Valery Vasilievich Ignashin	29-Apr-54	Deputy Head of Department of Oversight over Investigation of Especially Important Cases	GPO	B	In his position as Deputy Head of Department of Oversight over Criminal Cases, refused complaints against the posthumous proceeding against Mr Magnitsky, the pressure on his family and the attempt by the Interior Ministry to impose them a new lawyer against their will	In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Ignashin refused to cease the prosecution of Magnitsky's relatives and to remove from the posthumous case Interior Ministry investigators (Silchenko and Sapunova), who had prosecuted Mr Magnitsky during his life	V.V. Ignashin: "Your request with arguments to terminate the criminal prosecution of relatives and about the lack of trust to investigators under the criminal case ...has been considered...As part of the probe, no circumstances have been found that exclude the participation of investigators in the criminal case...There are no legal grounds to take measures of prosecutorial response to remove the investigation group."	8-Nov-11	Letter by Mr Ignashin refusing the complaint against the posthumous proceeding in relation to Mr Magnitsky	D1041.pdf
						In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Ignashin refused the complaint against the posthumous prosecution of Mr Magnitsky and the pressure on his relatives. The letter alleged that the family lawyer failed to fulfil his professional duties by adhering to the family's will not to participate in the posthumous proceeding in relation to Mr Magnitsky	V.V. Ignashin: "The non-appearance of lawyers to participate in procedural activities demonstrates their avoidance from providing legal help to the persons they represent."	24-Jan-12	Letter by Mr Ignashin refusing the complaint against the posthumous proceeding and intimidating family lawyer	D1042.pdf
						In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Ignashin refused the complaint against the attempt by the Interior Ministry to impose a new lawyer to the Magnitsky family, against their will, on the alleged ground that Investigator Kibis merely "offered" to invite a new lawyer, in spite of the investigator's decree to appoint a lawyer having been issued in the case file	V.V. Ignashin: "The investigation has not taken measures to change the lawyer. Due to his repeated non-appearance for participation in procedural activities, investigator has undertaken additional measures to ensure rights and lawful interests of parties in the proceeding, including by offering to them to invite another lawyer."	4-Feb-12	Letter by Mr Ignashin refusing the complaint against the attempt to impose a new lawyer to Magnitsky family against their will	D1043.pdf
						Letter by Mr Ignashin to court appointing S.A. Bochkarev to represent the General Prosecutor's Office in the hearing of the lawsuit by Mr Magnitsky's mother against the posthumous prosecution of her son	V.V. Ignashin: Appoint prosecutor...Sergei Alexandrovich Bochkarev ...to represent interests of the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation in the court proceeding ...under case 311578 [posthumous case against Mr Magnitsky].	21-Mar-12	Appointment by Mr Ignashin of prosecutor Bochkarev to the posthumous proceeding against Mr Magnitsky	D1044.pdf
						In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Ignashin refused to disclose to Magnitsky's mother the names of 12 prosecutors in charge of the oversight over cases concerning her son	V.V. Ignashin: "It is not prescribed to provide a list of prosecutors in charge of the oversight over criminal cases."	14-Jun-12	Refusal by Mr Ignashin to disclose to the Magnitsky mother the list of prosecutors in charge of her son's cases	D1045.pdf

Sergei Alexandrovich Bochkaryev		Senior Prosecutor of the Department of Oversight over Investigation of Especially Important Cases	GPO	B	In his position as Senior Prosecutor of the General Prosecutor's Office, sought to refuse the complaints from the Magnitsky family against the posthumous prosecution in courts, refused them access to the prosecutorial files concerning Mr Magnitsky, and refused to disclose names of prosecutors in charge	In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Bochkaryev refused the request to disclose information concerning Mr Magnitsky's prosecution and forwarded it instead to the Interior Ministry, which was the agency being complained about	S.A. Bochkaryev: "Your request in the part concerning the lack of justified ground for criminal prosecution of S.L. Magnitsky is being considered along with other materials by the group of prosecutors, formed to oversee the process and results of investigation of criminal cases in the Investigative Committee of Russia and the Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia."	11-Jul-11	Refusal by Mr Bochkaryev of the request for information on files concerning prosecution of Mr Magnitsky	D1046.pdf
						In Tverskoi district court of Moscow, Prosecutor Bochkaryev stated that the decree by Interior Ministry Investigator Urzhumtsev that posthumously named Mr Magnitsky as a co-conspirator in the \$230 million theft was lawful and justified, and refused to consider arguments to the contrary from Mr Magnitsky's mother	"Prosecutor...S.A. Bochkaryev...Prosecutor in court proceeding requested to leave without satisfaction the complaint under consideration due to the lawfulness and justifiability of the decree being complained about, and the absence of any justified arguments eligible for examination in the complaint."	9-Dec-11	Transcript of court hearing to refuse the lawsuit against the posthumous accusation of Mr Magnitsky for complicity in the \$230 m theft	D1047.pdf
						In Moscow City Court, Mr Bochkaryev resisted the complaint by Mr Magnitsky's mother that sought to deem unlawful and unreasoned the decree by Interior Ministry Investigator Urzhumtsev that posthumously named Mr Magnitsky as a co-conspirator in the \$230 million theft that he had in fact uncovered and exposed	S.A. Bochkaryev: "Having considered the report by judge O.V. Dubrovina, the opinion of the applicant - lawyer N.A. Gorokhov in support of the complaint, the opinion of prosecutor S.A. Bochkaryev who thought it necessary to keep the court ruling without change, and the cassation complaint without satisfaction, the court collegium...determined ...to leave the complaint without satisfaction."	27-Feb-12	Cassation court ruling to refuse the appeal against the posthumous naming of Mr Magnitsky for complicity in the \$230 m theft	D1048.pdf
						In Ostankinsky District Court of Moscow, Mr Bochkaryev resisted the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's mother against the posthumous prosecution of her deceased son	S.A. Bochkaryev: "Having heard the arguments of the applicant and the interested person, including lawyer N.A. Gorokhov, I have come to the conclusion that there is the lack of evidence in his arguments of the unlawfulness of the decree issued by Deputy General Prosecutor of RF to cancel the decree of an investigator to terminate the criminal case due to the death of the accused S.L. Magnitsky [leading to the posthumous proceeding]"	3-Apr-12	Transcript of court hearing to refuse the lawsuit by Mr Magnitsky's mother against his posthumous prosecution	D1049.pdf
						In Simonovsky District Court of Moscow, Mr Bochkaryev refused to Mr Magnitsky's mother the right to know the identity of 12 prosecutors in charge of the oversight of criminal cases concerning her son and the decisions they have made	"Representative of the General Prosecutor's Office of RF S.A. Bochkaryev requested to refuse the claim on ground stated in written objections."	11-Sep-12	Transcript of court hearing to refuse the lawsuit against non-disclosure to the Magnitsky mother of names of prosecutors responsible for her son's cases	D1050.pdf

Svetlana Victorovna Kot	30-Aug-78	Senior Prosecutor of Section of the Department of Oversight over the Pre-Investigation Inquiry and Operations and Intelligence Activity	GPO	A	In his position as Senior Prosecutor of the General Prosecutor's Office, failed to duly respond and intervene with regard to the complaint from Mr Magnitsky about his unlawful transfer to a temporary holding facility as means to exert pressure on him, nine months before his death in custody	In his letter, Mr Kot did not consider the substance of Mr Magnitsky's complaint about his unlawful transfer in Feb 2009 to the temporary holding facility (made by decision of Interior Ministry Investigator Silchenko), and instead forwarded it to a Moscow branch, although the General Prosecutor's office, not the Moscow branch, was in charge of the oversight over Mr Magnitsky's prosecution, thereby impeding its timely and proper consideration	S.V. Kot to the Prosecutor's Office of Moscow and a copy to S.L. Magnitsky: "Hereby is being forwarded for a check an application from S.L. Magnitsky about the breach of the term of detention in IVS-1 [temporary holding facility] of the Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry. Organise the check into the arguments of the applicant as required. Please inform the applicant about the decision taken."	24-Mar-09	Letter by Mr Kot forwarding the complaint from Mr Magnitsky against his unlawful transfer to the Interior Ministry holding facility	D1053.pdf
Magomedrasul Musaevich Magomedrasulov	2-Mar-66	Acting head of Department of Oversight over Especially Important Cases (since 2012 head of Department of oversight over the penal system)	GPO	A	In his position as acting Head of Department of Oversight over Criminal Cases, refused to properly examine the complaint about the rights violation of Mr Magnitsky, the unlawful transfer by the Interior Ministry, and the failure of his subordinate prosecutors to respond to earlier complaints and intervene, four months before Mr Magnitsky's death in custody	In his reply sent via the administration of detention center, Mr Magomedrasulov refused Mr Magnitsky's complaint against the unlawfulness of his transfer in February 2009 (by decision of Interior Ministry investigator Silchenko) to a temporary holding facility of the Moscow branch of the Interior Ministry, the failure by the Prosecutor's Office to intervene to cease his rights violations in custody, and, subsequently, the failure to properly consider and respond to a series of three successive complaints about the abusive transfer and the pressure exerted upon him in detention	From M.M. Magomedrasulov to D.I.Vasiliev, acting head of Matrosskaya Tishina detention center, reply to the complaint from S.L. Magnitsky: "Your application from 10.06.2009 concerning the violations of criminal procedural legislation under the criminal case No 1531223 [case under which Mr Magnitsky was arrested] was received by the General Prosecutor's Office and considered. Your transfer from FBU IZ-77/5 of the penal service of Russia to IVS No 1 of the Moscow branch of the Interior Ministry of Russia was executed in accordance with requirements of the Federal Law from 15.07.1995 No 103-FZ "On Detention in Custody of Suspects and Accused In Committing Crimes"..No breaches of the federal legislation during the consideration of your complaints from 22.02.2009, 17.04.2009 and 18.04.2009 have occurred. There are no grounds to undertake measures of prosecutorial response."	21-Jul-09	Letter by Mr Magomedrasulov refusing the complaint from Mr Magnitsky against his unlawful transfer to the Interior Ministry holding facility	D1054.pdf
Andrei Ivanovich Pechegin	24-Sep-65	Deputy head of department of oversight over the investigation of especially important cases, Head of section of oversight over the investigation of criminal cases by the Interior Ministry Investigation Committee	GPO	A, B	In his position as head of section overseeing criminal cases investigated by the Interior Ministry, failed to compel proper probes into reports received by the General Prosecutor's Office about the thefts of public funds, conflicts of interest of Interior Ministry officers, and of rights violations of Mr Magnitsky in custody, and continued to formally respond to complaints after Mr Magnitsky's death concealing liability of complicit officials, thereby obstructing probes into their actions	In his response to an application to the Russian President's Anti-Corruption Council, seeking to compel an investigation into the theft of the Hermitage Fund's companies and the massive fraud affecting public finances, and to intervene in relation to the conflict of interest by Interior Ministry officers and the retaliatory criminal cases opened on fabricated grounds, Mr Pechegin refused the complaint without providing any reasoned ground	A.I. Pechegin: "The General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation has considered a duplicate of an application from director of Hermitage Capital Management of 28.05.2008 received on 21.07.2008 from the Administration of the President of the Russian Federation. During the carried out probe, the arguments about the partiality of the investigation of the criminal cases No 151231 [in relation to Kameya under which Hermitage corporate documents were seized], 153123 [into alleged tax crimes in 2001 opened after the complaints seeking the investigation of the Interior Ministry's role in abetting the frauds against Hermitage were filed], 153107 [alleging new tax crimes opened after the complaints alleging the Interior Ministry's role in abetting the frauds against Hermitage were filed], 374015 [into the theft of Hermitage Fund's companies] have not been confirmed."	21-Aug-08	Refusal by Mr Pechegin to investigate the criminal conspiracy reported by Hermitage and the conflict of interest by Interior Ministry officers	D1055.pdf

					In response to the application from the Hermitage Fund seeking an investigation of the uncovered theft from the Russian treasury of \$230 million following the theft of Hermitage Fund's Russian companies, Mr Pechegin issued a reply that did not address or even mention the \$230 million theft, instead notifying that no violations of the law and no partiality in the previously opened criminal cases were found, thereby impeding the possibility of a prompt and thorough investigation of the reported \$230 million theft	A.I. Pechegin: "The General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation has considered your application from 25.07.2008...There were no violations of the norms of the criminal procedural legislation during the search...The decrees ...to commence criminal proceeding and to resume criminal proceeding...were issued in accordance with the requirements of the criminal and procedural legislation."	22-Aug-08	Refusal by Mr Pechegin of the application to compel an investigation of the \$230 m theft	D1056.pdf
					In response to the application from the Law Society of England and Wales addressed to General Prosecutor Chaika, Mr Pechegin denied any rights violations or ill-treatment of Mr Magnitsky and denied any wrong-doing in the criminal proceeding against another lawyer for the Hermitage Fund, Mr Khairtdinov, who on his client's behalf reported the thefts of Hermitage Fund's companies and \$230 million, two months before Mr Magnitsky's death in custody	A.I. Pechegin to Mr Robert Heslett of the Law Society of England and Wales: "The General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation has considered your application from 24.07.2009 about the unlawful and unjustified detention of S.L. Magnitsky in custody under the criminal case No 153123 and the unlawful criminal prosecution of attorney E.M. Khairtdinov under the criminal case No 360138. The criminal cases No 153123, 360138 are being investigated in accordance with existing legislation, violations of criminal procedural legislation during the investigation did not occur."	16-Sep-09	Letter by Mr Pechegin finding no violations in Mr Magnitsky's detention and the proceeding against another Hermitage Fund lawyer	D1058.pdf
					In response to the 11 September 2009 complaint from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers, Mr Pechegin refused it without any reasoned ground. The complaint reported about Mr Magnitsky's unlawful prosecution organised in retribution, the pressure on him in detention, the denial of medical care and other rights breaches, 38 days before Mr Magnitsky's death in custody	A.I. Pechegin: "The probe has established that during preliminary investigation physical or psychological pressure on defendant S.L.Magnitsky was not exerted...There are no grounds to take measures of prosecutorial response."	9-Oct-09	Refusal by Mr Pechegin of complaint against pressure on Mr Magnitsky to testify, denial of medical care and retaliatory prosecution	D1057.pdf
					In his reply to the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Jamison Firestone, Mr Pechegin refused it without any reasoned ground and denied that there were any violations of rights and law, stating that "no measures of prosecutorial response" were necessary, 20 days before Mr Magnitsky's death in custody	A.I. Pechegin: "The General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation has considered your application from 05.10.2009 about the unjustified criminal prosecution of S.L. Magnitsky, W.B. Browder under the criminal case No 153123, lack of impartiality in the preliminary investigation and on other matters...No violations of the requirements of the criminal procedural legislation have been identified during the investigation of the criminal case. There are no grounds to take measures of prosecutorial response."	27-Oct-09	Refusal by Mr Pechegin of the complaint about the unlawful arrest and ill-treatment of Mr Magnitsky in detention filed by his colleague, Jamison Firestone	D1059.pdf

					In his reply to the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Jamison Firestone, about the unlawful prosecution of Mr Magnitsky, Mr Pechegin refused it without any reasoned ground and denied that there were any violations of rights and law stating that "no measures of prosecutorial response" were necessary, on the day of Mr Magnitsky's killing in custody	A.I. Pechegin: "The General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation has considered your application of 05.10.2009 received from the Investigative Committee of the prosecutor's office of the Russian Federation, about the unlawful opening of the criminal case No 153123, criminal prosecution of S.L. Magnitsky, W.F. Browder, and on other matters...During the investigation of the criminal case no violations of the requirements of the criminal procedural legislation have been identified. There are no grounds to take measures of prosecutorial response and to transfer the case to the Investigative Committee of the prosecutor's office of the Russian Federation."	16-Nov-09	Refusal by Mr Pechegin of the complaint about the unlawful arrest and ill-treatment of Mr Magnitsky in detention from his colleague, Jamison Firestone	D1060.pdf
					In his reply to the application from Hermitage lawyers who sought to compel an investigation of the uncovered systematic thefts from the Russian treasury with the involvement of the same persons, in addition to the 2007 theft of \$230 million and totalling \$470 million during 2006-2008, Mr Pechegin did not address or even mention the \$470 million theft and instead informed that the \$230 million theft continued to be investigated, thereby obstructing a possibility for a prompt and thorough investigation of the criminal conspiracy	A.I. Pechegin: "The General Prosecutor's Office of Russian Federation has considered your application about the theft of budget funds using the commercial entities OOO Parfenion, OOO Makhaon, OOO Rilend...The criminal case in relation to V.A. Markelov was severed into a separate proceeding and sent to court with an indictment conclusion...Currently, investigative actions and other activities are being carried out aimed to identify other members of the criminal group and the completion of the preliminary investigation."	20-Nov-09	Refusal by Mr Pechegin of application seeking an investigation of the systematic thefts totaling \$470 million during 2006-2008	D1061.pdf
					In his reply to the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers against the concealment of Mr Magnitsky's medical report on the last day of his life by Interior Ministry officers, Mr Pechegin refused to address the substance of the complaint, and instead forwarded it to the Interior Ministry, the body complained about. This was in breach of the direct prohibition to forward complaints to the persons complained about under the Russian Law On Prosecutor's Office (Article 10), and was a failure to use the oversight powers of the prosecutor's office to ensure the lawfulness of decisions during the preliminary investigation and ensure the observance of human rights	A.I. Pechegin: "The General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation has considered your application from 16.11.2009 about the question of attaching to the materials of the criminal case No 311578 [severed from case No 153123 under which Mr Magnitsky was detained] of a report from 16.11.2009 on the state of health of S.L. Magnitsky. In accordance with Article 38 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF, the matters of the organisation of preliminary investigation are within the competence of bodies of preliminary investigation, because of this your application has been forwarded to the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry for consideration on substance."	26-Nov-09	Refusal by Mr Pechegin of the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers against concealment of his medical report by Interior Ministry officers	D1062.pdf

					After Mr Magnitsky's death, Mr Pechegin refused the application from Jamison Firestone seeking an investigation of the persecution of Mr Magnitsky, finding "no grounds" for prosecutorial intervention. Mr Pechegin subsequently filed a defamation lawsuit against Mr Firestone for being named by Mr Firestone in an application to the Russian President as an official responsible for blocking the investigation of the conspiracy behind the \$230 m theft and Mr Magnitsky's rights violations in custody asserting that he had acted in accordance with the law. The lawsuit was dismissed	A.I. Pechegin: "The General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation has considered your two applications from 14.12.2009 about the unlawful commencement of the criminal case No 153123, prosecution of S.L. Magnitsky, the detention of the latter, the separation of the criminal case No 311578 and other matters. During the investigation of criminal cases no violations of requirements of criminal procedural legislation have been identified...There are no grounds to take measures of prosecutorial response."	22-Jan-10	Refusal by Mr Pechegin of the complaint against Mr Magnitsky's persecution from his colleague, Jamison Firestone	D1063.pdf	
Alexander Vladimirovich Burov	16-Dec-77	Prosecutor of section of oversight over the investigation of criminal cases by the Interior Ministry Investigation Committee	GPO	A, B	In his position as prosecutor of section overseeing criminal cases investigated by the Interior Ministry, failed to compel proper investigation of the reports of the theft of Hermitage Fund's companies and \$230 m of public funds, supported in court the arrest and detention of Mr Magnitsky based on fabricated and unverified documents from FSB and Interior Ministry, and continued to fail to compel an investigation of Interior Ministry officers after Mr Magnitsky's death	In his letter to Hermitage lawyers, Mr Burov failed to address the abuse of office and conflict of interest by Interior Ministry officers reported in the 21 July 2008 complaint, and instead forwarded the complaint to the Interior Ministry, i.e. the body complained about, in spite of the prohibition under Article 10 of the Law on Prosecutor's Office to forward complaints to the bodies complained about	A.V. Burov: "The General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation has considered your application from 21.07.2008 about the improper investigation of criminal cases No 151231, 153123, 153107, 374015. Given that the stated arguments have not been considered by the heads of investigative bodies, in order to urgently respond to possible breaches of the law, the probe of reported information will be conducted by the management of Interior Ministry's Investigative Committee, you will be informed of the outcome."	28-Jul-08	Letter by Mr Burov failing to address the reported abuse of office and conflict of interest in the investigations	D1065.pdf
					In his letter to Hermitage lawyers, Mr Burov failed to respond to the application seeking to compel an investigation of the \$230 million theft, the details of which were reported on 15 August 2008, and instead notified that there were no violations in previously opened criminal cases, and no violations during the search when Hermitage corporate documents had been seized, thereby obstructing a prompt and meaningful investigation	A.V. Burov: "To notify W. Browder...There were no violations of the norms of the criminal procedural legislation during the search."	15-Sep-08	Letter by Mr Burov failing to address the reported theft of \$230 million	D1066.pdf	

					In his letter to Hermitage lawyers, Mr Burov failed to respond to the application of 15 August 2008 seeking to compel an investigation of the \$230 million theft, which was forwarded to the General Prosecutor's Office from the President's administration, and instead notified that there were no violations, both in previously opened criminal cases and during the search when Hermitage corporate documents had been seized, thereby obstructing a prompt and meaningful investigation	A.V. Burov: "To notify W. Browder...There were no violations of the norms of the criminal procedural legislation during the search."	9-Oct-08	Letter by Mr Burov failing to address the reported theft of \$230 million	D1067.pdf
					Prosecutor Burov supported the approval of the arrest of Mr Magnitsky on 26 November 2008, in spite of the fabricated and unverified reports from FSB and Interior Ministry officers used to justify it	"With participation from prosecutor Burov A.V....Prosecutor supported the application [from Investigator Silchenko] to select for the defendant the measure of restraint in the form of placement in custody...Rule: select for defendant Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich the measure of restraint in the form of placement in custody"	26-Nov-08	Ruling to approve Mr Magnitsky's arrest by Tverskoi district court in Moscow	D1069.pdf
					Prosecutor Burov supported the application from Investigator Silchenko to extend the period of Mr Magnitsky's detention in custody for two more months, in spite of no new evidence and the fabricated and unverified reports from FSB and Interior Ministry officers used to justify the application	"With participation from prosecutor Burov A.V....In court hearing, prosecutor Burov A.V. also supported the application from investigator stating that there are no grounds to change or cancel the measure of restraint selected for S.L. Magnitsky because there was no change in the circumstances that served as the ground to select the measure of restraint in the form of placement into custody."	19-Jan-09	Ruling to extend the detention of Mr Magnitsky in custody until 15 March 2009 by Tverskoi district court in Moscow	D1070.pdf
					Prosecutor Burov supported the application from Investigator Silchenko to extend the period of Mr Magnitsky's detention in custody for a further three months (over 6 months in total), in spite of the fabricated and unverified reports from FSB and Interior Ministry officers used to justify it	"With participation from prosecutor Burov A.V....Prosecutor supported the application to select for the defendant the measure of restraint in the form of placement in custody...Rule: extend the term of detention in custody of defendant Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich for three months"	13-Mar-09	Ruling to extend the detention of Mr Magnitsky in custody until 15 June 2009 by Tverskoi district court in Moscow	D1071.pdf
					In reply to the application from anti-corruption blogger Mr Alexei Navalny, Prosecutor Burov found there was "no ground" for prosecutorial intervention with regard to reports of illicit wealth of the family of Interior Ministry officer Kuznetsov, named in the earlier complaints for abetting the theft of Hermitage Fund's companies	"Your application of 02.07.2010 about the possible commitment of crime in office by employee of the Interior Ministry of Russia A.B. Kuznetsov and about a probe into the sources of his income has been received by the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation and has been considered. I explain that the application does not contain specific data indicating signs of crime under p.3 of Article 285 of the Criminal Code of RF (abuse of office)...There are currently no grounds for taking measures of prosecutorial response."	21-Jul-10	Letter stating there was no ground for prosecutorial response in relation to the reports of wealth of family of Interior Ministry officer Kuznetsov	D1659.pdf

V.V. Lukianov		Prosecutor of Department of Oversight over Investigation in Prosecutor's Bodies	GPO	B	In his position of prosecutor in charge of oversight at the Prosecutor's Office, was responsible for considering applications seeking proper inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's detention and death in custody	Mr Lukianov instead of considering the application seeking a wide-ranging inquiry into the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's arrest, ill-treatment and death, instead forwarded it to the lower branch where it was not properly considered	V.V. Lukianov to Moscow City Prosecutor's Office and copy to J. Firestone: "Here is being forwarded to be considered on substance the application from Jamison R.I. and others received from the Department of the President of the Russian Federation for applications from citizens for an inquiry into the circumstances of death of S.L. Magnitsky. Please inform the applicant about the decision taken until 20 February 2010."	25-Jan-10	Letter from Mr Lukianov concerning the application from Mr Firestone for an inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's detention and death	D1672.pdf
						Mr Lukianov instead of considering the application seeking a proper inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's detention and death from the Law Society of England and Wales, forwarded it to the lower branch where it was not properly considered	V.V. Lukianov to Moscow City Prosecutor's Office and copy to President of Law Society of England and Wales: "Here is being forwarded to be considered on substance the application from Robert Heslett V.S.Duvanova [sic] about the inquiry into the death of S.L. Magnitsky and on other matters. Please report on decision taken to the applicant and the General Prosecutor's Office until 30.03.2010."	1-Mar-10	Letter from Mr Lukianov concerning the application from the English Law Society for an inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's detention and death	D1678.pdf
Vyacheslav Aleksandrovich Sivozhelez	2-Jul-69	Prosecutor of section of department of the General Prosecutor's Office	GPO	A	In his position as prosecutor of General Prosecutor's Office, and subordinate of Mr Pechegin in charge of overseeing the investigation of cases by the Interior Ministry, Mr. Sivozhelez failed to compel a prompt and effective probe into the report of Mr Magnitsky's rights violations ten days before his death, and resisted in court Mr Magnitsky's complaint about his procedural rights violations by Interior Ministry Investigator Silchenko	Prosecutor Sivozhelez refused to consider the substance of arguments from Mr Magnitsky and his counsel, who challenged the refusal by Investigator Silchenko to allow Mr Magnitsky's wife to co-represent him, in spite of the mandate to observe the adherence to human rights by investigative bodies during the preliminary investigation	"With participation from Prosecutor V.A. Sivozhelez...The member of the investigative group M.O. Sapunova and prosecutor in the court hearing asked to refuse to satisfy the complaint because the applicant and his counsel arbitrarily extend the interpretation of the provisions of Articles 29 and 40 of the Criminal Procedure Code of the Russian Federation which were correctly analysed by Investigator O.F. Silchenko in his decree."	14-May-09	Refusal of complaint against acts by Interior Ministry Investigator Silchenko by Tverskoi District Court in Moscow	D1072.pdf
						Prosecutor Sivozhelez was instructed to consider the complaint about the unlawful arrest, detention, and rights violations of Mr Magnitsky, five days before his death in custody	"Author of document:...A.I. Pechegin, head of section of the General Prosecutor's Office, 11.11.2009. Please in accordance with stipulated procedure organise the consideration of an application from J.R. Firestone. Please inform the applicant on results... To be executed by:...V. Y. Sivozhelez, senior prosecutor (responsible)..."	11-Nov-09	Prosecutorial record of instruction to Sivozhelez to consider application from J. Firestone concerning the false arrest and ill-treatment of Mr Magnitsky	D1721.pdf

Tatiana Yurievna Yakubovskaya	29-Jan-78	Prosecutor of General Prosecutor's Office	GPO	A	In her position as prosecutor of the General Prosecutor's Office, Mr Yakubovskaya sought in court to resist the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers against the unlawfulness of the order to bring Mr Magnitsky by force to questioning, leading to his arrest	During the consideration of the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers against the unlawfulness of the order to Interior Ministry officer Kuznetsov to bring Mr Magnitsky by force to Investigator Silchenko as a witness, Ms Yakubovskaya sided with the investigator on an unreasoned ground, in spite of the mandate under the law to oversee the human rights observance during the preliminary investigation.	"The court collegium....having heard ...the opinion of prosecutor T.Y. Yakubovskaya who thought to leave unchanged the court ruling...determined: to leave without satisfaction the complaint...against the decree by Tverskoi district court of Moscow from 5 February 2009 [regarding the order by Investigator Silchenko to bring Mr Magnitsky by force as a witness]"	15-Apr-09	Cassation Court ruling to refuse the appeal against the detention of Mr Magnitsky as witness	D1074.pdf
Marina Egorovna Altukhova	18-May-63	Prosecutor of General Prosecutor's Office	GPO	A	In her position as representative of the General Prosecutor's Office, sought in court to resist the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers regarding the unlawfulness of his detention as a witness by Interior Ministry Investigator Silchenko	In Moscow City cassation court, Ms Altukhova objected to the complaint by Mr Magnitsky's lawyers against the unlawful detention of Mr Magnitsky on 24 November 2008 and the lack of proper consideration of arguments of fact and law by the court of first instance, leading to the continued detention of Mr Magnitsky	"The court collegium ...having heard... The opinion of prosecutor M.E. Altukhova who thought to leave the court ruling unchanged, has determined...lawyer Oreshnikova in defence of the interests of defendant Magnitsky applied to the Tverskoi district court of Moscow seeking to deem unlawful the acts of investigator Silchenko during the detention of Mr Magnitsky, because there were no actual data allowing to detain Magnitsky, thereby the acts of investigator breached the constitutional rights of her client...As follows from the submitted materials, the court when deciding to stay the application of the applicant, motivated its decision on arguments stated by the applicant in the complaint....Under the circumstances, the court collegium....has ruled to leave unchanged the ruling by Tverskoi district court of Moscow of 10 April 2009"	10-Jun-09	Cassation Court ruling to refuse the appeal against the detention of Mr Magnitsky	D1073.pdf
Evgeny Leonidovich Zabarchuk	12-Feb-57	Deputy General Prosecutor	GPO	A, B	In his position as deputy general prosecutor of Russia, failed to intervene and prevent the ill-treatment and torture of Mr Magnitsky in custody, and after his death failed to identify the scope and motives of ill-treatment and those responsible	During the fulfillment of his duties, failed to intervene in response to complaints of ill-treatment received by his subordinates, and give them proper consideration, and subsequently failed to give proper assessment to the scope and motives of ill-treatment of Mr Magnitsky, and failed to identify those responsible and seek their prosecution in accordance with his authority	E.L. Zabarchuk: "Contrary to the requirements of the law, S.L.Magnitsky was held in cells No 267, 59, 61 and others, which did not meet the sanitary space of 4 sq m per person...There was no water supply and sanitary and hygienic places [toilets], electric cables were bare...During detention in custody in detention centers of Moscow, S.L. Magnitsky was on numerous occasions transferred between detention centers, and between cells, including without sufficient ground...In contravention of the requirements of Article 8 of the Federal Law of 02.05.2006 No 59-FZ "On the order to consider applications from citizens of RF" about the compulsory registration of complaints, applications and recommendations from citizens, during the period from 25.07.2009 through 30.09.2009 there was no journal of registration of applications of persons detained in custody kept at Detention Center No 2 [Butyrka]...Besides, primary medical documentation...practically was not kept, the medical card of S.L. Magnitsky contained no entries during the period from 24.07.2009 through 07.10.2009...In contravention of the requirements of the Article 24 of federal law No103-FZ...during the provision of medical care in relation to diseases that S.L. Magnitsky held untimely examination by a specialist doctor was allowed. The recommendations prescribed during the medical examination	23-Dec-09	Notice of violations by Deputy General Prosecutor Zabarchuk to Director of the Federal Penal System	D1615.pdf

					In the reply from the Director of the Federal Penal Service, the scope and kind of ill-treatment of Mr Magnitsky was minimised. The findings were based on an internal prison probe, despite the conflict of interest, and allowed concealment of the facts. By way of example, it was reported that the head of medical unit, Ms Kramareva, was fired for allowing the violations; however, according to prison records, Ms Kramareva was on sick leave during the entire period of Mr Magnitsky's detention, and requests to question her as a witness were rejected by the investigators on that ground. Yet, no further concerns were raised by the Prosecutor's Office about the outcomes of the internal probe by the prison officials into Mr Magnitsky's detention.	"The notice from the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation about the elimination of violations of law in the activity of FBU SIZO-2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow [Butyrka] (further - SIZO-2), connected to the sudden death of defendant S.L. Magnitsky, has been considered. The Federal Penal Service has conducted an internal probe into the circumstances of death of defendant S.L. Magnitsky, during which it was determined that cells where he was held were equipped with necessary furniture and accessories in accordance with statutory norms...At the same time he spent 36 days in cells that did not meet the sanitary norms...Currently, measures have been undertaken at SIZO-2 for rational placement of suspects, accused and convicted persons paying regard to the norm of sanitary space set by the mentioned law...During detention in SIZO-2 defendant seven times was transferred from one cell to another. Only in one case (01.09.2009) the transfer from cell No 267 to cell No 59 was carried out without sufficient ground and in the absence of a report from an authorised official...Additional lessons were conducted with employees whose responsibilities include registration and accounting of applications from suspects and accused...During an internal probe it has been determined that medical employees based on results of tests (ECG, X-ray) could not suspect the defendant had cardiomyopathy due to the absence of characterising pathological symptoms, because of this additional methods of tests were not carried out. The head of medical unit of SIZO-2 N.V. Kramareva was fired, the head of SIZO-2 D.V. Komnov was removed from his position and demoted for the allowed violations in	23-Jan-10	Report to Deputy General Prosecutor Zabarchuk from the Director of the Federal Penal System	D1682.pdf	
Sergei Vasilievich Kudeneev	1-Jan-61	Head of Department of Oversight over Adherence to the Law in the Penal System (since 2011 - Prosecutor of City of Moscow)	GPO	A, B	In his position as head of Department overseeing the lawfulness of acts of officials in the penal system, failed to prevent Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment, and ensure comprehensive and impartial probe	In his report to Deputy General Prosecutor Zabarchuk eleven days after Mr Magnitsky's death, Mr Kudeneev provided untrue data that Mr Magnitsky did not complain about the denial of medical care, was transferred on numerous occasions lawfully and no pressure was exerted upon him in custody in spite of Mr Magnitsky's complaints and refusals available in his case file	Report by S.V. Kudeneev to E.L. Zabarchuk, Deputy General Prosecutor of Russia: "Upon placement into detention centers, a medical examination of S.L. Magnitsky was conducted to identify bodily injuries and diseases. No complaints of any kind from S.L. Magnitsky about his state of health were received thereby. No illnesses were identified during the examination. His placement and detention in cells of detention centers were conducted in accordance with the law...The probe showed that transfers were conducted on written instruction of the investigation body, which does not contravene the existing legislation. The transfer within detention center (between cells) was carried out due to the carrying out of repairs and was not conditioned by exerting psychological pressure on him...There were no applications from S.L. Magnitsky received by the Moscow Prosecutor's Office or the General Prosecutor's Office...Refusals to provide medical care by employees of the penal system have not been identified..."	27-Nov-09	Report by Mr Kudeneev on the General Prosecutor's Office probe into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1076.pdf
					In his conclusions on Mr Magnitsky's death in custody, Mr Kudeneev claimed to have based them on the "actual" state of affairs. The report covered up the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's arrest, ill-treatment and beating prior to his death in custody	Letter by S.V. Kudeneev to I.A. Myasnikov, Head of Department of General Prosecutor's Office: "On orders from Deputy General Prosecutor E.L. Zabarchuk from 24.11.2009, a probe has been carried out by the department of oversight over adherence to the law in the penal system into the sudden death of defendant S.L. Magnitsky... There were no applications from S.L. Magnitsky received by the Prosecutor's Office of Moscow or the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation...In all stated detention centers, he was provided with medical care with the provision of the examination in the hospital of SIZO-1 of Moscow, and also with the placement to the therapeutic unit of SIZO-2 of Moscow. Medical units are supplied with medical preparations in the necessary quantity and assortment. On personal application from S.L. Magnitsky medicines were received for him from his relatives, but there was no need in it. Refusals to provide medical care by employees of the penal system have not been identified. The above information is prepared on the basis of studying the actual state of affairs in detention centers, official internal documents, obtaining statements from officials of facilities and also from cellmates detained together with S.L. Magnitsky."	27-Nov-09	Report by Mr Kudeneev on findings into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1077.pdf	

Sergei Mikhailovich Tarakanov	22-Sep-47	Deputy Head of Department of Oversight over Adherence to the Law in the Penal System (until 2011)	GPO	A, B	In his position as deputy head of department overseeing the lawfulness of acts by officials in the penal system, was responsible for the proper consideration of complaints about Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment before and after his death in spite of his conflict of interest	In the instruction to his subordinate prosecutor Lonchakov, 27 days before Mr Magnitsky's death, Mr Tarakanov confirmed that he received the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, about his ill-treatment in custody, but instead of its consideration on substance, asked to forward it to the lower branch preventing prompt intervention and redress of the rights breaches	S.M. Tarakanov to A.A. Lonchakov: "Forward the application to the prosecutor's office in Moscow for consideration and reply to the applicant...Take execution under control."	20-Oct-09	Instruction by Mr Tarakanov to subordinate to forward the complaint about Mr Magnitsky's rights violations to the Moscow prosecutor's office	D1679.pdf
					Mr Tarakanov was responsible for the internal probe by the General Prosecutor's Office into Mr Magnitsky's death and his rights violations in custody, despite his conflict of interest as official responsible for the consideration of complaints about rights violations of Mr Magnitsky while he was still alive		Signed by S.M. Tarakanov and V.A. Lonchakov: "To fulfill the order from deputy General Prosecutor of the Russian Federation E.L. Zabarchuk from 24.11.2009 due to the sudden death of defendant S.L. Magnitsky in a special hospital of FBU SIZO-1 of Moscow, deputy head of Department of oversight over the adherence to law in the penal system S.M. Tarakanov and senior prosecutor of this department V.A. Lonchakov carried out a probe...The placement and detention in cells of detention centers was carried out in accordance with requirements of the Federal Law On Detention...The domestic conditions of his detention in cells of SIZO-5 of Moscow (from 02.12.2008 to 28.04.2009), SIZO-1 of FSIN of Russia (from 28.04.2009 to 25.07.2009) met requirements of the law...During the detention in SIZO-2 (from 25.07.2009 to 16.11.2009) analogous conditions were created for him and his rights observance was ensured, except for the sanitary space of 4 sq m guaranteed by law. Besides, there was an occurrence of detention of S.L.Magnitsky before delivery to the court in some collection cells that did not have sanitary unit and water supply. The probe showed that the transfers of S.L. Magnitsky from one detention center to another were carried out within the framework of the investigation of the criminal case on written order from the investigation body, which	24-Nov-09	Conclusion of the Prosecutorial Probe into Mr Magnitsky's Death	D1612.pdf
							[Cont'd] ...At the same time..at SIZO-2 [Butyrka] during the period from 25.07.2009 to 30.09.2009 there was no journal of registration of applications from detained persons. Because of this it is impossible to verify the arguments from S.L. Magnitsky stated in his diaries, about numerous applications to employees of the facility with written requests about the provisions of medical care. Besides, the primary medical documentation ...practically was not kept - the medical card of S.L. Magnitsky was void of any notes during the period from 24.07.2009 to 07.10.2009. In breach of the requirements of Article 24 of the Federal Law No 103FZ during the provision of medical care in relation to existing diseases to S.L.Magnitsky an untimely examination by a specialist doctor occurred. The recommendations given during the medical examination at SIZO-1 of FSIN of Rusisa were not fulfilled - the consultation by a surgeon, repeat abdominal ultrasound examination, biochemistry blood work were not carried out...The correctness of diagnostics and treatment of S.L.Magnitsky is being checked under the investigation of the criminal case commenced on 24.11.2009."			

					In his letter to acting head of department of the Investigative Committee of Russia Mr Zipunnikov, Mr Tarakanov explained that while Mr Magnitsky was alive, his department forwarded the complaints about Mr Magnitsky's rights violations in custody without consideration to its Moscow branch, and that his department had no information on complaints received by the Moscow branch. This is in spite of the fact that the consideration of complaints had been placed under "control" within the General Prosecutor's Office according to his own letter.	S.M. Tarakanov: "During the period from 02.12.2008 to 16.11.2009 according to AIK Nadzor database, the Department of oversight over the lawfulness in the penal system received no complaints from S.L.Magnitsky from detention centers of FSIN [federal penal service of Russia] and therefore did not consider them.The General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation on 14.09.2009 and 30.09.2009 received two complaints from lawyer D.V. Kharitonov in the interests of S.L. Magnitsky which were forwarded to the Moscow Prosecutor's Office on 17.09.2009 and 05.10.2009 because these were the first time applications for the Department of oversight over the lawfulness in the penal system. Besides, on 9.10.2009 the application from Jamison Reed Firestone came via the Department of oversight over especially important cases - and it was also forwarded for consideration to the Moscow Prosecutor's Office (and placed under control over its execution). The oversight over the lawfulness of acts by employees of detention centers No 1,2, and 5 of FSIN of Russia is entrusted to employees of the Moscow Prosecutor's Office. Because of this, the General Prosecutor's Office has no information on the complaints by S.L. Magnitsky from these facilities [detention centers 1,2 and 5] to the Moscow Prosecutor's Office."	6-Jul-10	Report by Mr Tarakanov to the Investigative Committee on consideration of the complaints about Mr Magnitsky's rights violations	D1075.pdf	
Fedor Vasilievich Kiselevich	18-May-69	Deputy head of Department of Oversight over Adherence to the Law in the Penal System	GPO	B	In his position as Deputy head of Department of Oversight over Adherence to the Law in the Penal System, was responsible for the inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's death and considering applications from his family	Mr Kiselevich was responsible for the oversight over the inquiry into the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's death which covered it up	Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow A.A. Grigoriev to F.V. Kiselevich: "The violent nature of death is not excluded, the question of psychotropic or poisonous substances has been posed for examinations."	30-Nov-09	Report to Mr Kiselevich from subordinate prosecutor on the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1124.pdf
						Mr Kiselevich was responsible for considering an application from Mr Magnitsky family about access to the inquiry into his death and instead forwarded it to a lower branch without giving it due examination	From B.P. Markov to F.V. Kiselevich: "In accordance with your instruction, the application from lawyer D.V. Kharitonov for access to the materials of the inquiry into the death of S.L. Magnitsky ...and on other matters has been considered by the city prosecutor's office...The application...has been forwarded to the prosecutor's office for Eastern district of Moscow which is overseeing the investigation of this criminal case. The outcomes are under control of the city prosecutor's office."	11-Jan-10	Report to Mr Kiselevich on implementing his instruction concerning Mr Magnitsky's family application in relation to his death inquiry	D1671.pdf
Vladislav Alexeevich Lonchakov	25-Aug-63	Senior Prosecutor of Department of Oversight over Adherence to the Law in the Penal System	GPO	A, B	In his position as prosecutor of department overseeing penal system, failed to prevent Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment, properly respond to complaints about ill-treatment, and nevertheless was involved in the probe into Mr Magnitsky's death	27 days before Mr Magnitsky's death prosecutor Lonchakov was instructed by his superior, prosecutor Tarakanov, to place under his control the consideration by the lower branch of the prosecutor's office of the complaint about Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment in custody	To A.A. Lonchakov from S.M. Tarakanov: "Forward the application to the prosecutor's office in Moscow for consideration and reply to the applicant...Take execution under control."	20-Oct-09	Instruction to Mr Lonchakov about handling the complaint about Mr Magnitsky's rights violations in custody	D1613.pdf

					After Mr Magnitsky's death, prosecutor Lonchakov was responsible for carrying out and overseeing various internal inquiries into Mr Magnitsky's death in spite of his conflict of interest as senior official in charge of the penal system oversight during the time alleged violations occurred.	To V.A. Lonchakov from S.A Goryunov of the Moscow Prosecutor's Office: "In accordance with an instruction from the City Prosecutor, the section of oversight over the adherence to law in the penal system carried out a probe based on media reports about the violations of rights of defendant S.L. Magnitsky for material, domestic and medical and sanitary provision in custody in detention centers of Moscow. ...All transfers of defendant S.L. Magnitsky between cells were carried out due to the fulfillment of requirements of Article 33 of the Federal Law On Detention...in part concerning the separate detention of different categories of suspects and accused. The said cells of detention centers are equipped in accordance with the requirements of the federal law and Rules of Internal Order. ...During detention in custody, S.L. Magnitsky did not address the administration of detention centers and city prosecutor's office with complaints on matters of material and domestic provision. During the probe no violations of requirements of Articles 20, 21 of the Federal Law On Detention... were identified on behalf of administrations of FBU IZ-77/5, IZ-77/2 [Butyrka] in Moscow...The materials concerning the application of special means of restraint on defendant S.L. Magnitsky by employees of FBU IZ-77/1 [Matrosskaya Tishinal in Moscow on 19.11.2009 were	23-Nov-09	Report to Mr Lonchakov from the Moscow Prosecutor's Office on the probe into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1614.pdf
					Prosecutor Lonchakov was involved in considering the complaints about Mr Magnitsky's rights violations both before and after his death in custody in spite of the clear conflict of interest, according to the prosecutorial report prepared by Senior Prosecutor S.V. Berezikov for the head of General Prosecutor's Office department in charge of lawfulness of detention Mr S.V. Kudeneev	Report naming Mr Lonchakov to head of department S.V. Kudeneev from senior prosecutor S.V. Berezikov: "On 08.10.2009 The application from Jamison Reed Firestone (via Department No 34) about the improper domestic and medical and sanitary provision of S.L. Magnitsky during the period of his detention was forwarded to the Moscow Prosecutor's Office, and placed under control (No 17-458-09, executed by V.A. Lonchakov); On 19.11. 2009 The application from lawyer E.Oreshnikova about the release of corpse of S.L. Magnitsky was forwarded to the Moscow Prosecutor's Office for a check, copies in accordance with indicators - to 15 and 34 department (No17p-09, executed by V.A. Lonchakov)"	24-Nov-09	Prosecutorial report stating Mr Lonchakov's responsibility for considering complaints about Mr Magnitsky	D1078.pdf
					Mr Lonchakov was responsible for the key probe by the General Prosecutor's Office into Mr Magnitsky's death immediately after the death in custody, which covered up the scope of ill-treatment, and in spite of his conflict of interest as a senior official responsible for overseeing human rights and preventing such violations from occurring and his role in failing to properly respond to complaints about Mr Magnitsky's rights violations while he was still alive	Signed by S.M. Tarakanov and V.A. Lonchakov: "To fulfill the order from deputy General Prosecutor of the Russian Federation E.L. Zabarchuk from 24.11.2009 due to the sudden death of defendant S.L. Magnitsky in a special hospital of FBU SIZO-1 of Moscow, deputy head of Department of oversight over the adherence to law in the penal system S.M. Tarakanov and senior prosecutor of this department V.A. Lonchakov carried out a probe...The placement and detention in cells of detention centers was carried out in accordance with requirements of the Federal Law On Detention...The domestic conditions of his detention in cells of SIZO-5 of Moscow (from 02.12.2008 to 28.04.2009), SIZO-1 of FSIN of Russia (from 28.04.2009 to 25.07.2009) met requirements of the law...During the detention in SIZO-2 (from 25.07.2009 to 16.11.2009) analogous conditions were created for him and his rights observance was ensured, except for the sanitary space of 4 sq m guaranteed by law. Besides, there was an occurrence of detention of S.L.Magnitsky before delivery to the court in some collection cells that did not have sanitary unit and water supply. The probe showed that the transfers of S.L. Magnitsky from one detention center to another were carried out within the framework of the investigation of the criminal case on written order from the investigation body, which	24-Nov-09	Conclusion of the Prosecutorial Probe into Mr Magnitsky's Death	D1734.pdf

Galina Viktorovna Tarasova	7-Nov-71	Senior Prosecutor of section of department of the General Prosecutor's Office	GPO	B	In her position as representative of the General Prosecutor's Office in court, resisted the application from the Magnitsky's mother seeking to compel an investigation of Mr Magnitsky's false arrest, torture and murder in custody	In Basmanny District Court of Moscow, Ms Tarasova resisted the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking to compel a thorough and comprehensive investigation of the false arrest, torture and murder of her son and the role of many government officials involved	G.V. Tarasova: "With the participation of senior prosecutor...G.V. Tarasova...Prosecutor G.V. Tarasova also objected to the complaint, explaining that the access to justice to the applicant [Magnitsky's mother] is not obstructed, her constitutional rights are not breached...The applicant did not state specific data about the perpetration of other crimes, her arguments are subject to a check during the investigation of the criminal case No 201/366795-10 [into Mr Magnitsky's death due to negligence of prison officials]"	13-Dec-11	Ruling by Basmanny District Court of Moscow to refuse complaint seeking to compel an investigation of Mr Magnitsky's arrest, torture and murder	D1080.pdf
						In Moscow City Court, Ms Tarasova objected to the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking to compel a thorough and comprehensive investigation of the false arrest, torture and murder by government officials of her son	"The judicial collegium...having heard ...opinion of prosecutor G.V. Tarasova, considering to leave the ruling unchanged...determined to leave unchanged the ruling by Basmanny district court of Moscow of 13 December 2011 in relation to the complaint from N.N. Magnitskaya"	22-Feb-12	Cassation Court ruling refusing the appeal of complaint seeking to compel an investigation of Mr Magnitsky's arrest, torture and murder	D1081.pdf
Denis Petrovich Shtunder	23-Nov-83	Prosecutor of section to support public prosecution	GPO	B	In his position as senior prosecutor official, was responsible for the cover up of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky via the "special" Khebnikov proceeding, which exonerated all officials at a hearing that heard no evidence	Prosecutor Shtunder supported the indictment for the \$230 million theft of Mr Khebnikov who was entered in court records as jobless person, and agreed to no trial but a special proceeding instead with the verdict being based on Khebnikov's admittance of guilt	"With participation of state prosecutor - prosecutor of section to support public prosecution of the Main Department of ensuring the participation of prosecutors in the consideration of criminal cases by courts D.P. Shtunder, having considered in an open court hearing the materials of the criminal case in relation to Khebnikov Vyacheslav Georgievich...During the review of case materials, Khebnikov in the presence of his defence lawyer because of the agreement with the stated accusation applied for a sentence to be issued without a trial...State prosecutor and victims did not object to the special proceeding to adopt a court decision...Deem Khebnikov Vyacheslav Georgievich guilty of committing the crime under p. 4 of Art. 159 of the Criminal Code of RF...on the basis of which deprive him of liberty for the period of 5 (five) years in a penal colony with general regime."	10-Mar-11	Verdict by Tverskoi District Court in Moscow sentencing a jobless Mr Khebnikov for \$230 m theft	D1084.pdf
Yuri Vasilievich Makuschenko	14-Jan-65	Prosecutor of section of General Prosecutor's Office	GPO	B	In his position as prosecutor of the General Prosecutor's Office, objected to the disclosure of the materials concerning acts of Interior Ministry's investigator Silchenko seeking to commence a criminal prosecution of a Hermitage lawyer based on a falsified FSB report	In Ostankinsky District Court of Moscow, Mr Makushenko objected to the application from Hermitage outside lawyer seeking to compel access to FSB and Interior Ministry files used to fabricate materials against him and attempt opening a criminal case as a measure of intimidation	"Court, having considered the opinion of the prosecutor, who thought that the complaint from the applicant must be left without satisfaction, because based on the results of the probe under Article 144-145 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF on 11 July 2010 it was decided to refuse to open a criminal case [in relation to Hermitage outside lawyer],...having reviewed and examined the submitted materials, reached a conclusion that the complaint ...is not subject to satisfaction."	30-May-12	Ruling by Ostankinsky District Court of Moscow refusing access to Interior Ministry's fabricated file concerning the Hermitage lawyer	D1085.pdf

Irina Nikolaevna Loseva	16-May-71	Senior Prosecutor of section of GPO	GPO	B	In her position as prosecutor of the General Prosecutor's Office, Ms Loseva resisted the application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague seeking to compel a prompt and thorough inquiry into the concealment of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky and members of the conspiracy	In Basmanny District Court of Moscow, prosecutor Loseva resisted the application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Jamison Firestone, seeking to compel an investigation of the tax officials - members of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky responsible for systematic thefts under the guise of tax refunds, and of the allegations of their illicit wealth, detailed in his application of 15 April 2011	"With participation of senior prosecutor...I.N. Loseva...In court prosecutor I.N. Loseva objected to the satisfaction of the complaint, stating that the check of arguments from the statement from J.R.Firestone is being done within the framework of the investigation of the criminal case No 344212..."	12-Mar-12	Decree by Basmanny District Court of Moscow	D1685.pdf
						In Basmanny District Court of Moscow, Ms Loseva resisted the application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, seeking to compel an investigation of the concealment since 2008 by officials of the General Prosecutor's Office and Interior Ministry of the criminal conspiracy involved in budget thefts, as detailed in his application of 30 January 2012, finding no reason to compel such an investigation.	"Prosecutor I.N. Loseva objecting to the satisfaction of the complaint, explained that the grounds were not justified, ...the application did not contain data about committed crimes or preparation for the crimes...According to the written reply to the applicant's complaint submitted by the head of section ...of the Investigative Committee D.R. Schegolikhin, the Investigative Committee has considered the application from the U.S. citizen D.R. Firestone of 30 January 2012 into the matter to conduct procedural probe and commence a criminal case in relation to employees of the Interior Ministry of Russian and the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation complicit in the opinion of the applicant in the concealment of persons who had organised the theft of 5.4 billion roubles from the budget of the Russian Federation...As follows from the case materials submitted to the court, the application from Jamison Firestone Reed of 30 January 2012 concerning the acts of officials of prosecutor's office, Interior Ministry of Russia and on other matters was considered by the authorised official - deputy chair of the Investigation Committee of Russia V.I. Piskaryev. On 29 February 2012 in accordance with the order stipulated by the law it was forwarded to the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation. The cover note states that the check into the	3-Apr-12	Decision by Basmanny District Court of Moscow refusing the complaint seeking to compel a prompt inquiry into the concealment of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	D1086.pdf
Elena Victorovna Antipenko	7-Jul-64	Deputy Head of Department of Oversight over Procedural Conduct of Investigative Committee	GPO	B	In her position as prosecutor of the General Prosecutor's Office, was involved in the oversight of the retaliatory criminal proceeding against the Attorney for Hermitage Fund, Mr Khairetdinov, who reported the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	In her letter to Deputy Prosecutor of the City of Moscow, Ms Antipenko indicated that cases connected to Mr Magnitsky were under the supervision of her office in connection with an application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, and under one of which an attorney for Hermitage Fund, Eduard Khairetdinov, was being criminally prosecuted. All applications to cease his persecution and end the prosecution conducted on a fabricated ground have been refused	E.V. Antipenko to B.P. Markov, Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow: "General Prosecutor's office of the Russian Federation has under consideration an application from J.R. Firestone concerning the circumstances of the investigation of several criminal cases connected to the activity of S.L. Magnitsky. In light of this, please until 02.04.2012 inform...about the outcome of the preliminary investigation under the criminal case No 360138 ...in relation to attorney E.M. Khairetdinov...In case of consent with the adopted procedural decision, please submit the materials of the case with corresponding conclusion by the same deadline."	29-Mar-12	Letter to Moscow City Prosecutor's Office requiring information on Magnitsky related case concerning attorney Khairetdinov	D1091.pdf
A.V. Kulikov		Prosecutor of General Prosecutor's Office	GPO	B	In his position as prosecutor of the General Prosecutor's Office, resisted an application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague seeking an inquiry into the concealment of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	In Basmanny District Court of Moscow, Mr Kulikov objected to the application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, seeking to compel Mr Bastrykin, head of the Investigative Committee of Russia, to conduct an investigation of the concealment of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky, and did not find it improper to send Mr Firestone's original application for consideration to the General Prosecutor's Office, whose officials were named for the concealment	"Senior prosecutor A.V. Kulikov in court proceeding requested for the complaint ...to be refused, because the application from J.R. Firestone had been considered by an official of the Investigative Committee of Russia in the proper way, there were no grounds to carry out a probe under articles 144-145 of the Criminal Procedural Code of Russia. Forwarding the complaint from J.R. Firestone to the General Prosecutor's Office of Russian Federation for checking does not violate the rights and interests of J.R. Firestone, does not complicate his access to justice."	1-Oct-12	Ruling by Basmanny District Court of Moscow refusing to compel an investigation of the concealment of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	D1082.pdf

MOSCOW PROSECUTOR'S OFFICE										
Yuri Yurievich Semin	1-Jun-50	Prosecutor of Moscow (2006-2011), since 2011 - head of Department of General Prosecutor's Office for combating corruption)	MPO	B	In his position as Prosecutor of Moscow, was in charge of the inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's death during which circumstances of his ill-treatment were covered up	The announcement on the General Prosecutor's Office website informed that Prosecutor of the City of Moscow controlled the probe into the death of Mr Magnitsky in custody	"The Prosecutor's Office of Moscow is carrying out a probe in detention center No 1 Matrosskaya Tishina of observance of rights of defendant Sergei Magnitsky for the provision of medical, sanitary, material and domestic care during the period of detention in custody. 37-year old Sergei Magnitsky died in detention center on 16 November 2009. According to preliminary data, the death occurred due to heart failure. The probe is under control of the City Prosecutor."	18-Nov-09	Announcement of probe into Mr Magnitsky's death under control of Prosecutor of City of Moscow	D1676.pdf
					In the report to Mr Semin, Mr Goryunov covered up the complaints from Mr Magnitsky and his lawyers that he himself had considered while Mr Magnitsky was alive, but disclosed the use of handcuffs on Mr Magnitsky before his death, which nevertheless remained uninvestigated. The report contains instruction from Mr Semin to his subordinates	Report to Y.Y. Semin from S.A. Goryunov with Mr Semin's instruction: "In accordance with your order, the section of oversight over law adherence in detention has carried out a probe of press reports about the breaches of rights for domestic, material, medical and sanitary provision for S.L. Magnitsky...All transfers between cells were carried out in accordance with the fulfillment of requirements of the Federal Law in part concerning the separate placement of different categories of suspects and accused...During the period of detention in custody, Magnitsky did not address complaints about the matters of domestic, material, medical, and sanitary provision to the administration of detention centers and the city prosecutor's office...During the probe, no violations of the federal law On Detention have been identified...in detention centers No 2 and 5...During the probe, it was determined that O.G. Kuznetsov applied handcuffs to the accused.. The material was sent on 19.11.2009 to the Investigative Section for Preobrazhensky District of Moscow under Articles 144-145 of the Criminal Procedure Code of Russia [application to open a criminal proceeding based on signs of crime]....During the probe, it was determined that during detention of S.L. Magnitsky in custody, employees of medical services of UFSIN of Moscow provided him with medical care according to	20-Nov-09	Report with Mr Semin's instruction on the outcome of probe into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1675.pdf	
					The names and signatures on the prosecutorial report informing of the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death show prosecutors responsible for the case oversight, including Mr Semin to whom the report was addressed by his subordinate prosecutor Goryunov	To Y.Y. Semin from Prosecutor Goryunov: "I report that on 24.11.2009 the Investigative Unit of the Preobrazhensky District of Moscow opened a criminal case No 366795 into the fact of death of Mr Magnitsky." The report is stamped as re-forwarded further to prosecutors Markov, Matrosov, Shurygin, Levchenko with an instruction: "To O.V. Levchenko... Place under control. 25.11.2009"	24-Nov-09	Report to Mr Semin from prosecutor Goryunov notifying of the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1093.pdf	
					Prosecutor of Moscow Semin instructed his subordinate Deputy Prosecutor Markov to conduct an inquiry about the reported refusal of an independent autopsy to Mr Magnitsky family	Instruction from Y.Y. Semin to Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow B.P. Markov: "For assessment and control over the check" attached to the report by prosecutor Goryunov concerning the media reports that independent autopsy of Mr Magnitsky had been refused	24-Nov-09	Order by Mr Semin to Deputy Markov concerning the reported refusal of the independent autopsy of Mr Magnitsky	D1670.pdf	

Boris Petrovich Markov	1-Feb-63	Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow (since 2009, during 2006-2009 - prosecutor of Southern district of Moscow	MPO	B	In his role of Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow was in charge of oversight over the investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death in custody, during which the circumstances of his ill-treatment in custody and the role of Moscow prosecutors in failing to address complaints about Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment have been covered up. Mr Markov also contributed to the cover up of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky and authorised a repressive case against another lawyer who reported that conspiracy	The names and signatures on the prosecutorial report informing of the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death show prosecutors responsible for the case oversight, including Mr Markov	To Y.Y. Semin from Prosecutor Goryunov: "I report that on 24.11.2009 the Investigative Unit of the Preobrazhensky District of Moscow opened a criminal case No 366795 into the fact of death of Mr Magnitsky." The report is stamped as re-forwarded further to prosecutors Markov, Matrosov, Shurygin, Levchenko with an instruction: "To O.V. Levchenko... Place under control. 25.11.2009"	24-Nov-09	Report notifying of the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1727.pdf
						Instruction by Mr Markov showing his role in overseeing the inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's death was attached to a report by his subordinate Goryunov of 23 November 2009. The report denied that Mr Magnitsky relatives' request for an independent autopsy had been refused suggesting that investigator merely "explained that all post mortem autopsy examinations and assessments of corpses as a general rule are carried out by the Bureau of Judicial Medical Examinations of the Moscow City Health Department."	B.P. Markov: "To S.A. Goryunov. Report on 27.11.2009 before end of business day about the course of the investigation and the inquiry of the General Prosecutor's Office based on authority."	25-Nov-09	Instruction by Mr Markov to his subordinates in relation to complaint about the refusal of independent autopsy to Mr Magnitsky family	D1735.pdf
						Mr Markov was responsible for considering an application from the Mr Magnitsky family about the inquiry into his death without giving it proper assessment	B.P. Markov to F.V. Kiselevich: "In accordance with your instruction, the application from lawyer D.V. Kharitonov for access to the materials of the inquiry into the death of S.L. Magnitsky ...and on other matters has been considered by the city prosecutor's office...The application...has been forwarded to the prosecutor's office for Eastern district of Moscow which is overseeing the investigation of this criminal case. The outcomes are under control of the city prosecutor's office."	11-Jan-10	Letter by Mr Markov to General Prosecutor's Office about access of the family to the inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1739.pdf
						Mr Markov passed an application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, seeking a proper inquiry into the reasons of Mr Magnitsky's arrest and detention, his ill-treatment and death in custody, to his subordinate prosecutor Levchenko, which has not been duly considered	B.P. Markov to O.V. Levchenko: "To organise a consideration."	28-Jan-10	Instruction by Mr Markov concerning application from Mr Firestone for an inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's detention and death	D1740.pdf

					Mr Markov gave a formal response to the application from Hermitage and the Russian Public Chamber concerning the inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's detention and death in custody, concluding that all "necessary" actions have been taken (although this was not the case, i.e. CCTV footage has not been gathered, many eye witnesses have not been identified and questioned, documentation has not been collected, blood type has not been determined, etc). He confirmed that the city prosecutor's office was controlling the outcomes of the investigation	B.P. Markov to A.G. Kucherena: "I report that the application from G. Felgenhauer [Hermitage representative] concerning the inquiry into the circumstances of death of S.L. Magnitsky in the building of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow has been considered by the city prosecutor's office...Currently, necessary investigative actions are being carried out aimed at identifying the circumstances of what happened. The outcomes are under control. I also inform you that the oversight over the procedural activity of the Interior Ministry's Investigation Committee is carried out by the General Prosecutor's Office of RF."	25-Feb-10	Letter by Mr Markov in response for an application for a full inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's detention and death	D1673.pdf
					According to the letter from the Moscow City Prosecutor's Office, the Hermitage complaint reporting the theft of Hermitage Fund's companies and the multi-million dollar frauds against them was forwarded for a probe to Mr Markov as Prosecutor for the Southern District of Moscow, who in that capacity contributed to covering up the criminal conspiracy	To B.P. Markov from L.A. Guseva from the Moscow Prosecutor's Office: "I forward to you the application from P. Wrench on 18 pages."	11-Jan-09	Letter forwarding to Mr Markov for a probe the complaint about the theft of Hermitage Fund's companies	D1100.pdf
					In his capacity as Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow, Mr Markov authorised the repressive proceeding against the Hermitage Fund's attorney Khairtdinov who reported the criminal conspiracy. The case was based on a false testimony from a convicted person, Mr Markelov, whom attorney had named in complaints for perpetrating the frauds against Hermitage and the Russian treasury	B.P. Markov: "No assessment has been made of the testimony of V.A. Markelov about the complicity of E.M. Khairtdinov ...in the theft in September-December 2007 of 5.4 billion roubles of budget funds..."	27-Sep-12	Decree to continue the investigation of Hermitage Fund's lawyer who reported the criminal conspiracy	D1098.pdf
					Mr Markov instructed General Major Yakovenko, head of the investigative branch in Moscow, to continue the repressive case against the Hermitage Fund's attorney Khairtdinov for the alleged use of "false powers of attorney". The attorney, on his client's behalf , had reported the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky that perpetrated the thefts of Hermitage Fund's companies and \$230 m from the Treasury	B.P. Markov to V.V. Yakovenko, head of investigative branch of Moscow: "I am sending you the criminal case No 360138 about the fact of the commitment by attorney E.M. Khairtdinov of the crime under Section 3 of Article 327 of the Criminal Code of RF to organise further investigation...Please inform the city prosecutor's office of the outcomes of investigation." (executed and approved also by D.V. Matrosov and Levchenko)	27-Sep-12	Instruction by Mr Markov to target Hermitage Fund's lawyer with criminal proceeding	D1099.pdf

Alexei Alexeevich Grigoriev	9-Aug-68	Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow	MPO	A, B	In his role as Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow, was in charge of responding to the complaint about Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment in custody while he was alive, and in spite of conflict of interest, was overseeing the investigation into his death and carrying out the prosecutorial probe into that mistreatment which resulted in the cover up	37 days before Mr Magnitsky's death in custody, Mr Grigoriev was instructed to respond to the complaint received by the General Prosecutor's Office about Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment in custody from his colleague, Jamison Firestone. Mr Grigoriev was asked to "take measures of response in case of grounds in accordance with the law"	To A.A. Grigoriev from S.M. Tarakanov from General Prosecutor's Office: "I am forwarding to you the application from the US citizen Jamison Reed Firestone from 08.10.2009 in the interests of defendant S.L. Magnitsky. Please organise a check into the arguments about the violations of rights of S.L. Magnitsky in detention centers of Moscow, take measures of response in case of grounds in accordance with the law, and by 30.11.2009 submit to the General Prosecutor's Office detailed information on the outcomes (with copy of reply attached)."	20-Oct-09	Letter to Mr Grigoriev from General Prosecutor's Office instructing him to respond to the complaint about Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment	D1101.pdf
						After Mr Magnitsky's death, Mr Grigoriev was in charge of the oversight over the criminal case investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death, in spite of his earlier failure to intervene and remedy Mr Magnitsky's rights violations	A.A. Grigoriev to F.V. Kiselevich in the General Prosecutor's Office: "In addition to the previously provided information, I report that during the appointment of judicial medical examination of death investigator put also the question of the existence of narcotic, psychotropic or poisonous substances. Given this, the investigation does not exclude the violent nature of death...Final qualification of what happened will be given based on the results of necessary judicial medical examinations."	30-Nov-09	Report by Mr Grigoriev to General Prosecutor's Office on the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1102.pdf
						After Mr Magnitsky's death, Mr Grigoriev was instructed and carried out a prosecutorial probe into the provision of medical care to Mr Magnitsky in spite of his failure to conduct a prompt and thorough probe into the same matter reported to him while Mr Magnitsky was still alive	A.A. Grigoriev to V.A. Lonchakov, supervising prosecutor of Department of Oversight over Adherence to the Law in the Penal System of the General Prosecutor's Office: "On your order, ...due to the application from N.N. Magnitskaya...a probe ...into the improper provision of medical care to her son, S.L. Magnitsky, in FBU IZ-77/2 [Butyrka] of UFSIN of Moscow has been held...During the probe it has been determined that overall, the material and domestic conditions of detention of S.L. Magnitsky in detention centers met requirements of the Federal Law On Detention...During detention of Mr Magnitsky in cell 59 during the period from 1.09.2009 through to 08.09.2009 the norm of sanitary space per person stipulated by the federal legislation amounted to less than 4 square meters (3.8 sq m). In breach of the hygiene and sanitary requirements, the cell No 9 of the collection unit of IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow [Butyrka] is not equipped with a water supply unit."	(2)2-Dec-09	Conclusions by Mr Grigoriev on the provision of medical care to Mr Magnitsky	D1103.pdf
Sergei Alekseevich Goryunov	5-Nov-59	Head of section of oversight over law adherence in detention	MPO	A, B		In considering two complaints from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers about his rights violations one month before his death, Mr Goryunov issued a formal refusal, having failed to inspect the cells, meet Mr Magnitsky, question detention center officials and eye witnesses, and generally intervene to cease the ill-treatment while Mr Magnitsky was still alive	From S.A. Goryunov to D.V. Kharitonov, Mr Magnitsky's lawyer: "I report that your complaints into the violations of rights of defendant S.L. Magnitsky for medical, sanitary, material and domestic provision during detention in FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Moscow received from the General Prosecutor's Office have been considered...Upon arrival to FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Moscow S.L. Magnitsky in accordance with statutory order was examined by medical staff. Bodily injuries, symptoms of diseases were not identified. S.L. Magnitsky did not state complaints about his state of health...All transfers between cells of defendant S.L. Magnitsky were carried out to fulfill the requirements of Article 33 of the Federal Law on Detention...All said cells of the detention center are equipped in accordance with the requirements of the federal law and Rules of Internal Order...According to data provided by the UFSIN of Moscow [Moscow penal service], during detention in custody S.L. Magnitsky did not submit applications and complaints."	26-Oct-09	Refusal by Mr Goryunov of two complaints from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers about his rights violations in custody	D1110.pdf

					Mr Goryunov was one of the three officials formally notified by Head of detention center Matrosskaya Tishina of the death of Mr Magnitsky	To G.V. Kharlamov (Moscow district branch of Investigative Committee), V.A.Davydov (Moscow Penal Service), S.A. Goryunov (Moscow Prosecutor's Office) from F.G. Tagiev, head of Matrosskaya Tishina: "On 16.11.2009 at 21:50 in PIT of surgery unit of the hospital of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow died arrested Sergei Leonidovich Magnitsky...[he] arrived on 16.11.2009 at 18:20 from FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow. [He] was placed in cell No 4 of the collection unit of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow. At 19:00 a team of psychiatric emergency ambulance was called to arrested S.L. Magnitsky (No 904253) who arrived at 21:10. On 16.11.2009 at 21:20 due to the sudden deterioration of his health S.L. Magnitsky was delivered to cell No 739 (PIT) of the surgery section of the hospital of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow...During the initial examination of corpse of S.L. Magnitsky no signs of violent death were found...The corpse of arrested S.L. Magnitsky was sent to the 11th judicial morgue of Moscow for a post mortem autopsy. A probe is being carried out into the fact of death of arrested S.L. Magnitsky. The results of the probe will be reported separately."	18-Nov-09	Report to Mr Goryunov on the death of Mr Magnitsky from head of Matrosskaya Tishina	D1113.pdf
					Mr Goryunov was in charge of preparing a report on Mr Magnitsky's death, in spite of his conflict of interest and failure to intervene in response to complaints about Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment in custody while he was alive. The report found no violations in the provision of medical care to Mr Magnitsky	To prosecutor of Moscow Y.Y. Semin from prosecutor S.A. Goryunov: "In accordance with your order, the section of oversight over law adherence in detention has carried out a probe of press reports about the breaches of rights for domestic, material, medical and sanitary provision for S.L. Magnitsky...All transfers between cells were carried out in accordance with the fulfillment of requirements of the Federal Law in part concerning the separate placement of different categories of suspects and accused...During the period of detention in custody, Magnitsky did not address complaints about the matters of domestic, material, medical, and sanitary provision do the administration of detention centers and the city prosecutor's office...During the probe, no violations of the federal law On Detention have been identified...in detention centers No 2 and 5...During the probe, it was determined that O.G. Kuznetsov applied handcuffs to the accused.. The material was sent on 19.11.2009 to the Investigative Section for Preobrazhensky District of Moscow under Articles 144-145 of the Criminal Procedure Code of Russia [application to open a criminal proceeding based on signs of crime]....During the probe, it was determined that during detention of S.L. Magnitsky in custody, employees of medical services of UFSIN of Moscow provided him with medical care according to S.A. Goryunov to Lonchakov at General Prosecutor's Office: "In accordance with the order of the city prosecutor, the section of oversight over law adherence in detention has conducted a probe into the media reports about the violations of rights of accused S.L. Magnitsky for domestic, material, medical and sanitary provision in detention centers of Moscow...All transfers of the accused S.L. Magnitsky between cells were carried out as part of the fulfillment of the requirement of Article 33 of the Federal Law "On Detention in Custody of Suspects and Accused in Crimes" in part of the separate detention of different categories of suspects and accused...During detention in custody S.L. Magnitsky did not address complaints on matters of material, domestic provision to the administration of detention centers and prosecutor's office...Material about the application of special means of restraint towards the accused S.L. Magnitsky by employees of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow on 19.11.2009 was sent to the Preobrazhensky Interdistrict Prosecutor's Office in Moscow to organise an inquiry in accordance with Articles 144-145 of the Criminal Procedure Code of the Russian Federation...Thus, during the probe it has been established that during the peirod of detention, medical care was provided by employees of medical service fo the Moscow Department of	20-Nov-09	Conclusion by Mr Goryunov on the provision of medical care to Mr Magnitsky issued after his death	D1744.pdf
					In his conclusion on the provision of medical care to Mr Magnitsky, issued seven days after his death, Mr Goryunov found that it was provided to Mr Magnitsky "in accordance with stated diagnosis" in spite of the evidence to the contrary. Mr Goryunov also stated that there was no complaints from Mr Magnitsky about his rights violations in detention in spite of the fact that he himself had refused such complaints filed by lawyers on Mr Magnitsky's behalf	S.A. Goryunov to Lonchakov at General Prosecutor's Office: "In accordance with the order of the city prosecutor, the section of oversight over law adherence in detention has conducted a probe into the media reports about the violations of rights of accused S.L. Magnitsky for domestic, material, medical and sanitary provision in detention centers of Moscow...All transfers of the accused S.L. Magnitsky between cells were carried out as part of the fulfillment of the requirement of Article 33 of the Federal Law "On Detention in Custody of Suspects and Accused in Crimes" in part of the separate detention of different categories of suspects and accused...During detention in custody S.L. Magnitsky did not address complaints on matters of material, domestic provision to the administration of detention centers and prosecutor's office...Material about the application of special means of restraint towards the accused S.L. Magnitsky by employees of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow on 19.11.2009 was sent to the Preobrazhensky Interdistrict Prosecutor's Office in Moscow to organise an inquiry in accordance with Articles 144-145 of the Criminal Procedure Code of the Russian Federation...Thus, during the probe it has been established that during the peirod of detention, medical care was provided by employees of medical service fo the Moscow Department of	23-Nov-09	Report by Mr Goyunov on the inquiry into Magnitsky's death	D1111.pdf

					In his report issued 8 days after Mr Magnitsky's death, Mr Goryunov confirmed that he was considering complaints about Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment while he was still alive	Report by S.A.Goryunov: "An application from S.L. Magnitsky about the unlawful detention in IVS [temporary holding facility] of the Interior Ministry branch of Moscow was received...It was considered by 16th department of Moscow, and on 29.04.2009 a reply was issued...From General Prosecutor's Office... on 17.09.2009 and on 05.10.2009 an application from lawyer D.V. Kharitonov about the violations of rights of S.L. Magnitsky for domestic, material, medical and sanitary provision were received for consideration by the section of oversight over law adherence in detention...Based on the results of the probe, conducted jointly with the UFSIN of Moscow [Penal Service of Moscow], no breaches of the law were identified, which was stated in a detailed reply issued on 26.09.2009 by head of section of the Moscow prosecutor's office S.A. Goryunov...On 27.10.2009, the section of the Moscow prosecutor's office received on 20.10.2009 from the General Prosecutor's Office an application from a U.S. citizen Jamison F.R. [sic] in the interests of S.L. Magnitsky with a deadline for controlled execution of 30.11.2009. No other applications in the interests of S.L. Magnitsky were received by the Moscow prosecutor's office according to NADZOR database."	24-Nov-09	Report by Mr Goryunov on four complaints received while Mr Magnitsky was alive	D1112.pdf	
					Mr Goryunov continued to be involved in the inquiries into Mr Magnitsky's death and signed a report to his superior on the probe into the provision of medical care which distorted the real circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment and denial of medical care during the last four months in detention	Report by S.A. Goryunov to prosecutor Lonchakov of the General Prosecutor's Office: "In accordance with your oral instruction, the city prosecutor's office carried out a probe into the undue provision of medical care to S.L. Magnitsky at FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN in Moscow [Butyrka]. ..During detention in FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN in Moscow defendant S.L. Magnitsky on 07.10.2009 applied to the medical unit of FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN in Moscow with complaints on pains...As a result of provided treatment, on 12.11.2000 a positive trend of the clinical picture was noted. On 13.11.2009 after arrival of S.L. Magnitsky from the court, where the matter to extend his term of custody was considered, he again reported the worsening of his state of health, and because of this was again hospitalised to the therapeutic unit of FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN in Moscow. On 16.11.2009 during another examination S.L. Magnitsky reported pains...On the same day defendant S.L. Magnitsky was transferred to a special hospital of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN in Moscow where at 21:50 he died. The preliminary diagnosis: acute heart failure."	06-Oct-2009 [sic - 2010]	Report by Mr Goryunov to General Prosecutor's Office on findings of the probe concerning Mr Magnitsky	D1114.pdf	
Sergei Mikhailovich Fedorov	17-May-55	Acting head of section of oversight of law adherence in detention, senior prosecutor of section	MPO	B	In his position as senior prosecutor in the Moscow city, was involved in the inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's death and refused Mr Magnitsky's mother access to the probe	Mr Fedorov was one of the first officials in the prosecutor's office to be formally notified of the death of Mr Magnitsky in custody	Special Report to S.M. Fedorov from head of Matrosskaya Tishina F.Tagiev: Special Report. On 16.11.2009 at 21:50 at the room of intensive therapy of the surgical unit of special hospital FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow died defendant Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich. born 08.04.1972"	16-Nov-09	Report to Mr Fedorov of Incident - death of S.L. Magnitsky	D1674.pdf
					Mr Fedorov was instructed, along with Mr Zakharov, to conduct an inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment in custody	"To E.V.Zakharov, S.M. Fedorov: Please by 19.11.2009 conduct a probe at FBU IZ-77/2 on circumstances stated in the media."		18-Nov-09	Instruction to Mr Fedorov to carry out an inquiry into media reports of Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment and death	D1742.pdf
					Mr Fedorov was part of the prosecutorial inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's death and received Mr Magnitsky's medical file from custody	To S.M. Fedorov from F.G. Tagiev, head of Matrosskaya Tishina: "We are sending you the copies of medical documentation...for S.L. Magnitsky, born 1972."		18-Nov-09	Letter to Mr Fedorov with Mr Magnitsky's detention medical records	D1116.pdf

					Mr Fedorov refused to Mr Magnitsky's mother access to prosecutorial files concerning the probe into her son's death	S.M.Fedorov: "I inform you that your application on the matter of access to materials and results of the probe carried out by the Moscow prosecutor's office into the fact of death of S.L. Magnitsky in FKU SIZO-1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow has been considered...It has been determined that there were no complaints, statements about the violations of rights of S.L. Magnitsky for material, domestic, medical and sanitary provision during his detention in facilities of UFSIN of Moscow from either you nor N.N. Magnitskaya registered by the section of oversight of law adherence in detention. Consequently, there are no grounds to disclose to you and N.N. Magnitskaya the materials of the probe into the fact of death of S.L. Magnitsky in FKU SIZO-1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow."	07.09.2011	Refusal by Mr Fedorov to lawyer for Mr Magnitsky's mother of access to Magnitsky file	D1117.pdf
E.V. Zakharov	Prosecutor of section of oversight of law adherence in detention	MPO	A, B	In his position as prosecutor in charge of oversight of law and human rights in detention, was in charge of the response to the complaints about Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment while he was alive, and was involved in the inquiry into his death in spite of conflict of interest	Mr Zakharov signed the refusal of two complaints from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers about his rights violations, 21 days before his death in custody	Signed by Mr Zakharov, letter from S.A. Goryunov to Mr Magnitsky's lawyer D.V. Kharitonov: "I report that your complaints into the violations of rights of defendant S.L. Magnitsky for medical, sanitary, material and domestic provision during detention in FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Moscow received from the General Prosecutor's Office have been considered...Upon arrival to FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Moscow S.L. Magnitsky in accordance with statutory order was examined by medical staff. Bodily injuries, symptoms of diseases were not identified. S.L. Magnitsky did not state complaints about his state of health...All transfers between cells of defendant S.L. Magnitsky were carried out to fulfill the requirements of Article 33 of the Federal Law on Detention...All said cells of the detention center are equipped in accordance with the requirements of the federal law and Rules of Internal Order...According to data provided by the UFSIN of Moscow [Moscow penal service], during detention in custody S.L. Magnitsky did not submit applications and complaints."	26-Oct-09	Refusal signed by Mr Zakharov (and Mr Goryunov) of two complaints from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers about his rights violations in custody	D1729.pdf
					20 days before Mr Magnitsky's death, Mr Zakharov was involved in the consideration of the complaint about Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment in custody and failed to intervene and cease the rights violations	Report to E.V. Zakharov from D.V. Komnov, head of Butyrka: "The probe has been carried out on application from D.V. Kharitonov [lawyer for S.L. Magnitsky]...The state of health of S.L. Magnitsky is satisfactory.. The medical treatment is carried out in accordance with prescription by the treating doctor, the medical examination is carried out regularly..."	27-Oct-09	Report to Mr Zakharov concerning the complaint about ill-treatment of Mr Magnitsky in custody	D1118.pdf
					Mr Zakharov was instructed to carry out an inquiry into the reports of Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment and death in spite of the conflict of interest	Instruction "To E.V.Zakharov, S.M. Fedorov: Please by 19.11.2009 conduct a probe at FBU IZ-77/2 on circumstances stated in the media" on the Report of death of Mr Magnitsky addressed to Head of Moscow Penal System V.A. Dadydov and Senior Prosecutor in Moscow Prosecutor's Office S.M. Fedorov from head of Matrosskaya Tishina F.G.Tagiev	18-Nov-09	Instruction to Mr Zakharov to conduct inquiry into media reports of Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment prior to his death	D1743.pdf
					After Mr Magnitsky's death, as part of a prosecutorial inquiry Mr Zakharov was interviewing witnesses about Mr Magnitsky's treatment	Prosecutor E.V. Zakharov...has interviewed Litvinova Larisa Anatolievna...[Litvinova] can explain the following about the substance of questions posed to me...During detention of S.L.Magnitsky the medical observation of the said person from the time of his application about the worsening of his health until his hospitalisation, was carried out by me.	18-Nov-09	Record of Mr Zakharov interviewing Butyrka doctor Ms Litvinova on Mr Magnitsky's treatment in custody	D1119.pdf

					After Mr Magnitsky's death in custody, Mr Zakharov was instructed to place under his "control" the inquiry into his rights violations in custody which found that there were no complaints, even though Mr Zakharov was involved in considering such complaints 24 days previously	Instruction "To E.V. Zakharov. Place under control over ...UFSIN" on the report to Prosecutor of Moscow Y.Y. Semin from prosecutor S.A. Goryunov which said: "In accordance with your order, the section of oversight over law adherence in detention has carried out a probe of press reports about the breaches of rights for domestic, material, medical and sanitary provision for S.L. Magnitsky...All transfers between cells were carried out in accordance with the fulfillment of requirements of the Federal Law in part concerning the separate placement of different categories of suspects and accused...During the period of detention in custody, Magnitsky did not address complaints about the matters of domestic, material, medical, and sanitary provision to the administration of detention centers and the city prosecutor's office...During the probe, no violations of the federal law On Detention have been identified...in detention centers No 2 and 5...During the probe, it was determined that O.G. Kuznetsov applied handcuffs to the accused.. The material was sent on 19.11.2009 to the Investigative Section for Preobrazhenisky District of Moscow under Articles 144-145 of the Criminal Procedure Code of Russia [application to open a criminal proceeding based on signs of crime]....During the probe, it was determined that during detention of S.L. Magnitsky in custody, employees of medical	20-Nov-09	Instruction to Mr Zakharov to place under control the inquiry into Magnitsky's death	D1745.pdf	
Oleg Victorovich Levchenko	10-Jan-79	Head of department of oversight over investigation in prosecution bodies	MPO	B	In his position as a senior prosecutor within the Moscow branch, was in charge of various inquiries concerning Mr Magnitsky's death, refusals of independent autopsy, and applications for an investigation of the true reasons of his arrest and detention, none of which were properly examined. Also oversaw the repressive criminal case against Attorney for the Hermitage Fund who reported the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	The prosecutorial report instructed Mr Levchenko to place under control the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death	Instruction: "To O.V. Levchenko... Place under control. 25.11.2009" on the report to Prosecutor of Moscow Y.Y. Semin from Prosecutor Goryunov: "I report that on 24.11.2009 the Investigative Unit of the Preobrazhensky District of Moscow opened a criminal case No 366795 into the fact of death of Mr Magnitsky." The report is stamped as re-forwarded further to prosecutors Markov, Matrosov, Shurygin, and Levchenko	24-Nov-09	Instruction to Mr Levchenko to place under control the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1724.pdf
					Prosecutor of Moscow Semin instructed to conduct an inquiry into the reported refusal of the independent autopsy to Mr Magnitsky family, to various subordinates, including Mr Markov, Mr Levchenko and Mr Goryunov	Instruction by Y.Y. Semin to Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow B.P. Markov and forwarding to Mr Levchenko: "For assessment and control over the check" attached to the report by prosecutor Goryunov concerning the media reports that independent autopsy of Mr Magnitsky had been refused	25-Nov-09	Order naming Mr Levchenko concerning the inquiry into the reported refusal of the independent autopsy of Mr Magnitsky	D1736.pdf	
					Mr Levchenko was involved in the oversight over the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death which covered up his ill-treatment	O.V. Levchenko signature on letter from Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow A.A. Grigoriev to F.V. Kiselevich at General Prosecutor's Office: "the violent nature of death is not excluded, the question of psychotropic or poisonous substances has been posed for examinations."	30-Nov-09	Signature of Mr Levchenko on the report from Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow Grigoriev about the investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death	D1121.pdf	

					Mr Levchenko was instructed to consider the application from Mr Firestone seeking a wide-ranging inquiry into the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's arrest, ill-treatment and death	Instruction: "To O.V. Levchenko. To organise consideration. B.P. Markov" attached to the letter from V.V. Lukianov from the General Prosecutor's Office addressed to the Moscow City Prosecutor's Office and copied to J. Firestone: "Here is being forwarded to be considered on substance the application from Jamison R.I. and others received from the Department of the President of the Russian Federation for applications from citizens for an inquiry into the circumstances of death of S.L. Magnitsky. Please inform the applicant about the decision taken until 20 February 2010."	28-Jan-10	Instruction to Mr Levchenko from Deputy Moscow Prosecutor Markov to consider the application from Mr Firestone about an inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's detention and death	D1741.pdf	
					Mr Levchenko was instructed to consider the lawfulness of the case against attorney for the Hermitage Fund who reported the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky and failed to intervene to cease the case and rights violations of the attorney	Instruction: "To O.V. Levchenko. To organise execution. B.P. Markov" attached to the letter from E.V. Antipenko of the General Prosecutor's office of Russia addressed to Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow Markov	2-Apr-12	Instruction to Mr Levchenko from Deputy Moscow Prosecutor Markov in relation to the case against attorney for the Hermitage Fund	D1122.pdf	
					Mr Levchenko instructed the district prosecutor in relation to the continuation of the retaliatory case against the attorney for Hermitage Fund who reported the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	From O.V. Levchenko to Prosecutor of Southern Administrative District of Moscow I.N. Kuksa: "Deputy General Prosecutor of RF V.Y. Grin on 17.06.2011 cancelled the decree to suspend the preliminary investigation under the criminal case No 360138 in relation to attorney E.M. Khairtdinov...The prosecutor's office of the city has repeatedly...instructed to provide information on the outcomes and address the shortcomings [of the criminal investigation]... I suggest that before 12.09.2012 you inform in detail about the outcomes of additional investigation." Signed by O.V. Levchenko, prepared by D.V. Matrosov, also signature by N.A. Shurygin	5-Sep-12	Instruction from Mr Levchenko to district prosecutor in relation to the case against attorney for the Hermitage Fund	D1123.pdf	
Nikita Anatolievich Shurygin	5-Apr-79	Head of 2nd section of Department of Oversight over investigation in prosecution bodies	MPO	B	In his role as prosecutor in the Moscow city branch, was responsible for the oversight of the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death in which the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment have been covered up, and for the oversight over a related retaliatory case against Hermitage Fund's attorney who reported the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	The prosecutorial report instructing Mr Shurygin and others in relation to the oversight over the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death	To Y.Y. Semin from Prosecutor Goryunov: "I report that on 24.11.2009 the Investigative Unit of the Preobrazhensky District of Moscow opened a criminal case No 366795 into the fact of death of Mr Magnitsky." The report is stamped as re-forwarded further to prosecutors Markov, Matrosov, Shurygin, Levchenko with an instruction: "To O.V. Levchenko... Place under control. 25.11.2009"	24-Nov-09	Report instructing Mr Shurygin and others in relation to the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1725.pdf

					Prosecutor of Moscow Semin instructed his subordinate Deputy Prosecutor Markov to examine and control the circumstances concerning the request for independent autopsy from the Magnitsky family, who in turn instructed various subordinates, including Mr Levchenko and Mr Goryunov, and Mr Shurygin who was specifically instructed to "prepare materials for the report"	Instruction to N.A. Shurygin: "Please prepare materials for the report" included in the Instruction by Y.Y. Semin to Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow B.P. Markov and forwarding to Mr Levchenko: "For assessment and control over the check" attached to the report by prosecutor Goryunov concerning the media reports that independent autopsy of Mr Magnitsky had been refused	25-Nov-09	Order instructing Mr Shurygin and others concerning the inquiry into the reported refusal of the independent autopsy of Mr Magnitsky	D1737.pdf	
					Mr Shurygin instructed his subordinate district prosecutor to report on the investigation of the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death during which critical evidence was lost or not gathered, key eye witnesses not questioned or questioned with significant delay, and circumstances and motives of his arrest, detention and death have been covered up	N.A. Shurygin to acting District Prosecutor for Preobrazhensky District V.V. Aristova: "The City Prosecutor's Office has placed under control the course and the outcomes of the investigation of the criminal case No 366795 into the fact of death of S.L. Magnitsky in the building of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow. Given above stated, please report monthly...with detailed information including in case of termination or suspension of the proceeding, the conclusion on the legality of decision taken."	26-Nov-09	Instruction by Mr Shurygin to District Prosecutor to report on the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1125.pdf	
					Mr Shurygin was involved in the prosecutorial oversight over the retaliatory criminal case against the Hermitage Fund's attorney Khairtdinov carried out in order to conceal the criminal conspiracy and did not intervene to cease it	N.A. Shurygin name on the instruction from Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow B.P. Markov: To O.V. Levchenko. To organise execution. Signed B.P. Markov. Forwarded to: N.A. Shurygin	2-Apr-12	Instruction to Mr Shurygin to review the case against Attorney for Hermitage Fund Mr Khairtdinov	D1126.pdf	
					Mr Shurygin was involved in the prosecutorial oversight over the retaliatory criminal case against the Hermitage Fund's attorney Khairtdinov and did not intervene to cease it	N.A. Shurygin to District Prosecutor for Southern District I.N. Kuksa: "Due to the execution of oversight, please report again about the outcomes of the additional investigation... of the criminal case No 360138 in relation to attorney E.M. Khairtdinov..."	6-Jun-12	Instruction by Mr Shurygin to report on the case against Attorney for Hermitage Fund Mr Khairtdinov	D1127.pdf	
Dmitry Vyachelsavich Matrosov	12-Sep-72	Prosecutor of Moscow Prosecutor's Office	MPO	B	In his position as prosecutor within the Moscow Prosecutor's Office, was involved in the inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment and the oversight over the investigation into his death which covered it up	The names and signatures on the prosecutorial report informing of the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death show prosecutors responsible for the case oversight, including Mr Matrosov	To Moscow City Prosecutor Y.Y. Semin from Prosecutor Goryunov: "I report that on 24.11.2009 the Investigative Unit of the Preobrazhensky District of Moscow opened a criminal case No 366795 into the fact of death of Mr Magnitsky." The report is stamped as re-forwarded further to prosecutors Markov, Matrosov, Shurygin, Levchenko with an instruction: "To O.V. Levchenko... Place under control. 25.11.2009"	24-Nov-09	Report from prosecutor Goryunov notifying of the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1126.pdf
					Prosecutor of Moscow Semin instructed an inquiry into the reported refusal of an independent autopsy to Mr Magnitsky family to his subordinates, including Mr Matrosov	Instruction: "To D.V. Matrosov" on the instruction from B.P. Markov to S.A. Goryunov: "To report on 27.11.2008 before end of business day about the course of the investigation and inquiry by the General Prosecutor's Office based on authority" attached to the report by prosecutor Goryunov concerning the media reports that independent autopsy of Mr Magnitsky had been refused	26-Nov-09	Order naming Mr Matrosov concerning the inquiry into the reported refusal of the independent autopsy of Mr Magnitsky	D1738.pdf	

					After Mr Magnitsky's death, Mr Matrosov was involved in the oversight over the criminal investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death during which the circumstances of his ill-treatment were covered up	D.V. Matrosov - on the letter from Deputy Prosecutor of Moscow A.A. Grigoriev to F.V. Kiselevich in the General Prosecutor's Office: "In addition to the previously provided information, I report that during the appointment of judicial medical examination of death investigator put also the question of the existence of narcotic, psychotropic or poisonous substances. Given this, the investigation does not exclude the violent nature of death...Final qualification of what happened will be given based on the results of necessary judicial medical examinations."	30-Nov-09	Report prepared by Mr Matrosov from Mr Grigoriev to General Prosecutor's Office on the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1728.pdf	
					Mr Matrosov was also involved in instructing the district prosecutor in relation to the continuation of the case against the attorney for Hermitage Fund who reported the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	D.V. Matrosov...on the letter from O.V. Levchenko to Prosecutor of Southern Administrative District of Moscow I.N. Kuksa: "Deputy General Prosecutor of RF V.Y. Grin on 17.06.2011 cancelled the decree to suspend the preliminary investigation under the criminal case No 360138 in relation to attorney E.M. Khairtdinov...The prosecutor's office of the city has repeatedly...instructed to provide information on the outcomes and address the shortcomings [of the criminal investigation]... I suggest that before 12.09.2012 you inform in detail about the outcomes of additional investigation." Signed by O.V. Levchenko, prepared by D.V. Matrosov, also signature by N.A. Shurygin	5-Sep-12	Instruction prepared by Mr Matrosov to district prosecutor in relation to the case against attorney for the Hermitage Fund	D1730.pdf	
Vyacheslav Vsevolodovich Rosinsky	18-Apr-56	First Deputy Prosecutor of the City of Moscow (until 2011)	MPO	B	In his position as deputy prosecutor of Moscow, refused to review the lawfulness of the Markelov conviction verdict for the theft of \$230 million, issued in "special proceedings" that heard no evidence and in which Mr Markelov was named a "sawmill employee" and all officials were exonerated	In his reply to the application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Jamison Firestone, Mr Rosinsky found "correct" the Interior Ministry and court findings that the \$230 million were stolen from the budget by a sawmill employee Mr Markelov and that officials were tricked by him into making this refund, and did not address the request for an inquiry into \$2 million registered to Markelov's connected companies	V.V. Rosinsky: "I report that the application from US citizen Jamison Firestone received from the General Prosecutor's Office has been considered by the city prosecutor's office...The conclusions of his [V.A. Markelov] guilt in committing the crimes are based on the materials of the preliminary investigation and are correct. The convicted has fully admitted his guilt...The acts of the convicted person have been given correct legal assessment...There are no grounds to submit an oversight application."	10-May-11	Refusal by Mr Rosinsky of request to review the Markelov verdict and identify the stolen \$230 m and seize Markelov's illicit funds	D1105.pdf
E.A. Bobrov		Head of section of oversight over investigation of economic and tax crimes	MPO	B	In his role as overseeing prosecutor, failed to intervene and address the reported breach of the law favoring the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	Mr Bobrov reported the refusal to remove Interior Ministry officer Karpov from the case against Kameya, under which corporate documents used for the criminal conspiracy had been seized and kept in officer Karpov's custody	E.A. Bobrov: "I notify you that the city prosecutor's office has considered your application...about the removal of investigator P.A. Karpov from further investigation of the criminal case. Based on the results of the consideration, deputy city prosecutor issued a decree to refuse the application."	5-Sep-07	Notice by Mr Bobrov of refusal to remove officer Karpov from the case against Kameya	D1107.pdf
V.G. Novikov		Senior prosecutor of the section of oversight over investigation of economic and tax crimes	MPO	B	In his role as prosecutor, failed to intervene and address the reported breach of the law favoring the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	Prosecutor Novikov refused to consider the complaint from the lawyer about the breach of legal procedure by investigator Karpov under the Kameya case used to seize the corporate documents for the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	V.G. Novikov: "Due to complaint under the criminal case No 151231 (suspect I.S.Cherkasov) I explain that ...the rights to submit an application under a criminal case, complaint about actions and decisions of investigator belong to participants of the criminal proceeding, which you are not part of as follows from the submitted documents."	10-Jul-07	Refusal by Mr Novikov to consider complaint	D1106.pdf

Y.V. Radzhabova		Senior aid to Tverskoi interdistrict of Moscow	MPO	B	In her role as state prosecutor, supported the "special proceeding" which covered up the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky and sentenced for the \$230 m theft a "sawmill employee"	In Tverskoi District Court of Moscow, Ms Radzhabova supported the accusation for the theft of \$230 million of Mr Victor Markelov entered in court records as a "sawmill employee". Ms Radzhabova also did not object to the sentence being announced in a "special proceeding", i.e. which heard no evidence and relied solely on Mr Markelov's acceptance of guilt, and did not ask for compensation for the \$230 million stolen from the Russian treasury, or the whereabouts of the stolen funds	"With participation from prosecutor ...Y.V. Radzhabova..having considered in an open court hearing the materials of the criminal case in relation to Markelov Victor Alexandrovich....working as a sawmill employee at DOZ-160...Upon consultation with his counsel, Markelov V.A. filed an application to consider the case in a special proceeding, because he agrees with the stated accusation in full....State prosecutor and the victims did not object to the use of this proceeding...Deem Markelov Victor Alexandrovich guilty of committing the crime under p. 4 of Art. 159 of the Criminal Code of RF and sentence him to 5 (five) years of penal colony with general regime, without a fine."	28-Apr-09	Verdict by Tverskoi District Court in Moscow sentencing a sawmill employee Mr Markelov for \$230 m theft	D1083.pdf
Larisa Alexandrovna Sergunyaeva	5-Jun-69	Senior aid to Tverskoi inter-district prosecutor of the city of Moscow	MPO	B	In her role as state prosecutor, resisted in court the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother to return the case about Mr Magnitsky's death for a full and comprehensive investigation of the circumstances of his torture and murder	During the preliminary hearing of Dmitry Kratov, prosecutor Sergunyaeva resisted the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother to return the case for a full and comprehensive investigation of the torture and murder of her son	Ruling by Tverskoi District Court of Moscow: "Present: state prosecutor - senior aid to Tverskoi inter-district prosecutor of the city of Moscow L.A. Sergunyaeva... State prosecutor asked to refuse the applications [from the victim, Ms Magnitskaya] because there were no violations of the norms of the criminal procedural law during the preliminary investigation, [and] at this stage there are no grounds ...to return the criminal case to the prosecutor."	27-Jul-12	Ruling by Tverskoi District Court of Moscow to refuse application from Mr Magnitsky's mother	D1135.pdf
Dmitry Konstantinovich Bokov	24-Apr-85	Aid to Tverskoi Interdistrict Prosecutor of Moscow	MPO	B	In his position as state prosecutor, requested to acquit the only official on trial for Mr Magnitsky's death, former deputy head of Butyrka Dmitry Kratov, four days after Russian President Putin stated that Mr Magnitsky was not tortured and died from a heart failure	In Tverskoi District Court of Moscow, four days after Russian President Putin stated that Mr Magnitsky was not tortured and died from heart failure, prosecutor Bokov in an unusual U-turn asked to acquit Dmitry Kratov, former deputy head of Butyrka where Mr Magnitsky was held for almost four months, between 25 July and 16 November 2009, and was denied medical care, including prescribed ultrasound examination and operation	"With participation of state prosecutor - aid to Tverskoi interdistrict prosecutor of the city of Moscow D.K. Bokov...Besides, in court hearing the state prosecutor refused to support the accusation stated to D.B. Kratov due to the absence of cause-and-effect connection between acts of D.B. Kratov and the occurrence of death of S.L. Magnitsky and asked to issue D.B. Kratov an acquittal due to the absence of crime in his acts."	28-Dec-12	Verdict by Tverskoi District Court of Moscow to acquit Mr Kratov for the death of Mr Magnitsky	D1092.pdf
Prosecutor of Preobrazhensky District of Moscow										
Viktoria Viktorovna Aristova	3-May-71	Prosecutor of Preobrazhensky District of Moscow	MPO	B	In her position as district prosecutor, Ms Aristova was in charge of the oversight over the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death which covered up his ill-treatment and the circumstances of his death, failed to collect essential evidence and refused applications for independent medical examinations from the Mr Magnitsky's family	As prosecutor of Preobrazhensky district of Moscow, Ms Aristova was directly overseeing the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death since its opening on 24 November 2009	Copy of this decree [to commence proceeding into unidentified employees of the Moscow prison system] has been sent to acting prosecutor of the Preobrazhensky district of the city of Moscow V.V. Aristova	24-Nov-09	Decree copied to Ms Aristova to commence criminal case into negligence of unidentified penal system employees for Mr Magnitsky's death	D1136.pdf

					Ms Aristova was instructed to report on the investigation of the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death during which critical evidence was lost or not gathered, key eye witnesses not questioned or questioned with significant delay, and circumstances and motives of his arrest, detention and death were covered up	N.A. Shurygin to acting District Prosecutor for Preobrazhensky District V.V. Aristova: "The City Prosecutor's Office has placed under control the course and the outcomes of the investigation of the criminal case No 366795 into the fact of death of S.L. Magnitsky in the building of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow. Given above stated, please report monthly...with detailed information including in case of termination or suspension of the proceeding, the conclusion on the legality of decision taken."	26-Nov-09	Instruction to Ms Aristova from the Moscow City Prosecutor's Office to report on the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1731.pdf	
Maia Victorovna Ershova	7-Aug-69	Acting first deputy prosecutor of Preobrazhensky district	MPO	B	In her position as prosecutor in the district prosecutor's office, was involved in the oversight of the criminal investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death	Ms Ershova reported to her superiors in the Moscow City Prosecutor's office on the course of the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death	From M.V. Ershova to O.V. Levchenko of the Moscow City Prosecutor's Office (and D.V. Matrosov): "To fulfill your order I report that currently senior investigator of the investigative district...K.Y. Cherny is in charge of the criminal case No 366795 opened...into the fact of death in the building of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow S.L. Magnitsky...The preliminary investigation is under control."	25-Dec-09	Report by Ms Ershova to the Moscow City Prosecutor's Office on the investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death	D1137.pdf
INTERIOR MINISTRY										
Alexei Vasilievich Anichin	1-Dec-49	(ex) Head of Investigation Committee, Deputy Interior Minister, General Major	MVD	A, B	In his position as the most senior official of the Interior Ministry's Investigative Committee, authorised a repressive case used to arrest Mr Magnitsky and was in charge of the oversight of his prosecution	Mr Anichin authorised a repressive criminal proceeding (no 153123) under which Mr Magnitsky was arrested and detained. The criminal case was also used against other Hermitage executives and lawyers. It was highlighted as "emblematic" of politically-motivated abuse of the criminal justice system in Russia by Special Rapporteur for the Council of Europe (August 2009) and as indicative of "legal nihilism" by the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe (30 September 2009), two months before Mr Magnitsky's death in custody	A.V. Anichin: "Decree to merge cases....[!] rule to merge in one proceeding the criminal cases No 153123 [in relation to Saturn and Dalnaya Step], No 151231 [in relation to Kameya], No 153107 [in relation to Makhaon, Rilend and Parfenion], and No 140898 [in relation to Pifagor] and assign No 153123. Head of Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia, General Major A.V. Anichin"	23-Jul-08	Decree by Mr Anichin to merge cases into a proceeding used to arrest Mr Magnitsky	D1186.pdf
Oleg Vladimirovich Logunov	4-Feb-62	(ex) Deputy Head of Investigation Committee, General Major	MVD	A, B	In his role as senior official of the Interior Ministry's Investigation Committee, directed the case against Mr Magnitsky, authorised his arrest and prolonged detention, appointed officers with conflict of interest on the investigative team and refused to remove them	Mr Logunov approved the application for the arrest of Mr Magnitsky. The application approved by Mr Logunov alleged that Mr Magnitsky would flee because he possessed a passport, even though his passport had been seized by the Interior Ministry	O.V. Logunov: "Agree. Head of the investigative body - Deputy Head of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia, General Major O.V. Logunov..." on the Application by investigator O.F. Silchenko: "There are reasons to believe that after the accusation S.L. Magnitsky will flee from the preliminary investigation bodies because he has a general travel passport..., according to the information from the Economic Security Service of the FSB [Federal Security Service] of Russia, S.L.Magnitsky is obtaining an entry visa at the embassy of Great Britain ...[!] rule to apply to the Tverskoi district court of Moscow to select a measure of restriction in relation to the accused Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich in the form of detention in custody."	25-Nov-08	Authorisation by Mr Logunov of an application seeking Mr Magnitsky's arrest	D1188.pdf

					Under the case No 152979, Mr Logunov appointed Interior Ministry operatives who were implicated in the seizure of corporate documents of the Hermitage Fund's Russian companies used for their misappropriation and the theft of \$230 m, to investigate the theft of \$230 m, i.e. to investigate themselves, in spite of their conflict of interest and in spite of Mr Magnitsky's earlier testimony exposing the wrong-doing. The case was used to conceal the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky, exonerate officials, and blame the \$230 m theft on a "sawmill employee", previously convicted for manslaughter, Mr Markelov, and subsequently on Mr Magnitsky's himself	O.V. Logunov: "On 12 September 2008, the Main Investigative Department of the Interior Ministry of Tatarstan commenced a criminal case No 243027 in relation to V.A. Markelov, O.G. Gasanov and unidentified persons based on signs of crime under Section 4 of Article 159 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation. On 12 September 2008, ...merged with the criminal case No 242972. By decree from 2 October 2008, the criminal case ...was assigned No 152979...rule: 1. Assign the proceeding under the criminal case No 152979 to the investigative team comprising: investigator...Major of justice O.F. Silchenko; deputy head of investigative unit of.... Tatarstan Interior Ministry, Lt Colonel of justice O.V. Urzhumtsev; investigator of especially important cases ...major of justice A.P. Ryabinin; investigator ...S.V. Oleinik; investigator...E.V. Mikhailov. 2. Appoint to work on the investigative group: - deputy head of 6th unit ...of Moscow Lt Colonel of police A.K. Kuznetsov; senior operative ...Captain of police A.A. Krechetov; operative ...captain of police D.M. Tolchinsky. 3. Appoint investigator...O.F.Silchenko as head of the investigative group."	6-Nov-08	Decree by Mr Logunov to appoint an investigative group on the case into the \$230 m theft	D1695.pdf
					Under the case No 153123 used to arrest Mr Magnitsky, Mr Logunov appointed the same Interior Ministry operatives who were implicated in the seizure of corporate documents of the Hermitage Fund's Russian companies used for their misappropriation and the \$230 m theft, in spite of their conflict of interest and in spite of Mr Magnitsky's earlier testimony exposing the wrong-doing.	O.V. Logunov: "Head of the investigative body - deputy head of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry, General Major of justice O.V. Logunov, having considered the materials of the criminal case No 153123...With the purposes of full and comprehensive investigation, taking into account the large scope of planned investigative actions, guided by Article 163 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, rule: 1. Assign the proceeding under the criminal case No 153123 to the investigative group comprising: - investigator ...Major of justice O.F. Silchenko; - deputy head of unit of the investigative section of the Main Investigative Department of the Interior Ministry of Tatarstan republic Lt Colonel O.V. Urzhumtsev; - investigator of especially important cases of investigative unit of investigative department of the Interior Ministry branch for Yaroslavl region Major of justice A.P. Ryabinin; investigator of investigative department of the Interior Ministry's branch for Western administrative district of Moscow Captain of justice S.V. Oleinik; investigator of the investigative department of the Interior Ministry's branch for Belgorod Senior Lt of justice E.V. Mikhailov. 2. Assign to work on the investigative group: - deputy head of 6th unit of 2 section of Tax Crime Department of the Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry Lt Col of police A.K. Kuznetsov;	12-Nov-08	Decree by Mr Logunov to appoint an investigative group on the case used to arrest Mr Magnitsky	D1696.pdf
					In spite of his own conflict of interest, Mr Logunov himself reviewed the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers seeking to remove the Interior Ministry officers Mr Logunov had appointed who had conflict of interest and who breached Mr Magnitsky's rights	O.V. Logunov: "The actions of investigator O.F. Silchenko in conducting the criminal prosecution of S.L. Magnitsky, and of operative officers A.O. Droганov, A.A. Krechetov and D.M. Tolchinsky appointed to the investigative group under the criminal case No 153123 on the basis of the decree by head of the investigative body from 12 November 2008 are lawful and justified... The arguments of defence about the possible production of "backdated" reports by operative officers A.O. Droганov, A.A. Krechetov, and D.M. Tolchinsky, and about the falsification of evidence are based on unconfirmed suppositions, due to which they cannot be accepted as a ground to satisfy the application for [their] removal....[I] rule: 1. Refuse to remove senior investigator...Major of Justice O.F. Silchenko, operative officers ...Lt Colonel of police A.O. Droганov, Captain of police A.A. Krechetov, and Captain of police D.M. Tolchinsky..	23-Jul-09	Refusal by Mr Logunov to remove officers with conflict of interest from the case against Mr Magnitsky	D1190.pdf

					Mr Logunov authorised a further prolongation of detention of Mr Magnitsky in custody without trial on the application from Interior Ministry investigator Silchenko of 8 September 2009, two months before Mr Magnitsky's death in custody	O.V. Logunov: "Agree. Head of the investigative body - deputy head of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia. General Major of Justice O.V. Logunov. Decree to file an application with the court to extend the period of detention of the defendant in custody ...There are grounds to believe that S.L. Magnitsky in case of selecting in relation to him of the measure of restriction not connected with the deprivation of liberty will flee from the bodies of preliminary investigation because he has a general travel passport..., according to the information from the Economic Security Service of the FSB (Federal Security Service) of Russia before his detention S.L. Magnitsky was obtaining at the embassy of Great Britain an entry visa...[I] rule to apply to the Tverskoi district court of Moscow to extend the period of detention in custody of the accused Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich...for two months, in total for 11 months and 19 days, i.e. until 15 November 2009"	8-Sep-09	Authorisation by Mr Logunov of an application to prolong Mr Magnitsky's detention without trial	D1189.pdf
					After Mr Magnitsky's death, in an interview to BFM radio station in Russia, Mr Logunov denied any wrong-doing and claimed he "in practice did not work in the Investigative Committee since August 2009", even though the following month, on 8 September 2009, Mr Logunov authorised the application from Mr Silchenko to prolong Mr Magnitsky's detention. Mr Logunov also claimed that there had been no complaints to investigator about Mr Magnitsky's state of health in spite of the refusal issued by investigator Silchenko of the requests from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers for his medical examination available in the case file	O.V. Logunov: "O.V. Logunov: ...In practice since August [2009] I did not work in the Investigation Committee [of the Interior Ministry]. The death of Magnitsky occurred when I no longer performed duties...From Magnitsky during the entire period of investigation there was no complaints submitted about his state of health to the investigator...This death was sudden...I believe that the death of Magnitsky is conditioned on insufficient qualification, insufficient level of medical service in our detention centers. [Question from journalist]: Browder and Magnitsky lawyers asserted that the investigation kept Magnitsky in custody to coerce testimony against Browder. Your comment. [Answer from O.V. Logunov]: Here Mr Browder has justified suspicions. The matter is that the investigation in all countries - in Russia and in any other country - is always interested in obtaining testimony from the defendant or a suspect. And of course, the investigation in Magnitsky case and in absolutely any other case was interested in obtaining testimony from him against himself and other accomplices. But to say that he was kept specially does not make sense. Because even without Magnitsky testimony there were all the proofs collected on this offence. In relation to Magnitsky, and in relation to Browder. [Question from journalist]: Investigators use such a trick, they say to the defendant: you give	7-Jun-10	Interview by Mr Logunov on the Magnitsky case to BFM radio station	D1191.pdf
					Mr Logunov supervised the criminal case into the \$230 m theft (No 152979) which covered it up, exonerating all officials, finding that tax officials had been "tricked" into granting the \$230 m tax refund, and blaming for the theft an alleged "sawmill" employee (Victor Markelov). Mr Logunov approved Mr Markelov's indictment (under case No 311605 severed from case No 152979) which did not ask him for any compensation of the stolen \$230 m and did not impose any fine	O.V. Logunov: "The accusation conclusion of Victor Alexandrovich Markelov in committing the crime under p.4 of Article 159 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation [fraud]...Victims under the criminal case: 1. Inspection of Federal Tax Service No 28...; 2. Inspection of Federal Tax Service No 25...The civil claim has not be filed; nobody is recognised as civil respondent. The accusation conclusion has been composed in Moscow on 30 March 2009 and in accordance with Article 220 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, along with the criminal case No 311605 has been sent to General Prosecutor of the Russian Federation. Investigator ..O.F.Silchenko. Agree. Head of the investigative body - Deputy Head of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry, General Major O.V. Logunov "	30-Mar-09	Authorisation by Mr Logunov of accusation of Victor Markelov for the \$230 m theft	D1752.pdf

Alexander Nikolaevich Matveev		(ex) Deputy Head of Investigation Committee, General Major	MVD	A	In his role as senior Interior Ministry official, used his authority to continue to detain Mr Magnitsky in custody	Sixteen days before Mr Magnitsky's death, Mr Matveev authorised the decision to continue to detain him in custody on application from investigator Silchenko of 30 October 2009	A.Matveev: "Agree. Head of the investigative body - deputy head of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia, General Major of justice A.N. Matveev. Decree to file an application with the court to extend the period of detention of the defendant in custody ...There are grounds to believe that S.L. Magnitsky in case of selecting in relation to him of the measure of restriction not connected with the deprivation of liberty will flee from the bodies of preliminary investigation because he has a general travel passport..., according to the information from the Economic Security Service of the FSB (Federal Security Service) of Russia before his detention S.L. Magnitsky was obtaining at the embassy of Great Britain an entry visa...[I] rule to apply to the Tverskoi district court of Moscow to extend the period of detention in custody of the accused Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich..for 11 days, in total for 12 months, i.e. until 26 November 2009"	30-Oct-09	Authorisation by Mr Matveev of the extension of Mr Magnitsky's detention	D1192.pdf
Sergei Alexandrovich Borodulin	28-Aug-77	Deputy Head of Investigation Department, General Major	MVD	B	In his position as deputy head of Interior Ministry's Investigation Department (successor to the Interior Ministry's Investigation Committee), ordered to reopen the posthumous criminal case in relation to Mr Magnitsky	Mr Borodulin ordered to reopen the posthumous criminal case in relation to Mr Magnitsky 20 months after his death and assigned it to Interior Ministry Investigator Silchenko, the same official who was in charge of Mr Magnitsky's arrest and detention. This was done in spite of the conclusions from the Russian President's Human Rights Council released a month earlier, about the unlawfulness of Mr Magnitsky's arrest	S.A. Borodulin: "The current criminal case [No 311578] was severed on 16 October 2009 from the criminal case No 153123 into a separate proceeding to complete the preliminary investigation to accuse S.L. Magnitsky in committing crimes under p.3 and p.5 of Article 33, pp.a,b of p.2 of Article 199 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation...On 27 November 2009 the criminal case No 311578 to accuse S.L. Magnitsky was terminated...During the additional investigation it is necessary to determine close relatives of defendant S.L.Magnitsky, the circle of which is determined by the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, identify their opinion about the possibility to terminate the criminal case or to continue the proceeding on general terms with subsequent delivery of the case to a trial for a legal assessment of the procedural decisions adopted under the case, identification of the degree of guilt (or innocence) of S.L. Magnitsky in incriminated acts. On the basis of the stated,...[I] rule 1. Resume the preliminary investigation under the criminal case No 311578, assign it to senior investigator...Lt Colonel of justice O.F. Silchenko"	9-Aug-11	Decree by Mr Borodulin to reopen the case against Mr Magnitsky posthumously	D1148.pdf
Alexander Anatolievich Yagodin		Deputy Head of Investigation Department, Colonel	MVD	B	In his position as deputy head of Interior Ministry's Investigation Department, authorised the posthumous criminal case against Mr Magnitsky	Mr Yagodin authorised the continuation of the posthumous case in relation to Mr Magnitsky, and appointed to it the same Interior Ministry investigators, Mr Silchenko and Ms Sapunova, who were responsible for Mr Magnitsky's detention, in spite of the conclusions by the Russian President's Human Rights Council about the unlawfulness of Mr Magnitsky's arrest and detention issued a month before his decree	A.A. Yagodin: "Head of the investigative body - deputy head of the Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia, Colonel of Justice A.A. Yagodin, having considered the materials of the criminal case No 311578, has determined: The current criminal case was severed on 16 October 2009 into a separate proceeding from the criminal case No 153123 to complete the preliminary investigation to accuse S.L. Magnitsky in committing crimes...On 9 August 2011, the preliminary investigation on this criminal case was resumed by head of the investigative body - deputy head of the Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia...During the additional investigation of the case, given its complexity, a large number of investigative actions shall be undertaken and if necessary the proceeding will continue on general terms. On the basis of the above stated... [I] rule...1. Order the production of the proceeding under a criminal case No 311578 to an investigation group comprising: 1 senior investigator ...Lt Colonel of Justice O.F.Silchenko; - senior investigator ..Lt Colonel of Justice O.M. Sapunova"	16-Aug-11	Decree by Mr Yagodin to appoint investigators to the posthumous criminal case against Mr Magnitsky	D1149.pdf
Nikolai Ivanovich Shelepanov		Deputy Head of Investigation Department, General Major	MVD	A, B	In his position as senior Interior Ministry official, sanctioned the the posthumous proceeding in relation to Mr Magnitsky and previously authorised the ill-treatment (denial of family visits) of Mr Magnitsky in custody	Mr Shelepanov authorised the posthumous proceeding in relation to Mr Magnitsky and approved the extension of the period of preliminary investigation on the case (No 679591 severed from No 153123 and re-merged with No 311578) on application from Investigator Kibis from 24 July 2012	N.I. Shelepanov: "[I] Extend the term of preliminary investigation of the criminal case No 679591 for 68 months, i.e. until 15 November 2012. Head of the investigative body, Deputy Head of Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia, General Major of Justice N.I. Shelepanov"	31-Jul-12	Decree by Mr Shelepanov to prolong the investigation of the posthumous case against Mr Magnitsky	D1153.pdf

					Mr Shelepanov refused the request from Mr Magnitsky to see his mother in custody, and refused the complaint from Mr Magnitsky seeking to reverse the decision of Interior Ministry investigator Silchenko to deny such visit with his mother as "inexpedient"	N.I. Shelepanov: "[I] rule 1. to refuse in satisfaction of the complaint of defendant S.L. Magnitsky seeking to cancel the decree by Investigator O.F. Silchenko of 18 June 2009 about the full refusal to satisfy the application and to oblige him to give a written permission to allow defendant to see his mother N.N. Magnitskaya."	10-Jul-09	Decree by Mr Shelepanov to refuse Mr Magnitsky's complaint about the denial of family visit in custody	D1154.pdf	
Tatiana Kirillovna Gerasimova	24-May-63	(ex) First Deputy Head of Investigation Department, General Lt	MVD	B	In her position as first deputy head of Interior Ministry's Investigation Department, directed the posthumous case in relation to Mr Magnitsky dismissing findings of the Human Rights Council	In her decree, Ms Gerasimova approved the decision to continue the posthumous case against Mr Magnitsky and directed to forward the case for further investigation to the Interior Ministry's branch for Central Federal District	T.K. Gerasimova: "The current case [No 311578] was severed on 16 October 2009 in a separate proceeding...from the criminal case No 153123...On 30 June 2011 the decree to close the criminal case No 311578 accusing S.L. Magnitsky was cancelled. On 09 August 2011 the preliminary investigation proceeding under the criminal case was resumed by the head of the investigative body...[I] rule to withdraw the case from senior investigation of especially important cases...Lt Col O.F. Silchenko and assign its further investigation to deputy head of section of investigative unit of the Main Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia for Central Federal District Lt Colonel B.B. Kibis."	2-Dec-11	Decree by Ms Gerasimova to continue the posthumous proceeding against Mr Magnitsky	D1145.pdf
					After the Russian President's Human Rights Council released on 6 July 2011 the report on the violations of rights and law in the Magnitsky case, Ms Gerasimova dismissed their findings in a press interview by saying that the decisions on the case were lawful and confirmed by prosecutor's office and the court (http://mn.ru/newspaper_country/20110707/303166030.html)	T.K. Gerasimova: on the case "lawful and justified decisions were taken confirmed by the prosecutor's office and court"	7-Jul-11	Interview of Ms Gerasimova dismissing the findings of the Russian President's Human Rights Council on Magnitsky case	D1751.pdf	
Alexander V. Romanov		Deputy Head of Investigation Department, General Major	MVD	B	In his position as deputy head of Interior Ministry's Investigation Department, authorised the posthumous criminal case in relation to Mr Magnitsky	Mr Romanov authorised the continuation of the posthumous case in relation to Mr Magnitsky and approved the extension of the period of investigation on application from Interior Ministry Investigator Kibis of 25 January 2012	A.V. Romanov: "[I] Extend the term of preliminary investigation under a criminal case No 679591 to 62 months 00 days, i.e. until 15 May 2012. Head of the investigative body, Deputy Head of Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia, General Major of Justice A.V. Romanov." On application: "This criminal case on 22 December 2011 was severed from the criminal case No 153123...into a separate proceeding in relation to W.F.Browder ...and S.L. Magnitsky."	1-Feb-12	Decree by Mr Romanov to extend the period of investigation of the posthumous case against Mr Magnitsky	D1150.pdf
					Mr Romanov authorised the continuation of the posthumous case in relation to Mr Magnitsky and approved another extension of the period of investigation on application from Interior Ministry Investigator Kibis of 23 April 2012	A.V. Romanov: "[I] Extend the term of preliminary investigation under a criminal case No 679591 to 65 months 00 days, i.e. until 15 August 2012. Head of the investigative body, Deputy Head of Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia, General Major of Justice A.V. Romanov." On application: "This criminal case on 22 December 2011 was severed from the criminal case No 153123...into a separate proceeding in relation to W.F.Browder ...and S.L. Magnitsky."	26-Apr-12	Decree by Mr Romanov to extend the period of investigation of the posthumous case against Mr Magnitsky	D1151.pdf	

Sergei A. Manakhov		Deputy Head of Investigation Department, Colonel	MVD	B	In his position as deputy head of the Interior Ministry's Investigation Department, authorised Mr Magnitsky's posthumous criminal case finding no violations by investigators	Mr Manakhov authorised the continuation of the posthumous criminal case in relation to Mr Magnitsky, and appointed a new head of the investigative group on the case	S.A. Manakhov: "The present criminal case was severed by the investigative unit of the Main Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia for the Central Federal District on 22 December 2011 in relation to the accused W.F. Browder and S.L. Magnitsky from the criminal case No 153123...On 3 May 2012, further investigation of the criminal case by the leadership of the Investigative Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia was assigned to the investigative group, headed by ..Lt Colonel of justice B.B. Kibis, who is currently on an annual leave. In order to restructure the load of investigators of the investigative unit of the Main Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia for the Central Federal District, there evolved a need to change the composition of the investigative group, in the part of appointing a new head. On the basis of the above,...[I] rule to: 1. Change the composition of the investigative group under the criminal case No 679591, by including in it senior investigator of the 1st section of the investigative unit of the Main Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia for Central Federal District, Captain of Justice M.Y. Shupolovsky."	21-Aug-12	Decree by Mr Manakhov to appoint investigator to the posthumous case against Mr Magnitsky	D1690.pdf
						Mr Manakhov authorised the further continuation of the posthumous case in relation to Mr Magnitsky and in absentia Mr Browder, and refused the complaint from the lawyer about the reliance of the case on falsified Interior Ministry and FSB materials	S.A. Manakhov: "The requests from the lawyer I.A. Vasin based on the complaint are justified by the fact that during the review of materials of the criminal case, defence lawyers found documents, evidencing the falsification of materials of the criminal case at the time of its commencement which caused to file an application to terminate the criminal case in relation to W.B. Browder. On 24 July 2012, investigator B.B. Kibis in charge of this criminal case refused the complaint...there are no violations of the criminal procedural law by head of the investigative unit for the Central Federal District of the Interior Ministry of Russia N.I. Agafieva during the issuance of the decree to refuse the complaint from 18 September 2012, in view of which there are no grounds to satisfy the complaint."	29-Oct-12	Decree by Mr Manakhov to refuse the complaint about the falsification of case materials	D1152.pdf
Pavel Vladimirovich Lapshov	7-Jul-76	Head of Department of Investigation of Organised Criminal Activity of the Investigation Department	MVD	B	In his position as head of Interior Ministry's Investigation Department, oversaw the posthumous criminal case in relation to Mr Magnitsky and also the new posthumous allegation against Mr Magnitsky of complicity in the \$230 million theft that he had uncovered (case No 678540)	In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Lapshov refused the complaint against the posthumous prosecution of Mr Magnitsky and found no violation in the case being assigned to the same Interior Ministry officers who were responsible for Mr Magnitsky's detention, in spite of the conclusions of the Russian President's Human Rights Council about the arrest and detention being in breach of his human rights and applicable laws	P.V. Lapshov: "The Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia has considered your application of 5 September 2011 containing a request to protect you from the persecution by investigators of the criminal case No 311578 accusing your son S.L. Magnitsky which was resumed following the cancellation by the Deputy General Prosecutor of Russian Federation of the decree to terminate it taking into account the requirements of the Constitutional Court of Russian Federation, stated in its decree No 16-P of 14 JuLy 2011. The investigators of the investigation group assigned to the criminal case No 311578, had previously conducted the preliminary investigation of this criminal case prior to the moment of its termination. In accordance with Section 2 of Article 67 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, the previous participation of an investigator in the preliminary investigation does not create a ground for his removal. There are no other grounds to remove the employees comprising the investigation group. The review of the materials of the criminal case has shown the absence of violations of criminal procedural legislation, including of your rights as legal representative of S.L. Magnitsky. The resumption of the preliminary investigation under the criminal case No 311578 concerning S.L. Magnitsky in its substance has a direct aim to determine during the	7-Oct-11	Refusal by Mr Lapshov of the complaint against the posthumous prosecution of Mr Magnitsky and conflict of interest of Interior Ministry officers	D1155.pdf

					In his letter to lawyer Gorokhov, representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Lapshov authorised the posthumous allegation against Mr Magnitsky of complicity in the \$230 million theft under a case used to cover up the criminal conspiracy behind the theft. He also informed about the exoneration of Interior Ministry officials Kuznetsov and Karpov in relation to Mr Magnitsky's testimony given before his arrest	P.V. Lapshov: "The lawfulness and justification of the opening of the criminal case No 678540 has been checked repeatedly due to the received complaints and applications from the interested parties by the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation and via judicial proceeding. No violations of the requirements of the law have been identified thereby. Because the subject of the criminal investigation under this case involves the circumstances of the legalisation by unidentified persons of criminally obtained funds, there were no procedural decisions taken in relation to S.L. Magnitsky during the process of preliminary investigation. However, his complicity in the theft of 5.4 billion rubles [\$230 million] from the budgets of the Russian Federation and the city of Moscow has been determined during the course of the investigation of the criminal case No 152979... On 28.12.2010 [a year after his death] a decree to refuse a criminal case was issued due to Section 4 of Article 24 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, i.e. due to his death...Under criminal cases in relation to V.A. Markelov and V.G. Khlebnikov who committed crime in conspiracy with other persons, the sentencing verdicts have been issued. Under the criminal case No 374015 mentioned in your application under which S.L. Magnitsky gave testimony about possible complicity of investigator P.A. I.A. Tsokolov to his deputy G. Karlov: "Please organise consideration in accordance with statutory order," and subsequent instruction from Mr Karlov to Mr Silchenko: "To prepare a decree in the name of O.V. Logunov [Deputy Head of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry]"	8-Feb-12	Refusal by Mr Lapshov of the complaint about the new posthumous allegation against Mr Magnitsky	D1156.pdf	
Igor Afanasievich Tsokolov	3-Jan-56	Head of Department of Investigation of Organised Criminal Activity in the Economic Sphere, General Major	MVD	A	In his role as senior official of the Interior Ministry's Investigation Committee, was responsible for overseeing the repressive case against Mr Magnitsky, consideration of his complaints, rights violations and denial of access to justice	Four months before Mr Magnitsky's death in custody, Mr Tsokolov instructed his subordinate, Mr Karlov, in relation to the application received from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers seeking to remove Interior Ministry officers who showed their partiality and conflict of interest from the case against Mr Magnitsky. In spite of the arguments posed in the application, named Interior Ministry officers were kept on the investigation team	I.A. Tsokolov to his deputy G. Karlov: "Please organise consideration in accordance with statutory order," and subsequent instruction from Mr Karlov to Mr Silchenko: "To prepare a decree in the name of O.V. Logunov [Deputy Head of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry]"	15-Jul-09	Instruction by Mr Tsokolov on the request to remove Interior Ministry officers with conflict of interest from the case against Mr Magnitsky	D1719.pdf
					Mr Tsokolov oversaw the repressive case against Mr Magnitsky, and 11 days prior to Mr Magnitsky's death in custody, instructed his subordinate, Ms Vinogradova, to consider Mr Magnitsky's complaint about the breach of his right to know the composition of the new investigation team appointed on the case	From I.A. Tsokolov to his subordinate N.V. Vinogradova: " To organise consideration of the complaint according to statutory order" on Mr Magnitsky's complaint about the violation of his right to know the investigators appointed on the case against him		5-Nov-09	Instruction by Mr Tsokolov to consider Mr Magnitsky's complaint from custody	D1708.pdf
					In his decree seven days before Mr Magnitsky's death in custody, Mr Tsokolov refused Mr Magnitsky's complaint against the violations of his procedural rights and access to justice and the failure to inform him of changes in the investigation group	I.A. Tsokolov: "Head of department...I.A. Tsokolov, having considered the complaint of defendant S.L. Magnitsky against the inaction of senior investigator of especially important cases of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia major of justice O.F. Silchenko, the acts of investigator of the investigative group captain of justice R.A. Gritsai and materials of the criminal case No 311578,... determined..On 16 October 2009, deputy head of department - head of section of investigation of crimes against property and in the sphere of loans and finances Colonel G.V. Karlov has issued a decree under the criminal case No 311578 to conduct preliminary investigation proceeding by an investigation group. The composition of the investigation group includes, among others, investigator R.A. Gritsai. ...rule: 1. refuse the complaint from defendant S.L. Magnitsky against the inaction of senior investigator ...O.F. Silchenko and acts of investigator of the investigation group R.A. Gritsai."		9-Nov-09	Refusal by Mr Tsokolov of Mr Magnitsky's complaint from custody	D1718.pdf

Gennady Vyacheslavovich Karlov		Deputy head of Department of Investigation of Organised Criminal Activity in the Sphere of Economics, head of section of crimes against property and in the sphere of loans and finances, Colonel	MVD	A, B	In his role as senior official of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry, was responsible for the oversight over the repressive prosecution and rights violations of Mr Magnitsky, failing to intervene, and blocking access to justice; was also involved in the concealment of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	In his notice to Mr Magnitsky's lawyers, Mr Karlov notified them of the refusal of their application to end the prosecution of Mr Magnitsky as unlawful and to free Mr Magnitsky from detention, stating that the prosecution and detention were deemed "lawful and justified"	G.V. Karlov: "I inform you that your complaint received by the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry on 24 June 2009 ref No17/zh-4995, in the interests of accused under the criminal case No 153123 S.L. Magnitsky has been considered, and on 3 July 2009 the head of the investigative body - deputy head of the Investigative Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia issued a decree to partially satisfy it. Thus, the complaint of the defence in the part requesting to conduct a probe into the lawfulness of acts of investigator O.F. Silchenko in conducting criminal prosecution of S.L. Magnitsky..has been satisfied. The arguments stated by the defence have been thoroughly checked by studying the materials of the criminal case. The acts of investigator O.F. Silchenko to conduct criminal prosecution of S.L. Magnitsky and issue a decree naming him an accused from 25 November 2008 seem lawful and justified. The satisfaction of the complaint in the part containing requests to: cancel the decree by Investigator O.F. Silchenko naming S.L.Magnitsky as accused of 25 November 2008; terminate the criminal case No 153123 in relation to S.L. Magnitsky on the ground of pp1,2 Section 1 of Article 24 [absence of crime and of criminal acts] of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation; cancel the measure of restriction selected in relation to S.L. Magnitsky in the form of detention in custody, - has been refused, due to the unlawfulness and the lack of justification of the stated demands."	6-Jul-09	Notice by Mr Karlov of the refusal of the complaint against unlawful prosecution of Mr Magnitsky	D1701.pdf
						In his notice to Mr Magnitsky, Mr Karlov notified him of the refusal of his request to see his mother in custody and the refusal of the related complaint challenging the earlier refusal to see his mother issued by investigator Silchenko	G.V. Karlov: "I inform you that your complaint ref No 17/zh-5347 against the decree of senior investigator of especially important cases O.F. Silchenko from 18 June 2009 about the full refusal of the application [to see the mother] under a criminal case No 153123 received by the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia on 8 July 2009 was considered, and on 10 July 2009 the head of the investigative body - deputy head of the Investigative Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia issued a decree to refuse its satisfaction."	13-Jul-09	Notice by Mr Karlov of the refusal of Mr Magnitsky's complaint against the denial of visit with his mother	D1747.pdf
						Two months before Mr Magnitsky's death in custody, Mr Karlov authorised to discard without consideration the complaint filed by Mr Magnitsky's lawyers stating that his prosecution was carried unlawfully and in retribution, describing the pressure on him to give false testimony, the denial of medical care, and other rights violations in custody. Mr Karlov authorised not to consider the complaint on the ground that it was outside the Interior Ministry's remit. The complaint was sent to "an archive" on Mr Silchenko's recommendation	G.V. Karlov: "Send to case file [archive]" on the report from Investigator O.F. Silchenko: "I report that on 17 September 2009 from lawyer D.V. Kharitonov who represents the interests of S.L. Magnitsky, accused under the criminal case No 153123 in committing crimes under pp a,b, Section 2 of Article 199 of the Criminal Code of the Russia Federation, a complaint was received at the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia with ref No17/zh-7557 about the violations allowed in FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow [Butyrka] in relation to detained in custody S.L. Magnitsky. Because the probe into the circumstances stated in the complaint is not part of the competence of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia, I ask your permission to discard this complaint into the case file [archive]."	22-Sep-09	Refusal by Mr Karlov to consider Mr Magnitsky's complaint about his persecution, ill-treatment, and pressure to testify	D1698.pdf

					After Mr Magnitsky's death, Mr Karlov was authorised to consider applications to investigate the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky, in spite of his conflict of interest due to his role in Mr Magnitsky's detention. A month after Mr Magnitsky's death in custody, Mr Karlov confirmed the receipt of the complaint from Hermitage lawyer detailing the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky involved during 2006-2008 in the theft of \$470 million via fraudulent tax refunds. The complaint detailed the involvement in the conspiracy of the Moscow Tax Inspections No 25 and 28 and the Universal Savings Bank. The criminal conspiracy was covered up by the Interior Ministry via successive fabricated proceedings which Mr Karlov and other senior Interior Ministry officials oversaw	G.V. Karlov: "The Investigative Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia has received from the General Prosecutor's Office a copy of your application, in the Southern judicial district of New York of the Federal District Circuit. The circumstances stated in your application will be taken into account during the investigation of the criminal case No 153123."	20-Dec-09	Notice by Mr Karlov of receipt of complaint against the criminal conspiracy involved in the systematic tax refunds	D1703.pdf	
Natalia Vladimirovna Vinogradova (maiden name Scherbakova)	16-Jun-73	Deputy head of section for investigation of crimes against property and in sphere of loans and finances of the Department of investigation of organised criminal activity in the economic sphere, Colonel	MVD	A, B, D	In her role as senior official of the Interior Ministry's Investigation Committee, was responsible for overseeing the repressive case against Mr Magnitsky, consideration of his complaints, rights violations and denial of access to justice; was also involved in the Interior Ministry's cover up of the criminal conspiracy exposed by Mr Magnitsky	Ms Vinogradova was responsible for the consideration of the Hermitage application seeking an investigation of the \$230 million theft of taxes their companies had paid to the Russian government. Instead of commencing a fresh and thorough inquiry, the Interior Ministry attached the Hermitage application to a case No 242972 (subsequently No 152979) used to cover up the criminal conspiracy, convict for the theft a "sawmill employee", Mr Markelov, exonerate all officials, and release from prosecution criminal co-conspirators, including Mr Khlebnikov	N.V. Vinogradova: "I inform you that the Investigation Committee has considered the application from head of Hermitage Capital Management W. Browder addressed to the President of the Russian Federation D.A. Medvedev about the unlawful removal of directors of OOO Rilend, OOO Makhaon, and OOO Parfenion with the subsequent theft from the state budget of funds in the amount of 5.4 billion rubles [\$230 m]. The arguments stated in the application will be checked during the course of the investigation of the criminal case No 242972, seized from the investigative unit of the main investigative department of the Interior Ministry of Republic of Tatarstan and transferred for further investigation to the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia."	7-Oct-08	Notice by Ms Vinogradova confirming the receipt of the Hermitage report about the \$230 m theft	D1712.pdf
					Ms Vinogradova was responsible for the oversight over Mr Magnitsky's detention (under the criminal case No 153123)	N.V. Vinogradova to Mr Pechegin at General Prosecutor's Office: "On 3 March 2009 under the criminal case No 153123, an application was commenced to extend the period of detention in custody of defendant S.L. Magnitsky. The consideration of this application will take place at 11 hr 00 min on 13 March 2009 in Tverskoi district court in Moscow."	11-Mar-08	Notice by Ms Vinogradova about the application to extend Mr Magnitsky's detention	D1707.pdf	
					Ms Vinogradova was instructed 11 days prior to Mr Magnitsky's death in custody to consider his complaint about the reported breach of his right to know the composition of the new investigation team appointed on the case against him	From Mr Tsokolov to N.V. Vinogradova: " To organise consideration of the complaint according to statutory order" on Mr Magnitsky's complaint about the violation of his right to know the investigation group appointed on the case against him	5-Nov-09	Instruction to Ms Vinogradova to consider Mr Magnitsky's complaint from custody	D1748.pdf	

					Nine days after Mr Magnitsky's death in custody, in her submission to the Tatarstan arbitration court , Ms Vinogradova confirmed that the Interior Ministry was in contact with Mr Markelov, false owner of Hermitage Fund's companies, and had collected evidence from him on 28 March 2008, at the time when the Investigative Committee who were investigating the theft of the Hermitage Fund's companies by Mr Markelov and others, claimed they could not question him. Ms Vinogradova's submission gave a false impression that Mr Markelov was a "good faith" "buyer" of the Hermitage Fund's companies, despite evidence that the companies had been fraudulently misappropriated	N.V. Vinogradova: "In response to your request, we report...during the investigation of the criminal case No 153107 based on the decree by investigator...N.N. Budilo to conduct search from 28 March 2008, original sales and purchase agreements dated 31 July 2007 were seized from Markelov Victor Alexandrovich...These agreements were attached to the criminal case materials as evidence and cannot be provided to the court until the completion of the investigation. Copies of these agreements are attached for information."	25-Nov-09	Submission by Ms Vinogradova to the Tatarsan Arbitration Court	D1704.pdf	
					After Mr Magnitsky's death, Ms Vinogradova was authorised to consider applications about the unlawfulness of Mr Magnitsky's arrest and detention, in spite of her conflict of interest. Three months after Mr Magnitsky's death in custody, Ms Vinogradova refused the application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, finding Mr Magnitsky's detention "lawful"	N.V. Vinogradova: "On orders from the management of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia I inform you on the matters raised in your application regarding the lawfulness and justification of actions of the investigation team during the investigation of the criminal case No 153123. The lawfulness of the carried out investigative actions and procedural decisions that had been taken was checked by the management of the profile section of department of investigation of organised crime in the economic sphere of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia, General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation and was confirmed by the decisions of courts of first and second instance. There are no grounds seen to transfer the criminal case No 153123 for further investigation to a different body of preliminary investigation."	17-Feb-10	Refusal by Ms Vinogradova of complaint against the persecution of Mr Magnitsky	D1196.pdf	
Oleg Fedorovich Silchenko	25-Jun-77	Senior Investigator of especially important case of the Investigation Department, Lt Colonel	MVD	A, B	In his position as investigator of the Interior Ministry's Investigation Department, was in charge of Mr Magnitsky's arrest and detention; after Mr Magnitsky's death named his mother as defendant party in the posthumous prosecution of her son; was also head of the investigative group on the case which covered up the criminal conspiracy behind the \$230 m theft by exonerating officials and blaming it on a "sawmill employee"	In his decree, Investigator Silchenko assigned to Mr Magnitsky's mother a status of a "representative" of the accused, her son, who died two years previously in Investigator Silchenko's custody	O.F. Silchenko: "Recognize Magnitskaya Natalia Nikolaevnaas legal representative of deceased Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich accused under the criminal case No 311578 in committing crimes under Section 3 and Section 5 of Article 33, p.p. a,b, Section 2 of Article 199 of the Criminal Code of Russian Federation."	28-Sep-11	Decree by Mr Silchenko naming Mr Magnitsky's mother a defendant's representative	D1160.pdf

				Mr Silchenko ordered to bring Mr Magnitsky by force for questioning alleging that Mr Magnitsky did not respond to a summons, even though there was no record of any such summons in the case file. The order to bring Mr Magnitsky was assigned to Interior Ministry officer Kuznetsov in spite of his conflict of interest since Mr Magnitsky had previously named Mr Kuznetsov in a testimony about the theft of his client's companies. Using this order by Mr Silchenko, Mr Magnitsky was effectively detained at his home by subordinates of officer Kuznetsov, and never came back free from Interior Ministry custody	O.F. Silchenko: "[I] rule to: 1. Bring by force witness Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich...to investigator ...Major of Justice O.F. Silchenko. 2. Send the decree to bring the witness S.L. Magnitsky to deputy head of 6th unit of 2nd section of the Tax Crimes Department of the Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry, Lt Colonel of police A.K. Kuznetsov for execution"	21-Nov-08	Order by Mr Silchenko to officer Kuznetsov to bring by force Mr Magnitsky	D1161.pdf
				Mr Silchenko applied for the arrest of Mr Magnitsky using falsified and unverified reports from FSB and Interior Ministry operatives and claiming that Mr Magnitsky may flee because he had a passport, even though the passport had been seized by the Interior Ministry	O.F. Silchenko: "There are reasons to believe that S.L. Magnitsky after the accusation will flee from the bodies of preliminary investigation because he has a general travel passport..., according to the information from the Economic Security Service of FSB (the Federal Security Service) of Russia, S.L. Magnitsky is obtaining at the embassy of Great Britain an entry visa...[I] rule to apply to the Tverskoi district court of Moscow to select a measure of restriction in the form of detention in custody in relation to the accused Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich"	25-Nov-08	Application by Mr Silchenko seeking Mr Magnitsky's arrest	D1691.pdf
				Two weeks before Mr Magnitsky's death in custody, Mr Silchenko sought to prolong Mr Magnitsky's detention using falsified and unverified reports from FSB and Interior Ministry operatives	O.F. Silchenko: " "There are reasons to believe that S.L. Magnitsky in case of selecting a measure of restraint that does not involve the deprivation of liberty, will flee from the bodies of preliminary investigation because he has a general travel passport..., according to the information from the Economic Security Service of FSB (the Federal Security Service) of Russia, before his detention S.L. Magnitsky was obtaining at the embassy of Great Britain an entry visa...[I] rule to apply to the Tverskoi district court of Moscow to extend the period of detention in custody of the accused Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich for eleven days, and in total for 12 months, i.e. until 26 November 2009"	30-Oct-09	Application by Mr Silchenko seeking Mr Magnitsky's detention	D1692.pdf
				Two months prior to Mr Magnitsky's death in custody, Mr Silchenko informed Mr Magnitsky's lawyers that their application for a medical examination of Mr Magnitsky had been refused on the alleged ground that it was outside his remit, in spite of Mr Magnitsky being formally in custody of Mr Silchenko and his authority to intervene to remedy the reported breach of his right for medical care	O.F.Silchenko: "The current legislation does not impose on the investigator the obligation to control the state of health of detained suspects, accused, and the reference by the defence to Article 11 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation in this case is not right...Therefore, your application is not subject to satisfaction, because the applications from investigator to the head of FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow [Butyrka] where the defendant S.L. Magnitsky is being held, is not necessary to carry out a controlled abdominal ultrasound examination."	2-Sep-09	Refusal by Mr Silchenko of the request for a medical examination of Mr Magnitsky	D1165.pdf
				Mr Silchenko arbitrarily refused requests from Mr Magnitsky for family visits, finding them "inexpedient" for the "investigation", even though under the Russian law on detention detainees have the right for up to two family visits per month	O.F.Silchenko: "Your application of 3 June 2009 under the criminal case No 153123 with a request to allow a visit with [your] mother Natalia Nikolaevna Magnitskaya ...has been considered, and on 18 June 2009 the decree was issued to fully refuse its satisfaction...The investigation deems inexpedient the provision of a visit with [your] mother N.N. Magnitskaya. Senior investigator of especially important cases O.F. Silchenko"	18-Jun-09	Refusal by Mr Silchenko of Mr Magnitsky's request for a visit with his mother	D1162.pdf

					Mr Silchenko arbitrarily denied request from Mr Magnitsky for a phone conversation with his son as "inexpedient", even though the Russian law on detention stipulates the right of detainees for phone communication without limit where technically feasible	O.F. Silchenko: "I inform you that your application from 24 August 2009 under the criminal case No 153123 to allow a paid telephone conversation with [your] son, N...S...Magnitsky, ...was considered, and on 11 September 2009 a decree was issued to fully refuse the application...The investigation believes it inexpedient to allow you the telephone conversation with [your] son, N...S...Magnitsky,... due to the young age of the latter."	14-Sep-09	Refusal by Mr Silchenko of Mr Magnitsky's request for a phone conversation with his son	D1163.pdf
					Mr Silchenko repeatedly denied requests from Mr Magnitsky for family visits conditioning them on the "investigative situation" and finding that allowing a family visit "may negatively impact" the investigative results. By August 2009, Mr Magnitsky had been in custody for nine months and was not allowed a single family visit, in spite of the legal provision of up to two family visits per month under the Russian Law on detention	O.F. Silchenko: "I inform you that your petition of 5 August 2009 under a criminal case No 153123 to allow a visit with your aunt [name stated],...has been considered, and on 25 August 2009 a decree to fully refuse in its satisfaction has been issued...Previously, on 18 June 2009 and on 2 July 2009 to your similar requests for visits with [your] wife, N.V. Zharikova, and [your] mother, N.N. Magnitskaya, the investigator had issued decrees to fully refuse them, of which you and your lawyers have been notified...The investigation has thought inexpedient the provision of visits from your your wife and mother. Even more inexpedient is the provision of a visit with ...[name stated], who is your relative - aunt - only according to your statement, and the investigation has no reliable data about this relation. The restriction of the possibility of your communication with members of your family and other relatives is also connected to the measure of restriction being a measure stated in the Criminal Procedural Code of procedural enforcement that temporarily restricts the rights and freedoms of the accused (suspect). Among the measures of restriction, the detention into custody is the strictest measure, because it restricts the rights and freedoms of a person and a citizen to the maximum limit. At the same time, the provision to you of a visit with relatives at the current stage of	25-Aug-09	Refusal by Mr Silchenko of Mr Magnitsky's request for a family visit	D1164.pdf
					Mr Silchenko refused to consider the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers about the retribution organised against him and the pressure on Mr Magnitsky in custody to give false testimony, the denial of medical care, and other rights violations. Mr Silchenko refused to consider the complaint on the ground that it was outside the Interior Ministry's remit, two months before Mr Magnitsky's death in custody. The complaint was sent to "an archive" on Mr Silchenko's recommendation approved by his superior, officer Karlov	O.F. Silchenko: "I report that on 17 September 2009 a complaint was received from lawyer D.V. Kharitonov who represents the interests of S.L. Magnitsky accused under the criminal case No 153123 in committing crimes under pp a,b, Section 2 of Article 199 of the Criminal Code of the Russia Federation, at the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia with ref No17/zh-7557 about the violations allowed in FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow [Butyrka] in relation to detained in custody S.L. Magnitsky. Because the probe into the circumstances stated in the complaint is not part of the competence of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia, I ask your permission to discard this complaint into the case file [archive]."	22-Sep-09	Refusal by Mr Silchenko of Mr Magnitsky's complaint about ill-treatment and retribution	D1697.pdf
					Mr Silchenko was in charge of the investigation of the \$230 million theft accusing of it Victor Markelov, an alleged sawmill employee, freeing Mr Markelov's associate, Mr Khlebnikov as "unaware," exonerating all officials and finding that tax officials had been "tricked" into the tax refund	O.F. Silchenko: "The accusation conclusion of Victor Alexandrovich Markelov in committing the crime under p.4 of Article 159 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation [fraud]...Victims under the criminal case: 1. Inspection of Federal Tax Service No 28...; 2. Inspection of Federal Tax Service No 25...The civil claim has not be filed; nobody is recognised as civil respondent. The accusation conclusion has been composed in Moscow on 30 March 2009 and in accordance with Article 220 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, along with the criminal case No 311605 has been sent to General Prosecutor of the Russian Federation."	30-Mar-09	Accusation by Mr Silchenko of Victor Markelov for the \$230 million theft	D1753.pdf

Alexander P. Ryabinin		Investigator of especially important cases of investigative section of the investigation department of the Interior Ministry branch for Yaroslavl region, Major	MVD	A	In his role as member of two Interior Ministry's investigation teams, was involved in the criminal case used to detain Mr Magnitsky and the criminal case used to cover up the \$230 m theft uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	Mr Ryabinin was appointed to the repressive case (No 153123) under which Mr Magnitsky was arrested	O.V. Logunov: "Head of the investigative body - deputy head of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry, General Major of justice O.V. Logunov, having considered the materials of the criminal case No 153123...With the purposes of full and comprehensive investigation, taking into account the large scope of planned investigative actions, guided by Article 163 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, rule: 1. Assign the proceeding under the criminal case No 153123 to the investigative group comprising: ... - investigator of especially important cases of investigative unit of investigative department of the Interior Ministry branch for Yaroslavl region Major of justice A.P. Ryabinin; ... 3. Appoint Major of justice O.F. Silchenko, investigator of especially important cases of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia as head of the investigative group."	12-Nov-08	Decree to appoint Mr Ryabinin on the investigative group on the case against Mr Magnitsky	D1746.pdf
						Mr Ryabinin was investigator in charge of the search of Mr Magnitsky's home on the day of his detention	"Protocol of search: Investigator of the investigative group of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia A.P. Ryabinin ...with participation from senior operative... A.O. Drozanov; senior operative...A.A.Krechetov, operative V.G. Kalashan...conducted a search in the flat of Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich...to find and seize documents of OOO Kameya, OOO Pifagor Investments, OOO Makhaon, OOO Parfenion, OOO Rilend, OOO Saturn Investment, seals of said companies and other items and documents related to the activities of said companies."	24-Nov-08	Protocol of search by Mr Ryabinin of Mr Magnitsky's home on the day of his detention	D1199.pdf
						Mr Ryabinin was also appointed to the investigative case (No 152979) under which members of the criminal conspiracy responsible for the \$230 m theft exposed by Mr Magnitsky had been covered up, tax officials exonerated, and the blame for the theft was placed on a "sawmill employee", Victor Markelov who Mr Ryabinin questioned and from whom he obtained "full admission" of guilt	Protocol of questioning of defendant. Investigator of the investigative group of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia A.P. Ryabinin in the building of FBU IZ-77/7 in Moscow ...questioned under the criminal case No 152979 as a defendant: Markelov Victor Alexandrovich,.... a sawmill foreman, ...convicted in 2002 under Section 1, Article 109 [Manslaughter] of the Criminal Code of Russian Federation, released on parole in 2003...V.A. Markelov: "Fully admit myself guilty of committing an offence under Section 4 Article 159 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation...I confirm the previously given testimony during the course of the preliminary investigation. On the request from my acquaintance Gasanov Oktai Gasanovich in 2007 I bought in my name OOO "Pluton", which later on became a shareholder in OOO Makhaon, OOO Parfenion and OOO Rilend. I became the general director of OOO Parfenion; my acquaintance Kurochkin Valery Nikolaevich became the general director of OOO Rilend; my acquaintance Khlebnikov Vyacheslav Georgievich became general director of OOO Makahon. Gasanov and persons unknown to me produced a package of documents on behalf of OOO Parfenion, OOO Makhaon and OOO Rilend, which I, Khlebnikov and Kurochkin submitted to tax inspections No 25 and 28 in Moscow...As far as I understood, on the basis of these documents money from the budget were wired to OOO Parfenion, OOO Makhaon and OOO Rilend. How these money were taken from the accounts of OOO Makhaon and OOO Rilend, I don't know; for OOO Parfenion I signed the wire transfers to make payments from the account. I don't know to what accounts the money were transferred to later on. As a result with my participation these money were stolen from the budget, however, I myself did not receive anything from that money."	20-Mar-09	Protocol of questioning by Mr Ryabinin of Mr Markelov about the theft of \$230 m	D1200.pdf

					After Mr Magnitsky's death and the release of the list of 60 officials involved in the case to be considered for withdrawing the U.S. visa privilege, including Mr Ryabinin, Alexander Ryabinin commented on the initiative to Kommersant newspaper claiming that Mr Magnitsky's client "benefited" from his death and would be able to "return funds" from Russia using the visa bans on Russian officials	"A member of the investigative group of the Investigative Committee of the Interior Ministry, Alexander Ryabinin who also may be refused entry to the USA told Ъ [Kommersant] that in his opinion, "the US Congress does not want to study the real reasons of what happened, and the leadership of the fund uses their lobbying opportunities for profit gaining purposes." According to his words, the head of Hermitage Capital William Browder intends via this way to "return funds illegally earned on the territory of Russia." At the same time, Mr Ryabinin stressed that precisely the leadership of Hermitage Capital ended up benefiting from the death of the lawyer who could have told a lot about the activity of the fund during the court proceeding."	4-Oct-10	Interview by Mr Ryabinin to Kommersant	D1769.pdf	
Sergei Vladimirovich Oleinik	22-Oct-68	Investigator of the investigative department of the Interior Ministry's branch for Western administrative district of Moscow, captain	MVD	A	In his role as member of two Interior Ministry's investigation teams, was involved in the criminal case used to detain Mr Magnitsky and the criminal case used to cover up the \$230 m theft uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	Mr Oleinik was one of the Interior Ministry officers responsible for the detention of Mr Magnitsky	S.V. Oleinik: "Telephonogram. ...On 26 November 2008, at 10 hr 00 min the consideration of the application to select the measure of restriction in relation to S.L. Magnitsky will take place at the building of Tverskoi District court of city of Moscow, in accordance with Chapter 13 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation. Due to this, it is necessary to ensure the presence and participation of [attorney] D.V. Kharitonov in these procedural acts in relation to his client. Telephonogram was sent on 24 November 2008 ...by S.V. Oleinik"	24-Nov-08	Telephonogram by Mr Oleinik about the intended detention of Mr Magnitsky in custody	D1710.pdf
						Mr Oleinik sought in court to prolong the detention of Mr Magnitsky without trial on the basis of false FSB and Interior Ministry's reports	"Ruling. Judge of Tverskoi District Court of City of Moscow A.V. Krivoruchko with the participation of the prosecutor of department of General Prosecutor's of RF A.V. Burov, investigator of the investigative group of the Investigative Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia S.V. Oleinik, accused S.L. Magnitsky, defence lawyers...has determined:...Investigator of the investigative and operations group S.V. Oleinik in court proceeding fully supported the application of investigator O.F. Silchenko to extend the period of detention in custody of accused S.L. Magnitsky...rule: extend the period of detention in custody of accused Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich...for one month and 9 days, and in total for three months and 19 days, i.e. until 15 March 2009 inclusive."	19-Jan-09	Court ruling to extend Mr Magnitsky's detention supported by Mr Oleinik	D1723.pdf
						On the last day of Mr Magnitsky's life, on 16 November 2009, Mr Oleinik was in Butyrka detention center where a medical record explaining why Mr Magnitsky could not leave his cell was given to an investigator and concealed from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers. On that day, Mr Magnitsky was moved in secrecy from his lawyers from Butyrka to Matrosskaya Tishina detention center where his death was recorded few hours later	"On Monday, 16 November 2009 around 11:00 [am] investigator Oleinik called me and said that he received a room and enters the detention center.. I got in the detention center only around 14:00...Having arrived up to the investigative room, I saw there only investigator Oleinik Sergei Vladimirovich. To my question, where is S.L. Magnitsky he replied that he was waiting for him since the morning and most likely he is not going to be brought in, because he was told that Magnitsky felt poorly and he would be given a corresponding report....At that time another member of the investigative group arrived to the investigative room - I.A. Varganov...In the evening of 16 November 2009 I called to investigator O.F. Silchenko and asked to inform me about the content of the report on the health of Magnitsky, which was issued to them. To my question investigator Silchenko said that this report was an investigative secret, and he would not disclose it to me."	29-Jan-10	Testimony by Oreshnikova indicating Mr Oleinik's presence in detention center on the last day of Magnitsky's life	D1762.pdf

					Mr Oleinik was also member of the investigation of the Interior Ministry case into the \$230 million theft (No 152979) which covered up the criminal conspiracy and blamed the theft on a sawmill employee (Mr Markelov) and a dead man (Mr Gasanov). Under this case, Mr Oleinik attempted to obtain a testimony from Mr Magnitsky after Mr Magnitsky was subjected to pressure in the Interior Ministry's detention facility. Mr Magnitsky stated that he had been wrongfully moved to a temporary holding facility, as recorded in the protocol of questioning of Mr Magnitsky given to Mr Oleinik	S.V. Oleinik: "Protocol of questioning of a witness. ..Investigator of the investigative group of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia Captain of Justice S.V. Oleinik in the building of the investigative office No1 of IVS No 1 of the Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry...has questioned under the criminal case No 152979 as a witness...Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich... Investigator's question: Do you know a citizen named Gasanov Oktai Gasanovich? Reply from S.L. Magnitky: Before the beginning of the questioning, I was not informed about the criminal case and the circumstances about which they wish to question me as a witness. Besides, three days before the start of questioning I was unlawfully transferred from the detention center to IVS [Temporary Holding Facility of the Interior Ministry in Moscow] to exert pressure upon me via inflicting on me additional physical and moral suffering. Because of these circumstances, I am concerned that any testimony that I may be coerced into giving in these conditions may be used against me. Due to this, I refuse to give testimony in accordance with Article 51 of the Constitution of RF ...in the premises of IVS on this or other criminal cases. S.L. Magnitsky"	24-Feb-09	Protocol by Mr Oleinik of questioning of Mr Magnitsky in custody	D1173.pdf	
					Under the Interior Ministry case No 152979, which recognised tax officials who approved in one day the \$230 million tax refund applications based on forged document as "victims" and blamed the theft instead on a "sawmill employee" (Mr Markelov), Mr Oleinik questioned tax official from Moscow Tax Office No 28 Mr Tretiakov as a representative of the "victim"	"Protocol of questioning of a victim. ..Investigator of the investigative group of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia Captain of Justice S.V. Oleinik in the office No 83 of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia...has questioned under the criminal case No 152979 as a victim...Tretiakov Maxim Alexeevich...Head of Legal Department of the Inspection of Federal Tax Service ["IFNS"] No 28 in Moscow...Victim: ...On 19 February 2009 according to the documents received by us from the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia, we learned that heads of OOO Parfenion and OOO Makhaon earlier, in 2007, submitted to IFNS No 28 in Moscow false documents to justify the overpayment of income tax for year 2006, and via this way unlawfully received from the budget 3,276,188,017,48 rubles and 372,825,989 rubles, and also caused damage to the business reputation of IFNS No 28 in Moscow and material harm for the stated amounts."	27-Feb-09	Protocol by Mr Oleinik of questioning of official of Tax Office which approved the fraudulent tax refund as a "victim"	D1172.pdf	
Marina Olegovna Sapunova		Investigator of Investigation Department, Lt Colonel	MVD	A, B	In her position as member of the Interior Ministry's investigation team, was assigned to question Mr Magnitsky's mother in the posthumous case against her son. Previously as member of two investigation teams, was responsible for Mr Magnitsky's prosecution and detention, and for the cover up of the criminal conspiracy behind the \$230 m theft uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	Ms Sapunova was investigator assigned to question Mr Magnitsky's mother as a witness in the posthumous case against her son	"In accordance with Article 53, Section 5 of Article 189 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, you must show up on 29 August 2011 10 am to the Investigative Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia ...to investigator of the investigative group M.O. Sapunova to attend the questioning of Magnitskaya Natalia Nikolaevna as a witness under the criminal No 311578. Deputy head of 3d section of the department of investigating organised crime activity S.A. Shamin"	16-Aug-11	Summons to lawyer to appear to Ms Sapunova for questioning of Mr Magnitsky's mother	D1166.pdf
					Ms. Sapunova offered Mr Magnitsky in custody to "cooperate" with the investigation as detailed in the official Interior Ministry "protocol". Mr Magnitsky refused the offer. The offer was made at Butyrka detention center to where Mr Magnitsky was transferred on 25 July 2009 and where he was denied medical care for a month prior to the offer of "cooperation" being made	"Investigator of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia, Lt Colonel of Justice M.O. Sapunova under the criminal case No 153123 at the premises of FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow [Butyrka] guided by Chapter 40-1 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF explained in the presence of lawyer Oreshnikova E....to defendant Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich the right to file an application to conclude a pre-trial agreement on cooperation."	27-Aug-09	Protocol of Ms Sapunova offering Mr Magnitsky "cooperation" in custody	D1167.pdf	

					<p>Under the Interior Ministry case No 152979, which covered up the \$230 m theft, Ms Sapunova questioned Mr Markelov, an alleged "sawmill employee", previously convicted for manslaughter, and who was subsequently convicted for the \$230 m theft. In his evidence to Ms Sapunova, Mr Markelov named "Sergei Leonidovich" [Magnitsky], without giving his last name, as allegedly meeting him and a Mr Gasanov, who was deceased at the time of testimony, in an apparent attempt to falsely blame Mr Magnitsky for the \$230 m theft. Mr Markelov also claimed that he acted to open a new bank account that received the fraudulent tax refund on an instruction from Mr Gasanov, even though Mr Gasanov had been deceased since 1 October 2007, for two months before the account opening on 17 December 2007 and the filing of the application for the fraudulent refund by Mr Markelov and its approval by Moscow Tax Office on 24 December 2007. Ms Sapunova failed to point out this irregularity in Mr Markelov's testimony, and the investigation proceeded to accept Mr Markelov's evidence as credible and charge him for the \$230 m theft</p>	<p>"Protocol of additional questioning of accused...Investigator of the investigative group of the Investigative Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia Lt Colonel of Justice M.O. Sapunova in the premises of IVS No1 of the Main Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia in Moscow in accordance with Articles 174 and 189 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF additionally questioned under the criminal case No 152979 as an accused Markelov Victor Alexandrovich...Please describe the appearance of a man whom O.G. Gasanov named Sergei Leonidovich. What signs can you use to describe him?...Answer:...We met at the end of August-early September 2007, it was still warm. Sergei Leonidovich came out of the building wearing only a suit. I don't remember his voice, because I didn't talk to him, only heard hellos and parts of phrases addressed to O.G. Gasanov...Answer: I opened a bank account in Russian rubles for OOO Parfenion in KB "Intercommerz"...The account I opened on instruction from O.G. Gasanov..The documents necessary to open an account for OOO Parfenion in KB "Intercommerz" I received from Gasanov. He also told me what to do and where to go."</p>	25-Feb-09	Protocol by Ms Sapunova of questioning of Mr Markelov under the case about the theft of \$230 m	D1168.pdf	
Oleg Vyacheslavovich Urzhumtsev	22-Oct-68	Investigator of Department of Investigation of Organised Criminal Activity of the Investigation Department	MVD	A, B	<p>As member of the investigation team on the case used to detain Mr Magnitsky, was responsible for his rights violations in custody; after Mr Magnitsky's death, issued two decrees falsely posthumously naming Mr Magnitsky a co-conspirator in the \$230 million theft that he had uncovered</p>	<p>Acting on the case against Mr Magnitsky, Mr Urzhumtsev obstructed the ability of Mr Magnitsky and his lawyers to promptly file a complaint with the Constitutional Court of Russia by unlawfully seizing the power of attorney issued by Mr Magnitsky to his lawyers.</p>	<p>"I inform you that your application from 2 July 2009 ...has been considered, and on 13 July 2009 a decree was issued to partially refuse it. Indeed, on 15 June 2009 a power attorney was received from FBU Detention Center No 1 of FSIN of Russia to senior investigator of especially important cases of the Investigative Committee of Russia O.F. Silchenko from defendant S.L. Magnitsky of 2 June 2009 to represent his interests in the Constitutional Court of Russian Federation, issued by him to lawyers D.V. Kharitonov and E.A. Oreshnikova. However, this power of attorney cannot be passed to defence of S.L. Magnitsky because it has been attached to the materials of the criminal case No 153123 as a free sample of the handwriting and signature of the defendant."</p>	13-Jul-09	Decree by Mr Urzhumtsev to refuse to pass Mr Magnitsky's POA to his lawyers obstructing the filing of an application with the Russian Constitutional Court	D1171.pdf

				<p>Mr Urzhumtsev was also investigator on case No 152979 used to cover up the criminal conspiracy behind the \$230 m theft. When the Interior Ministry's first cover-up lead by Investigator Silchenko under the Markelov conviction case did not stand up to scrutiny, as it was hardly plausible that Mr Markelov acted under an instruction of a deceased Mr Gasanov, the Interior Ministry proceeded to accuse of the \$230 m theft Mr Khlebnikov whom it had previously released from prosecution. As part of this proceeding (case No 152979), Mr Urzhumtsev issued a posthumous decree adding another deceased, Mr Korobeinikov, who could not verify the allegation, as an alleged co-conspirator in the theft. Mr Urzhumtsev also falsely named in the same decree Mr Magnitsky, a year after his death, as complicit in the \$230 million theft that Mr Magnitsky had uncovered, with the knowledge that Mr Magnitsky could not respond to it. The decree for a long time was kept secret from the Magnitsky family. The family was also refused access to case files (No 152979) under which it was issued</p>	<p>O.V. Urzhumtsev: "The preliminary investigation has determined that S.L. Magnitsky, O.G. Gasanov, S.M. Korobeinikov, V.A. Markelov, V.G. Khlebnikov and V.N. Kurochkin, having entered in prior conspiracy among themselves, by deceit, have organised an unlawful refund to taxpayers (OOO Parfenion, OOO Makhaon and OOO Rilend) of allegedly surplus income tax for year 2006, stealing the funds of the budget of the Russian Federation and subject of RF - Moscow, in the amount of 5,409,503,006 rubles, which is a large amount...Agreeing with S.M. Korebeinikov to commit the crime, O.G. Gasanov acted on instruction and with consent from S.L. Magnitsky...S.L. Magnitsky prepared false amended tax returns on behalf of Parfenion, Makhaon and Rilend societies, and V.A. Markelov, V.N. Kurochkin and V.G. Khlebnikov, acting on instruction from S.M. Korobeinikov signed these returns and submitted them to inspections of the Federal Tax Service in Moscow No 25 and No 28...On 24 December 2007, head of Tax Inspection No 28 in Moscow unaware of the criminal acts and intent of the group, mislead about the genuinity and the authenticity of false documents produced and submitted by V.G. Khlebnikov, V.A. Markelov, V.N. Kurochkin, S.M. Korobeinikov, O.G. Gasanov and S.L. Magnitsky, adopted the decisions to refund the amounts of taxes purportedly due for the refund."</p>	28-Dec-10	Posthumous decree by Mr Urzhumtsev falsely naming Mr Magnitsky as co-conspirator in the theft he had uncovered
				<p>Under another new proceeding (No 678540), Mr Urzhumtsev issued a new decree falsely alleging a year and a half after Mr Magnitsky's death that he was purportedly named complicit in the \$230 million theft by verdicts of Tverskoi District court in Moscow in relation to Mr Markelov and Mr Khlebnikov, in spite of the fact that neither verdicts mention Mr Magnitsky at all. The criminal proceeding initiated by Mr Urzhumtsev by this decree was used to exonerate government officials from the money laundering suspicions and continued the cover up of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky</p>	<p>O.V Urzhumtsev: "By verdicts of Tverskoi District Court of Moscow from 28 April 2009 and 10 March 2011 that entered into force V.A. Markelov and V.G. Khlebnikov were recognised guilty in entering into a prior conspiracy during the period from September to December 2007 in Moscow with V.N. Kurochkin, S.M. Korobeinikov, O.G. Gasanov, and S.L. Magnitsky, via deceit, to have organised the unlawful refund to taxpayers (OOO Parfenion, OOO Makhaon and OOO Rilend) allegedly surplus paid income tax for year 2006 stealing funds of the budget of the Russian Federation and subject of RF - city of Moscow in the amount of 5 409 503 006, 48 rubles."</p>	1-Jul-11	Posthumous decree by Mr Urzhumtsev falsely naming Mr Magnitsky as co-conspirator in the theft he had uncovered

[D1169.pdf](#)

[D1170.pdf](#)

Yuri Mikhailovich Shinin	12-Oct-60	First Deputy Head, Investigation Department, General Major	MVD	B	In his position as first deputy head of Interior Ministry's Investigation Department, was responsible for concealing from liability members of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	In his letter to lawyer representing Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, signed by Mr Shinin and executed by investigator Filippov, Mr Shinin refused Mr Firestone's application seeking a proper and thorough investigation of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky responsible for the systematic refunds of public funds via tax offices No 25 and No 28. Mr Shinin informed that "no confirmation" was found by investigators of the allegations in relation to Mr Klyuev and Mr Orlov named in Mr Firestone's application, blocking further investigation	Y.M. Shinin: "The Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia on 10.09.2012 considered your complaint in the interests of Jamison Reed Firestone about purported breaches of the law during the consideration of the application under Article 144-145 of the Criminal Procedure Code of Russian Federation, concerning D.V. Klyuev and S.V. Orlov....The arguments stated in the application of J.R.Firestone were checked by investigative manner under the investigation of the criminal case and have not found confirmation."	28-Sep-12	Refusal by Mr Shinin of the application seeking an investigation of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	D1146.pdf
						In his letter to Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, signed by Mr Shinin and executed by investigator Filippov, Mr Shinin refused an application seeking an inquiry into the concealment by officials of the Russian Interior Ministry and the General Prosecutor's Office of the criminal conspiracy involved in the theft of \$230 m (5.4 bln rubles) and other thefts from the budget under the guise of tax refunds uncovered by Mr Magnitsky. Mr Shinin informed that "no data" was found about the complicity of officials on case file	Y.M. Shinin: "The Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia has received from the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation a copy of the crime report from 23.07.2012 sent by you to the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation in relation to the employees of the Interior Ministry of the Russian Federation and the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation complicit in the concealment of persons who perpetrated the theft of 5.4 billion roubles. The arguments stated in your application concerning the theft and money laundering of 5.4 billion roubles will be taken into account during the investigation of the criminal case No 678540 opened on 01.07.2011...Currently, there are no data in the materials of this criminal case about the complicity of officials in the investigated crime. Besides, the lawfulness of actions of employees of law enforcement and tax bodies had been checked during the investigation of the criminal case No 152979 to accuse V.G. Khlebnikov and other persons, who committed the theft from the budgets of the Russian Federation and the city of Moscow of funds in the amount of 5,409,503,006 rubles."	2-Oct-12	Refusal by Mr Shinin of the application seeking an investigation of the concealment by Interior Ministry of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	D1147.pdf
A.V. Saribzhanov		Acting head of Department of Investigation of Organised Criminal Activity and Corruption of the Investigation Department	MVD	B	In his position as senior official of the Interior Ministry's Investigation Department, authorised the continuation of the fabricated criminal case (No 678540) falsely posthumously naming Mr Magnitsky as a perpetrator of the \$230 m theft that he had uncovered	In his letter, Mr Saribzhanov refused an application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, seeking an investigation into the illicit assets of Mr Markelov convicted for \$230 m theft. Mr Saribzhanov informed that the checks carried out by the Interior Ministry did not uncover illicit assets of Mr Markelov, relying on "pension fund" records, even though the application from Mr Firestone identified corporate, not personal, assets registered in Mr Markelov's name	"Your application in the interests of J.R. Firestone from 31 March 2011 sent to the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation to check the lawfulness of the criminal prosecution of V.A. Markelov convicted on 28 April 2009 by Tverskoi district court in Moscow under a criminal case No 311605 has been considered by the Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia in the part concerning the check into the arguments of the applicant about the illicit enrichment of V.A. Markelov....Based on received information (including from the Russian Registry and the unit of Pension Fund of the Russian Federation in Rostov region), the information stated in the application from J.R. Firestone about assets of V.A. Markelov were not confirmed. The property belonging to V.A. Markelov which can be seized under Art.Art.104.1 of the Criminal Code and 115 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation has not been discovered by carried out activities."	11-Dec-12	Refusal by Mr Saribzhanov of application seeking an investigation of the criminal conspiracy member's illicit assets	D1179.pdf

					In his decree, Mr Saribzhanov refused to investigate Interior Ministry investigators Urzumtsev and Filippov who posthumously on the basis of false materials named Mr Magnitsky as complicit in the \$230 million that he had uncovered, finding "no grounds" for an inquiry	A.V. Saribzhanov: "Having considered the complaint...in the interest of N.N. Magnitskaya under the criminal case No 678540, ...determined...The lawfulness and justification of the commencement of the criminal case No 678540 initiated by ...investigator O.V. Urzhumtsev has been checked on numerous occasions by the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation and by courts, due to the complaints and applications from interested parties. No violations of the requirements of the law have been identified thereby, and the decree to commence the criminal case No 678540 was deemed lawful and justified...The subject of the investigation under the criminal case No 678540 involves the circumstances of legalisation by unidentified persons of criminally obtained funds and not the investigative inquiry to identify data concerning the constitutional rights and basic freedoms of S.L. Magnitsky....Therefore, there are no grounds for conducting internal probes concerning investigators O.V. Urzhumtsev and R.A. Filippov, and the arguments of the lawyer seeking to deem unlawful and unjustified the decree from 01.07.2011 to open the criminal case No 678540, and to deem untrue the data stated in the same decree, must be considered baseless."	29-Dec-12	Refusal by Mr Saribzhanov of complaint about the false posthumous allegation against Mr Magnitsky	D1180.pdf	
Ruslan Alexandrovich Filippov	24-Nov-76	Investigator of especially important cases of the 3d unit of investigation of especially important cases in the sphere of credit and finance and high technology of the Department of investigation of organised criminal activity of the Investigation Department, Lt Colonel	MVD	B	In his role as investigator of the Interior Ministry on the fabricated case into the money laundering of the \$230 m (No 678540), was responsible for the cover up of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky and falsely posthumously accusing Mr Magnitsky; previously had been assigned on the repressive case leading to the arrest of Mr Magnitsky	Mr Filippov was appointed to the investigative group on the repressive case under which Mr Magnitsky was later arrested. The formation of the investigative team was ordered several days after the Hermitage Fund reported to the Russian authorities the details of the criminal conspiracy that had misappropriated three of Hermitage Fund's Russian companies and stole \$230 m they had paid in taxes, and sought its investigation	"Decree to conduct a preliminary investigation by an investigation group.... Given the large amount of investigative actions on the case, its complexity, multiple episodes, public significance and international nature, it is expedient to conduct the preliminary investigation by an investigation group...[I] rule: 1. Assign the production of criminal case No 153123 to the investigative group comprising:...Major of Justice Filippov Ruslan Alexandrovich..."	29-Jul-08	Decree appointing Mr Filippov to the case under which Mr Magnitsky was subsequently arrested	D1183.pdf
					Mr Filippov was in charge of the investigation of the criminal case opened on order from Deputy General Prosecutor Victor Grin of 16 June 2011 to purportedly investigate the money laundering of the \$230 m stolen from the Russian budget by "unidentified persons". The order and proceeding ignored the evidence given by Mr Magnitsky about the criminal conspiracy and instead posthumously blamed Mr Magnitsky himself for perpetrating the \$230 m theft	R.A. Filippov: "I, investigator of especially important cases...R.A. Filippov, having considered the materials of the criminal case No 678540, have determined that the criminal case was commenced on 01.07.2011 based on signs of crime under Section 4 Article 174 of the Criminal Code in relation to unidentified persons who during the period from December 2007 through the end of 2008 conducted a series of financial operations and other transactions in large amount with the funds stolen from the budget of the Russian Federation and the subject of RF - Moscow, in the amount of 5 409 503 006.48 rubles...Rule to: 1. accept the criminal case No 678540 for proceeding and begin the investigation. 2. forward a copy of this decree to Deputy General Prosecutor of the Russian Federation, state counsellor of 1st class, V.Y. Grin."	1-Aug-11	Decree by Mr Filippov to conduct proceedings under the fabricated case into the money laundering of \$230 m	D1699.pdf	

					Mr Filippov refused the complaint from the lawyer for Mr Magnitsky's mother who challenged as false the inclusion of allegations in relation to Mr Magnitsky posthumously that he allegedly was a co-conspirator in the \$230 m theft	R.A. Filippov: "The Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia on 13.08.2012 has received a complaint in the interests of N.N. Magnitskaya under the criminal case No 678540 against the acts of senior investigator of especially important cases O.V. Urzhumtsev. ...In your opinion, investigator O.V. Urzhumtsev did not have lawful grounds to indicate in the decree to commence criminal case the name of S.L. Magnitsky. In this regard, you've asked to deem the inclusion of the name of S.L. Magnitsky in the decree to commence the criminal case No 678540 unacceptable and affecting the constitutional rights and basic freedoms of S.L. Magnitsky as well as defaming his honour and dignity. The analysis of the arguments you posed and the materials of the criminal case has determined that the decree to commence the criminal case No 678540 has been checked on more than one occasion by the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation, Tverskoi District Court of Moscow, including in relation to the complaints and applications from clients and based on the results of carried out checks has been recognised as lawful and justified..."	23-Aug-12	Refusal by Mr Filippov against posthumous naming of Magnitsky for the \$230 m theft he had uncovered	D1717.pdf
					In the letter to lawyer representing Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, executed by R.A. Filippov and signed by Mr Shinin, they refused his complaint concerning the concealment of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky, refused to open an investigation into the named members of the criminal conspiracy (Klyuev, Orlov), and instead falsely posthumously named Mr Magnitsky as perpetrator of the \$230 m theft that he had uncovered	(Executed by R.A. Filippov, signed by Mr Shinin): "The Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia on 10.09.2012 has reviewed your complaint in the interests of Jamison Firestone Reed about alleged breaches of the law during the consideration of the application received in accordance with Art. Art. 144-145 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, in relation to D.V. Klyuev and S.V. Orlov. On 21.03.2012 from the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation the Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia received for an inquiry by investigative means under the criminal case No 678540 an application from Jamison Firestone Reed seeking to commence a criminal case or to refuse a criminal case in relation to employees of the Interior Ministry of the Russian Federation and the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation complicit in the cover-up of persons who had perpetrated the theft of 5.4 billion rubles. The received application was attached to the materials of the criminal case No 678540...This criminal case was opened into the fact of legalisation of funds stolen earlier by V.A. Markelov, V.G. Khlebnikov, V.N. Kurochkin, S.M. Korobeinikov, O.G. Gasanov and S.L. Magnitsky via deceit from the budgets of the Russian Federation and city of Moscow in the amount of 5,409,503,006 rubles. The arguments stated in the application from Jamison Firestone Reed were checked via investigative means under the criminal case and did not find confirmation....Executed by R.A. Filippov"	28-Sep-12	Refusal executed by Mr Filippov of the application seeking a criminal investigation into named members of the criminal conspiracy	D1184.pdf
P.V. Zotov	Deputy head of section of Department of Investigation of Organized Criminal Activity and Corruption	MVD	B	In his role as official in the Interior Ministry's Investigative Department, refused to open an investigation into a member of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	Mr Zotov refused an application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone seeking an inquiry into illicit assets of a member of the criminal conspiracy (V Markelov) in whose name two companies were registered in 2006 with capital of about US\$2 million and who was subsequently convicted for the \$230 m theft, finding "no confirmation" of the points made in the application	P.V. Zotov: "I report that your application about the unjustified enrichment of V.A. Markelov has been considered. Carried out investigative and operative activities did not identify the property which can be subject to seizure subject to Art. Art. 104.1 of the Criminal Code and 115 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation. The arguments stated in the application from your client, J.R. Firestone, have been checked and have not been confirmed."	8-Oct-12	Letter by Mr Zotov refusing an application for an inquiry into illicit assets of a member of the criminal conspiracy	D1181.pdf

Pavel Igorevich Tambovtsev	13-Jan-81	Senior Investigator of Department of Investigation of Organised Criminal Activity and Corruption of the Investigation Department	MVD	B	In his role as investigator of the Interior Ministry on the fabricated case into the money laundering of \$230 m (No 678540), was responsible for the cover up of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky and the false posthumous accusation of Mr Magnitsky in the theft he had uncovered	In a letter to lawyer for Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Tambovtsev informed about the refusal of the application from 24 December 2012 seeking an inquiry into decisions by Interior Ministry Investigators Urzumtsev and Filippov who posthumously named Mr Magnitsky as complicit in the \$230 million theft he had uncovered	P.I. Tambovtsev: "Hereby to inform that your complaint from 24.12.2012 (in accordance with Article 124 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation) under the criminal case No 678540 has been considered in the manner stipulated by the law and it has been refused."	29-Dec-12	Refusal by Mr Tambovtsev of the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's mother about the false posthumous allegations against her son	D1182.pdf
						Mr Tambovtsev summoned Mr Magnitsky's brother-in-law for questioning at the Interior Ministry three years after Mr Magnitsky's death	P.I. Tambovtsev: "You must show up to investigator P.I. Tambovtsev to the Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia, Moscow, Gazetny per., 4, office 309 for questioning as a witness on 21.02.2013 at 14:00."	19-Feb-13	Summons from Mr Tambovtsev to Mr Magnitsky's brother-in-law	D1700.pdf
R.A. Gritsai		Senior investigator of especially important cases of the investigative unit of the investigative department of the Interior Ministry branch for Yaroslavl region, Captain	MVD	A	In his role as Interior Ministry investigator, was assigned to the repressive case against Mr Magnitsky two months before his death, and did not intervene to cease his rights violations in spite of Mr Magnitsky's evidence naming the criminal conspiracy and the case against him being a retribution	Mr Gritsai was appointed to the repressive case against Mr Magnitsky (No 153123) two months before Mr Magnitsky's death	General O.V Logunov: "Having considered the materials of the criminal case No 153123, ...rule: ...2. Include in the membership of the investigation group : - senior investigator of especially important cases of the investigative unit of the investigative department of the Interior Ministry branch for Yaroslavl region, Captain of justice R.A. Gritsai"	1-Sep-09	Decree to amend the composition of the investigation group and include Mr Gritsai	D1202.pdf
						One month before his death, Mr Magnitsky gave to Mr Gritsai evidence from custody in which he detailed his repressive persecution being a retribution in the interests of the criminal conspiracy and described his ill-treatment and pressure to give false testimony. Mr Gritsai failed to intervene to cease Mr Magnitsky's rights violations	"Protocol of additional questioning of accused. Investigator of the investigative group of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia, major of justice R.A. Gritsai at the premises of FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow...additionally questioned under the criminal case No 153123 as an accused Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich. ...S.L. Magnitsky: In my view, Kuznetsov and other law enforcement officers, who acted in complicity with Kuznetsov, could be involved in the theft of "Rilend", "Mahaon", and "Parfenion" and in the subsequent theft of 5.4 billion rubles [\$230 m] from the state budget as described above. They were extremely interested in the suppression of my activities that I performed to assist my client in the investigation of the circumstances of the case involving the criminal offences, and that was the reason for the illegal criminal prosecution that was initiated against me by investigator Silchenko. I believe that with the involvement of investigator Silchenko O.F., or with his tacit consent the inhuman and degrading conditions were created for me in the pre-trial detention ward. During the period of my custody I was transferred five times to four different detention centers. I am tired of counting the number of cells to which I was transferred an incalculable	14-Oct-09	Testimony to Mr Gritsai by Mr Magnitsky about his persecution in custody	D1754.pdf

A.A. Malygina		Investigator of the investigative department of the Interior Ministry branch for Pushkin municipal district of Moscow oblast, Lt	MVD	A	In her role as Interior Ministry investigator, was appointed on the investigation team on the case against Mr Magnitsky	Ms Malygina was appointed on order from Deputy Head of the Interior Ministry's Investigation Committee Mr Logunov to the investigation group on the case against Mr Magnitsky (No 153123) on 19 June 2009 where she served until 1 September 2009 when she was replaced with another investigator, Mr Varganov	General O.V Logunov: "Include in the membership of the investigation group on the criminal case No 153123: ..investigator of the investigative department of the Interior Ministry branch for Pushkin municipal district of Moscow region, Lt of justice A.A. Malygina"	19-Jun-09	Decree to amend composition of the investigation group and appoint Ms Malygina	D1205.pdf
I.A. Varganov		Investigator of the investigative department of the Interior Ministry branch for Pushkin municipal district of Moscow region, Captain	MVD	A	In his role as Interior Ministry investigator, was appointed on the investigation team on the case against Mr Magnitsky and attended detention center on the last day of Mr Magnitsky's life	Mr Varganov was appointed on order from Deputy Head of the Interior Ministry's Investigation Committee Mr Logunov to the investigation group on the case against Mr Magnitsky (No 153123) two months before Mr Magnitsky's death in custody	General O.V Logunov: "Having considered the materials of the criminal case No 153123, ...rule: ...2. Include in the membership of the investigation group : - investigator of the investigative department of the Interior Ministry branch for Pushkin municipal district of Moscow region, Captain of justice I.A. Varganov"	1-Sep-09	Decree to amend composition of the investigation group and include Mr Varganov	D1203.pdf
						According to testimony from Magnitsky's lawyer Ms Oreshnikova, Mr Varganov attended Butyrka detention center on the last day of Mr Magnitsky's life, when the medical report about grounds for Mr Magnitsky's not being able to leave his cell was concealed from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers	"On Monday, 16 November 2009 around 11:00 [am] investigator Oleinik called me and said that he received a room and enters the detention center.. I got in the detention center only around 14:00...Having arrived up to the investigative room, I saw there only investigator Oleinik Sergei Vladimirovich. To my question, where is S.L. Magnitsky he replied that he was waiting for him since the morning and most likely he is not going to be brought in, because he was told that Magnitsky felt poorly and he would be given a corresponding report....At that time another member of the investigative group arrived to the investigative room - I.A. Varganov...In the evening of 16 November 2009 I called to investigator O.F. Silchenko and asked to inform me about the content of the report on the health of Magnitsky, which was issued to them. To my question investigator Silchenko said that this report was an investigative secret, and he would not disclose it to me."	29-Jan-10	Testimony naming Mr Varganov attending Butyrka on the last day of Mr Magnitsky's life	D1750.pdf
Alexander Vasilievich Chikh	18-Jun-83	Senior investigator of the 4th unit of the investigative section of the investigative department of the Interior Ministry branch for North Western Administrative district in Moscow, Senior Lt	MVD	A	In his role as Interior Ministry investigator, served on the repressive case against Mr Magnitsky, and attended Butyrka detention center on the day after Mr Magnitsky's death	Mr Chikh was appointed to the repressive case under which Mr Magnitsky was detained by decision of Deputy Head of Interior Ministry's Investigation Committee Mr Logunov	General O.V Logunov: "Include in the membership of the investigation group on the criminal case No 153123: ...senior investigator of the 4th unit of the investigative section of the investigative department of the Interior Ministry branch for North Western Administrative district in Moscow, Senior Lt of justice A.V. Chikh"	19-Jun-09	Decree to amend composition of the investigation group and include Mr Chikh	D1201.pdf

					According to testimony from Magnitsky's lawyer Oreshnikova, Mr Chikh attended Butyrka detention center on the next day after Mr Magnitsky's death (17 November 2009) and had access to the special zone of detention center where the lawyer was not allowed	E.V. Oreshnikova: "On 17 November 2009 I went to FBU IZ-77/2 [Butyrka]. ...Around 9:40 [am] I arrived to FBU IZ-77/2, where near the window of the issuance of receipts I saw the member of the investigative group Chikh, I don't recall his first name and patronymic now, who was waiting for a room or a notice of the transfer of Magnitsky to a different detention center. I asked the employee who was issuing receipts, to issue me a notice of transfer of S.L. Magnitsky to a different detention center. In some time I got a call from Natalia Nikolaevna Magnitskaya [Mr Magnitsky's mother] who was told that her son had died. ..At that time the girl who was issuing receipts in FBU-IZ/2 told about the death of Magnitsky to investigator Chikh to whom she gave a pass to access the special unit to obtain a receipt. I also asked to give me a pass to enter the special unit of FBU IZ-77/2 in order to obtain the official receipt, which was refused to me on the ground that it was a special facility and lawyers were not allowed there..."	29-Jan-10	Testimony about presence of Mr Chikh in detention center on the day after Mr Magnitsky's death	D1749.pdf	
E.V. Mikhailov		Investigator of the investigative department of the Interior Ministry branch for Belgorod, Senior Lt	MVD	A	In his role as Interior Ministry investigator, was assigned to the case under which Mr Magnitsky was detained	Mr Mikhailov was appointed to the repressive case under which Mr Magnitsky was arrested by decision of Deputy Head of Interior Ministry's Investigation Committee Mr Logunov	O.V. Logunov: "Head of the investigative body - deputy head of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry, General Major of justice O.V. Logunov, having considered the materials of the criminal case No 153123...With the purposes of full and comprehensive investigation, taking into account the large scope of planned investigative actions, guided by Article 163 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, rule: 1. Assign the proceeding under the criminal case No 153123 to the investigative group comprising: - investigator of the investigative department of the Interior Ministry's branch for Belgorod, Senior Lt of justice E.V. Mikhailov."	12-Nov-08	Decree to compose the investigation group and include Mr Mikhailov	D1204.pdf
Danila Vasilievich Maruschenko		Senior operative of the 4th unit of ORB No8 of the Interior Ministry of Russia, Lt Col	MVD	A	In his role as Interior Ministry operative, was appointed to the repressive proceeding later used to arrest Mr Magnitsky	Mr Marushenko was assigned to the repressive proceeding used to arrest Mr Magnitsky four months later	General O.V Logunov: "Decree to conduct a preliminary investigation proceeding by an investigation group....it is expedient to conduct the preliminary investigation by an investigation group...rule...3. Involve in the investigation group senior operative of the 4th unit of ORB No8 of the Interior Ministry of Russia Lt Col of police Marushenko Danila Vasilievich"	29-Jul-08	Decree to compose investigative group naming Mr Marushenko	D1214.pdf
Roman Faimovich Fakhрутdinov	26-Jun-73	Operative of especially important cases of the 4th unit of ORB No8 of the Interior Ministry of Russia, Captain	MVD	A	In his role as Interior Ministry operative, was appointed to the repressive proceeding later used to arrest Mr Magnitsky	Mr Fakhрутdinov was assigned to the repressive proceeding used to arrest Mr Magnitsky four months later	General O.V Logunov: "Decree to conduct a preliminary investigation proceeding by an investigation group....it is expedient to conduct the preliminary investigation by an investigation group...rule...3. Involve in the investigation group operative of especially important cases of the same unit [the 4th unit of ORB No8 of the Interior Ministry of Russia] Captain of police Fakhрутdinov Roman Faimovich"	29-Jul-08	Decree to compose investigative group naming Mr Fakhрутdinov	D1215.pdf
Andrei Viktorovich Semenyov		Operative of especially important cases of the 3d unit of ORB No7 of the Interior Ministry of Russia, Lt Col	MVD	A	In his role as Interior Ministry operative, was appointed to the repressive proceeding later used to arrest Mr Magnitsky	Mr Semenyov was assigned to the repressive proceeding used to arrest Mr Magnitsky four months later	General O.V Logunov: "Decree to conduct a preliminary investigation proceeding by an investigation group....it is expedient to conduct the preliminary investigation by an investigation group...rule...3. Involve in the investigation group ...operative of especially important cases of the 3d unit of ORB No7 of the Interior Ministry of Russia Lt Col of police Semenyov Andrei Victorovich"	29-Jul-08	Decree to compose investigative group naming Mr Semenyov	D1216.pdf

Natalia Ivanovna Agafieva	21-Jan-58	Head of the Investigation Branch of the Interior Ministry for Central Federal District (since fall 2012), Colonel	MVD	B	In her position as head of the investigation branch of the Interior Ministry for Central Federal District, authorised the accusation conclusion in relation to Mr Magnitsky three years after his death in custody	Ms Agafieva authorised the posthumous accusation conclusion in relation to Mr Magnitsky. The conclusion falsely alleged that Mr Magnitsky's relatives "demanded the conduct of a rehabilitation procedure, which in turn is reflected in the decision of the Ostankinsky District Court of Moscow from 3 April 2012..." while in fact the Magnitsky relatives in those court proceedings challenged the decision by Deputy General Prosecutor Grin to resume the posthumous proceedings against Mr Magnitsky and filed over 20 complaints against it	N.I. Agafieva: "The Accusation Conclusion... in relation to Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich who had been accused of committing crimes stipulated by part 3 and part 5 of Article 33, points a,b, of Part 2 of Article 199, part 3 and part 5 of Article 33, points a,b, of Part 2 of Article 199 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation (in the version of 08.12.2003 No 162 FZ)....Accusation conclusion is composed in the city of Moscow on 20 November 2012 and together with the criminal case No 679591 sent to Deputy General Prosecutor of the Russian Federation, state counsellor of justice of 1st class, V.Y. Grin. [signed by] Senior Investigator M. Y. Shupolovsky. Agreed [by] N.I. Agafieva."	20-Nov-12	Posthumous accusation by Ms Agafieva in relation to Mr Magnitsky	D1221.pdf
S.B. Soloviev		Head of the Investigation Branch of the Interior Ministry for Central Federal District (2011-summer 2012)	MVD	B	In his position as head of the investigation branch of the Interior Ministry for Central Federal District, refused complaints against the posthumous prosecution of Mr Magnitsky	In his decree, Mr Soloviev refused the complaint filed by lawyer for Mr Magnitsky's mother against the posthumous prosecution of her son, the summonses to relatives and the decree to name relatives as representatives of deceased defendant	S.B. Soloviev: "Head of the investigative body...S.B. Soloviev, having considered the complaint of lawyer N.A. Gorokhov in the interests of N.N. Magnitskaya...under the criminal case No 153123, have determined...The acts of deputy head of section B.B. Kibis summoning N.N. Magnitskaya and her lawyer N.A. Gorokhov for the participation in the investigative actions have been carried out within the authority of the investigator under Article 38 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF, and are conditioned by the need to implement the rights of N.N. Magnitskaya as the lawful representative of the deceased defendant, set out by Section 3 of Article 45 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF in the part of reviewing the documents that have been shown to the defendant, or must be shown to the defendant, and the need to obtain from N.N. Magnitskaya a consent, or lack of consent concerning the possibility to terminate the criminal case in relation to the deceased defendant S.L. Magnitsky on ground set out by p. 4 Section 1 of Article 2 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF. Therefore, violations in the actions of deputy head of section B.B. Kibis have not been identified. On the basis of the above, ...rule to: refuse to satisfy the complaint from 20.12.2011 ...in the part seeking to recognise as unlawful and subject to cancellation the decree from 28 September 2011 which recognised N.N. Magnitskaya as "lawful representative of the deceased defendant" under the criminal case No 311578 and to recognise as unlawful the acts of investigator B.B. Kibis summoning for investigative actions N.N. Magnitskaya and N.A. Gorokhov."	22-Dec-11	Refusal by Mr Soloviev of the complaint against the posthumous prosecution of Mr Magnitsky	D1223.pdf
						In his decree, Mr Soloviev refused the complaint filed by Mr Magnitsky's mother against the posthumous prosecution of Mr Magnitsky, the summonses to relatives and the decree to name relatives as representatives of deceased defendant	S.B. Soloviev: "Head of the investigative body...S.B. Soloviev, having considered the complaint of N.N. Magnitskaya...under the criminal case No 679591, have determined...All above mentioned procedural decisions of bodies of preliminary investigation and prosecution until the current time have not been cancelled, and therefore are lawful, subject to implementation....Rule: to refuse to satisfy the complaint from 16.01.2011 of N.N. Magnitskaya and lawyer N.N. Gorokhov seeking to protect her and all other relatives of her son - S.L. Magnitsky from the unlawful prosecution under the criminal case No 679591 by investigator B.B. Kibis and members of his investigative group, to recognise as unlawful and subject to cancellation the decree from 28 September 2011 which recognized Ms Magnitskaya as "lawful representative of the deceased defendant" and to apply measures in accordance with the law to officials who allowed the violation of the law and rights of N.N. Magnitskaya."	18-Jan-12	Refusal by Mr Soloviev of the complaint against the posthumous prosecution of Mr Magnitsky	D1222.pdf

Gleb Valerievich Smirnov		Deputy Head of the Investigation Branch of the Interior Ministry for Central Federal District, Major	MVD	B	In his position as deputy head of the investigation branch of the Interior Ministry for Central Federal District, refused the complaints against the posthumous prosecution of Mr Magnitsky and his relatives	In his refusal of the complaint against posthumous prosecution from Mr Magnitsky's mother, Mr Smirnov concluded that there had been no grounds for rehabilitation of Mr Magnitsky identified by the Interior Ministry and to remove investigator Kibis from the posthumous case, finding all decisions have been lawful	G.V.Smirnov: "Head of investigative body...G.V. Smirnov, having considered the complaint from N.N. Magnitskaya...under the criminal case No 679591, has determined...during the continuation of the preliminary investigation under the criminal cases No 311578 and No 153123 no grounds for rehabilitation of deceased S.L. Magnitsky have been established on the part of the investigative bodies. In this situation, having regard for the requirements of the Constitutional Court of Russian Federation, the case is transferred to the court for consideration in general order... All above mentioned procedural decisions of bodies of preliminary investigation and prosecution until the current time have not been cancelled, and therefore are lawful, subject to implementation....There are no circumstances giving grounds to believe that deputy head of section B.B. Kibis personally, directly or indirectly in interested in the outcome of this criminal case. Therefore, no violations in actions of deputy head of section B.B. Kibis and other officials have been established by the conducted check, all procedural decisions of bodies of preliminary investigation complained about have been issued lawfully and justifiably, there are no grounds to remove B.B. Kibis from the investigation of the criminal case."	26-Jan-12	Refusal by Mr Smirnov of complaint against the posthumous prosecution of Mr Magnitsky	D1224.pdf
					Mr Smirnov refused the complaint against the continuation of the posthumous prosecution of Mr Magnitsky against the family will	Mr Smirnov refused the complaint against the continuation of the posthumous prosecution of Mr Magnitsky against the family will	G.V. Smirnov: "Head of investigative body...G.V. Smirnov, having considered the complaint from N.N. Magnitskaya...under the criminal case No 679591, has determined...during the continuation of the preliminary investigation under the criminal cases No 311578 and No 153123 no grounds for rehabilitation of deceased S.L. Magnitsky have been established on the part of the investigative bodies. In this situation, having regard for the requirements of the Constitutional Court of Russian Federation, the case is transferred to the court for consideration in general order...All above mentioned procedural decisions of bodies of preliminary investigation and prosecution until the current time have not been cancelled, and therefore are lawful, subject to implementation. At the same time, the position of N.N. Magnitskaya in the part concerning the disagreement with the further proceeding under the case but with the existence of the above mentioned demands to withdraw from S.L. Magnitsky all accusations and terminate the criminal case indicates the disagreement with the termination of the criminal prosecution of S.L. Magnitsky on ground of p 4, Section 1 Article 24 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF (due to the death of defendant) and obliges the bodies of preliminary investigation to send the materials of the criminal case to the court to consider in general order...There are no circumstances giving grounds to believe that deputy head of section B.B. Kibis personally, directly or indirectly in interested in the outcome of this criminal case. Therefore, no violations in actions of deputy head of section B.B. Kibis and other officials have been established by the conducted check, all procedural decisions of bodies of preliminary investigation complained about have been issued lawfully and justifiably, there are no grounds to remove B.B. Kibis from the investigation of the criminal case."	10-Feb-12	Refusal by Mr Smirnov of the complaint against the posthumous prosecution of Mr Magnitsky	D1225.pdf

Mikhail Yurievich Shupolovsky	28-Sep-83	Senior Investigator of the Investigation Branch of the Interior Ministry for Central Federal District	MVD	B	In his position as investigator of the investigation branch of the Interior Ministry for Central Federal District, issued the accusation in relation to Mr Magnitsky three years after his death in custody	Mr Shupolovsky signed the posthumous accusation conclusion in relation to Mr Magnitsky falsely stating that his relatives "demanded for the conduct of a rehabilitation procedure, which in turn is reflected in the decision of the Ostankinsky District Court of Moscow from 3 April 2012..." while in fact the Magnitsky relatives in those court proceedings challenged the decision by Deputy General Prosecutor Grin to resume the posthumous proceedings against Mr Magnitsky and filed over 20 complaints against it	Senior Investigator M. Y. Shupolovsky. "The Accusation Conclusion to accuse Browder William Felix...and in relation to Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich who had been accused of committing crimes stipulated by part 3 and part 5 of Article 33, points a,b, of Part 2 of Article 199, part 3 and part 5 of Article 33, points a,b, of Part 2 of Article 199 of the Criminal Code of the Russian Federation (in the version of 08.12.2003 No 162 FZ)....Accusation conclusion is composed in the city of Moscow on 20 November 2012 and together with the criminal case No 679591 sent to Deputy General Prosecutor of the Russian Federation, state counsellor of justice of 1st class, V.Y. Grin. [signed by] Senior Investigator M. Y. Shupolovsky. Agreed [by] N.I. Agafieva."	20-Nov-12	Posthumous accusation by Mr Shupolovsky in relation to Mr Magnitsky	D1228.pdf
E.I. Averina		Investigator of the Interior Ministry's branch for Central Federal District, Lt Col	MVD	B	In her position as investigator, was involved in the posthumous proceeding in relation to Mr Magnitsky	In her decree, Ms Averina refused the application seeking to disclose to lawyers the circumstances and timing of changes in the membership of investigative group on the posthumous case in relation to Mr Magnitsky (No 679591)	E.I. Averina: "Refuse application...containing the following requests: to disclose to the defence lawyers the documents confirming sending and receipt of the decree by deputy head of Investigative Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia A.V. Romanov about the conduct of the proceeding by an investigative group from 3 May 2012"	20-Sep-12	Refusal by Ms Averina of complaint against obstruction of justice	D1229.pdf
Boris Borisovich Kibis	20-Nov-77	Investigator of the Investigation Branch of the Interior Ministry for Central Federal District	MVD	B	In his position as investigator of the investigation branch of the Interior Ministry for Central Federal District, issued summonses to Mr Magnitsky's mother under the posthumous case in relation to her son and attempted to impose a new lawyer against her will	Mr Kibis issued summonses to Ms Magnitskaya under the posthumous case against her dead son	B.B. Kibis: "In accordance with Article 53 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation you must show up on 21 December 2011 at 15:00 to the investigative unit of the Main Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia for the Central Federal District...to investigator B.B. Kibis, to review jointly with Magnitskaya Natalia Nikolaevna the materials that were shown or must be shown to defendant S.L. Magnitsky under the criminal case."	16-Dec-11	Summons by Mr Kibis to lawyer for Mr Magnitsky's mother	D1230.pdf
						Mr Kibis issued summonses to Ms Magnitskaya under the posthumous case against her dead son	B.B. Kibis: "I inform you that... the criminal case No 679591 has been severed into a separate proceeding in relation to ...S.L. Magnitsky accused of committing crimes...Given that you... have not given your consent to terminate the criminal case due to the death of defendant, taking into account the requirements of the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation, stated in the Decree from 14 July 2011 No 16-P, the body of preliminary investigation must continue the preliminary investigation. In accordance with Section 3 of Article 45 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, to implement your rights in the part of access to materials that were shown or must be shown to the defendant, you must show up on 28 December 2011 at 15:00 to the investigative unit of the Main Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia for the Central Federal District...to investigator B.B. Kibis."	22-Dec-11	Summons by Mr Kibis to Mr Magnitsky's mother	D1231.pdf

					Mr Kibis conducted the posthumous case against Mr Magnitsky and issued summonses to his mother under the case against her dead son	B.B. Kibis: "I inform you that... the criminal case No 679591 has been severed into a separate proceeding in relation to ...S.L. Magnitsky accused of committing crimes...Given that you... have not given your consent to terminate the criminal case due to the death of defendant, taking into account the requirements of the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation, stated in the Decree from 14 July 2011 No 16-P, the body of preliminary investigation must continue the preliminary investigation. In accordance with Section 3 of Article 45 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, to implement your rights in the part of access to materials that were shown or must be shown to the defendant, you must show up on 28 December 2011 at 15:00 to the investigative unit of the Main Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia for the Central Federal District...to investigator B.B. Kibis. Simultaneously with the above, I explain to you that you can express your decision in any form concerning the possibility to terminate the criminal case in relation to the deceased defendant S.L. Magnitsky on the ground set out by p.4 Section 1 Article 24...of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF"	23-Dec-11	Summons by Mr Kibis to Mr Magnitsky's mother	D1232.pdf
					Mr Kibis issued a decree to appoint a defence lawyer to the Magnitsky family against their will, on the pretext of the need to "defend" Mr Magnitsky's honour and dignity	B.B. Kibis: "Given that N.V. Zharikova [widow] and N.N. Magnitskaya [mother] did not refuse the services of their lawyers, and that the non-appearance of the latter breaches their rights and lawful interests as lawful representatives of deceased defendant, and does not allow to implement the right to defend the honour and dignity of the deceased, on the ground of principles of equality and competition in criminal judicial proceedings, the body of preliminary investigation in accordance with p.1 of Section 1 of Article 51 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF, must appoint a defence lawyer. On the basis of the above, ...rule: 1. appoint as defence lawyer...lawyer from the Law Chambers No 11 of the Moscow City Collegium of Lawyers Elena Alexandrovna Khokhobaya. 2. Pay for the work of the lawyer from the sources of the federal budget."	23-Jan-12	Decree by Mr Kibis to appoint a defence lawyer	D1234.pdf
					Mr Kibis informed Ms Magnitskaya that she has rights as a defendant in the criminal case against her dead son and that the case can be closed if the family stated on the record that they did not wish to defend his good name	B.B. Kibis: "Given above stated, and the fact that you...have the rights of the defendant, you have the right to review the case materials either in person or with help from defence lawyer in accordance with Article 217 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF. In this regard I ask you to show up on 09 February 2012 at 15:00 to the investigative unit of the main department of the Interior Ministry of RF for Central Federal District...At the same time, I again explain that according to the above stated decision of the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation, in case of the absence of an interest among relatives justifying the continued consideration of the case, including the wish to defend the honour and dignity of the deceased, the criminal case may be terminated by investigator with their consent and on the ground set out by p. 4 Section 1 of Article 24 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF."	3-Feb-12	Summons by Mr Kibis to Mr Magnitsky's mother	D1235.pdf
					Mr Kibis informed Ms Magnitskaya that the posthumous case against her son continues and would be sent to trial and the only way to avoid it was to accept the closure on the case on non-rehabilitation grounds	B.B. Kibis: "Given above stated, and that you ...have the rights of the defendant, you to fulfill your rights..can show up on 11 March 2012 at 15:00 to the investigative unit of the main department of the Interior Ministry of RF for Central Federal District.. At the same time, I again explain that according to the above stated decision of the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation, your position of withdrawing all accusations from the deceased defendant S.L. Magnitsky and the termination of the criminal case on rehabilitating grounds, stated in various applications,... obliges the bodies of preliminary investigation to forward the materials of the criminal case to court for consideration on general terms."	6-Mar-12	Summons by Mr Kibis to Mr Magnitsky's mother	D1236.pdf

Nikolai Nikolaevich Budilo	15-Apr-77	Senior investigator of the Investigation Branch for Central Federal District, Major	MVD	B, D	In his position as Interior Ministry investigator, was involved in the fabricated criminal case used to conceal the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky and subsequently to detain Mr Magnitsky	In March 2008, Mr Budilo carried out investigative actions to conceal the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky. In particular, Mr Budilo carried out investigative actions with Mr Markelov, a false owner of Hermitage Fund's companies, and "seized" from him purported "sales agreements" which he allegedly concluded to buy the Hermitage Fund's companies from a Mr Gasanov who never had any relation to the Hermitage Fund's companies and who died in October 2007. At the same time as these alleged contracts were "voluntarily" provided by Mr Markelov to Mr Budilo, investigator Gordievsky of the Investigative Committee (under case No 374015) claimed he could not find Mr Markelov to question him under a case opened in response to the Hermitage Fund's application seeking to investigate the misappropriation of their companies with the involvement of Mr Markelov and others	"Protocol of search (seizure) ...Senior Investigator of the investigative unit of the Main Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia for Central Federal District major of justice N.N. Budilo...with the participation of Markelov V.A. ...conducted a seizure at the investigative unit of the Main Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia for Central Federal District in order to find documents stated in the decree."	28-Mar-08	Protocol by Mr Budilo of seizure of documents from Mr Markelov	D1219.pdf
Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry										
Ivan Alexeevich Glukhov	13-Sep-55	Head of the Investigation Department of the Moscow Branch, Deputy Head of Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry, General Major	MVD	D	In his role as most senior official in the Moscow branch of the Interior Ministry in charge of investigations, authorised the fabricated case used by the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky to misappropriate his client's companies and \$230 m in taxes they had paid to the Russian government's budget	Mr Glukhov authorised the fabricated criminal case under which the corporate documents for the Hermitage Fund's Russian companies had been seized and used to misappropriate the companies, falsify multi-million dollar liabilities against them in collusive court proceedings and obtain \$230 million in tax refund. Mr Glukhov authorised the appointment of investigator Karpov as officer formally in charge of this proceeding and operatives of the Tax Crimes Department who subsequently played a role in Mr Magnitsky's detention as its members	I.A. Glukhov: "Deputy head of Interior Ministry branch for Moscow - head of the Main Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry branch for Moscow, having considered the materials of the criminal case No 151231, have determined: The 2nd unit of the investigative section of the Main Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry branch for Moscow is investigating the criminal case No 151231 commenced on 28 May 2007 in relation to general director and chief accountant of Kameya I.S. Cherkasov under Section 2 of Article 199.1 of the Criminal Code of RF..it is necessary to conduct a large number of investigative actions and operational and search activities, the investigation of the criminal case represents particular complexity and public resonance...rule: 1. Assign the proceeding under the criminal case No 151231 to the investigative group comprising: ...2. Appoint as head of the investigative group senior investigator of the unit of internal affairs of the 2nd unit of the investigative section of the Main Investigative Department of the Interior Ministry branch for Moscow major of justice P.A. Karpov."	14-Jun-07	Decree to conduct preliminary investigation by investigation group on the case used to seize corporate documents used to misappropriate the Hermitage Fund's companies and steal \$230m of tax revenue	D1237.pdf

Anatoly Ivanovich Mikhalkin	6-Oct-50	Head of Tax Crime Department of the Moscow Branch, General	MVD	D	In his role as most senior official in the Moscow Tax Crimes Department, authorised himself and was responsible for actions of his subordinates in seizing the information and materials used by the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky for the misappropriation of Hermitage Fund's companies and their \$230 m tax revenue, and in intimidating Mr Magnitsky and his associates with questioning	Mr Mikhalkin authorised requests for information on Hermitage Fund's companies, including the one targeted by the criminal conspiracy for the misappropriation and the theft of tax revenue	A.I. Mikhalkin to Credit Suisse in Moscow: "On the basis of pp 4,30,31 of Article 11 of the Law On Militia,..as part of performing the functions of identification, prevention and ceasure of tax crimes, due to the investigation of the criminal case No 151231, commenced on 28 May 2007 in relation to general director of OOO Kameya I.S. Cherkasov...Please provide within three days deadline the following data: 1. all agreements with attachments and additions, excerpts from accounts, ...bank and wire transfers indicating the relationships between Credit Suisse Securities (Europe) Ltd (London GB) ...with companies...Hermitage Capital Mgmt Ltd,...Glendora Holdings Ltd. ...for the period from 2005 until the current time."	17-Aug-07	Request by Mr Mikhalkin for information on Hermitage Fund's companies	D1705.pdf
						Mr Mikhalkin refused to consider the substance of the complaint from Firestone Duncan lawyers about the unlawful seizure of corporate materials in the 4 June 2007 raids by Mr Mikhalkin's subordinates, merely informing that some unidentified materials were passed on to another branch of the Interior Ministry	"The Tax Crime Department of the Interior Ministry in Moscow has considered your application. We inform you that on 05.02.2008 materials in relation to OOO Parfenion, OOO Makhaon, OOO Rilend were received from the Main Investigative Department of the Interior Ministry in Moscow severed from a criminal case No 151231. All received materials were forwarded for decision making to the Investigative Section of the Main Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia for Central Federal District"	7-May-08	Refusal by Mr Mikhalkin to consider the complaint about the unlawful seizure of corporate materials by his subordinates	D1758.pdf
Alexander Alexeevich Klevtsov	25-Jan-66	Head of 6th unit of 2nd section of operations and search of the Tax Crimes Department of the Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry, Colonel	MVD	D	In his role as senior operative of the Moscow Interior Ministry, was appointed to the case used to seize materials to misappropriate Hermitage Fund's companies and their tax revenue	Mr Klevtsov was formally appointed to the criminal case used to seize and hold in Interior Ministry custody the materials to misappropriate the Hermitage Fund's companies and their tax revenue. Mr Klevtsov was the superior to officer Kuznetsov who was also appointed on the case	I.A. Glukhov: "Deputy head of Interior Ministry branch for Moscow - head of the Main Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry branch for Moscow, having considered the materials of the criminal case No 151231, have determined: The 2nd unit of the investigative section of the Main Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry branch for Moscow is investigating the criminal case No 151231 commenced on 28 May 2007 in relation to general director and chief accountant of Kameya I.S. Cherkasov under Section 2 of Article 199.1 of the Criminal Code of RF..it is necessary to conduct a large number of investigative actions and operational and search activities, the investigation of the criminal case represents particular complexity and public resonance...rule: 1. Assign the proceeding under the criminal case No 151231 to the investigative group comprising: ...2. Appoint as head of the investigative group senior investigator of the unit of internal affairs of the 2nd unit of the investigative section of the Main Investigative Department of the Interior Ministry branch for Moscow major of justice P.A. Karpov. 3. Include in the work of the investigative group: - head of 6th unit of 2nd section of operations and search of the Tax Crimes Department of the Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry Colonel of police A.A. Klevtsov"	14-Jun-07	Decree to appoint an investigation group naming Mr Klevtsov	D1239.pdf
Nelli Ismailovna Dmitrieva	9-Aug-68	Investigator of the 2nd unit of investigative section of the Main Investigative Department of the Moscow branch of the Interior Ministry, Captain	MVD	D, A	In her role as Interior Ministry investigator was assigned to the repressive case under which Mr Magnitsky was detained and ill-treated, and was in charge of the police search during which materials were seized for the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	Ms Dmitrieva had formal responsibility for the search at the office of FD office on 4 June 2007 during which their lawyer was not allowed to be present in breach of the provision of the Russian law, and documents and items were seized that were unrelated to the criminal case and used in the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	"Protocol of search...Investigator of the 2nd unit of investigative section of the Main Investigative Department of the Moscow branch of the Interior Ministry, Captain of justice N.I. Dmitrieva...carried out a search ...in order to find and seise items and documents significant for the criminal case."	4-Jun-07	Protocol of search by Ms Dmitrieva of Firestone Duncan office in Moscow	D1207.pdf

					Mr Magnitsky objected to the appointment of Ms Dmitrieva to the case against him by referencing her role in obstructing justice during the 4 June 2007 search when materials were seized used for the criminal conspiracy. Mr Magnitsky's application to remove Ms Dmitrieva from the case against him was refused by the Interior Ministry	"On 27 August 2009, an application from S.L. Magnitsky, defendant under a criminal case No 153123 ...was received by the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia under Article 67 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, seeking to remove investigator of especially important cases of the 2nd unit of investigative section of the Main Investigative Department of the Moscow branch of the Interior Ministry N.I. Dmitrieva from the investigation of the criminal case No 153123. The application is justified by the defendant by stating that investigator N.I. Dmitrieva unlawfully obstructed attorney A.G. Morozov in attending the search on 4 June 2007...The application of defendant S.L. Magnitsky about the unlawful obstruction on behalf of investigator N.I. Dmitrieva to attorney A.G. Morozov to attend the search on 4 June 2007 ...is based on unconfirmed data, which cannot be accepted as a ground to satisfy the application for the removal [of investigator from the case]. Therefore, the actions of investigator...N.I.Dmitrieva, as well as operatives who took part in the search in premises occupied by OOO Firestone Duncan..., are lawful and justified. There are no circumstances under Article 61 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation that exclude the participation of investigator...N.I. Dmitrieva in the proceeding under the criminal case."	31-Aug-09	Refusal to remove Ms Dmitrieva from the case against Mr Magnitsky	D1206.pdf
Pavel Alexandrovich Karpov	27-Aug-77	Senior investigator of the 2nd unit of the investigative section of the Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry	A, B, D	In his role as Interior Ministry investigator, was in charge of the fabricated case used to keep custody of materials used to misappropriate the Hermitage Fund's companies and \$230 m of their tax revenue and retaliate against Mr Magnitsky and his colleagues	Mr Karpov was formally appointed to the criminal case used to seize and hold in Interior Ministry custody the materials to misappropriate the Hermitage Fund's companies and their tax revenue. Mr Karpov was assigned the status of the head of the investigation group	I.A. Glukhov: "Deputy head of Interior Ministry branch for Moscow - head of the Main Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry branch for Moscow, having considered the materials of the criminal case No 151231, have determined: The 2nd unit of the investigative section of the Main Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry branch for Moscow is investigating the criminal case No 151231 commenced on 28 May 2007 in relation to general director and chief accountant of Kameya I.S. Cherkasov under Section 2 of Article 199.1 of the Criminal Code of RF..it is necessary to conduct a large number of investigative actions and operational and search activities, the investigation of the criminal case represents particular complexity and public resonance...rule: 1. Assign the proceeding under the criminal case No 151231 to the investigative group comprising: ...2. Appoint as head of the investigative group senior investigator of the unit of internal affairs of the 2nd unit of the investigative section of the Main Investigative Department of the Interior Ministry branch for Moscow major of justice P.A. Karpov."	14-Jun-07	Decree to naming Mr Karpov as head of the investigation group	D1240.pdf
					Mr Karpov refused to return the items and documents seized in the 4 June 2007 raids	P.A. Karpov: "Your application without a number dated 12 September 2007 received by the Main Investigation Department of the Main Department of Internal Affairs of the city of Moscow was considered on 14 September 2007. ...the matter about returning the system blocks, servers and documents stated in the application will be decided after the completion of the review of that computer equipment and documents, and the decision of the matter about the existence of grounds to view the reviewed items and documents as material evidence under the criminal case."	15-Oct-07	Refusal by Mr Karpov to return documents and items seized in the raids	D1716.pdf
					Mr Karpov was named in a complaint filed by Mr Magnitsky's lawyer in relation to an attempted questioning of Mr Magnitsky on investigator's instruction by a police operative in breach of the procedure	"Senior investigator of internal affairs of the investigative section of the Main Investigative Department of the Interior Ministry of Moscow P.A. Karpov is in charge of the criminal case No 151231 opened in relation to head of OOO Kameya I.S. Cherkasov. On 16.11.2007. S.L. Magnitsky got a call from senior operative of Tax Crimes Department of the Main Department of Interior Ministry of Moscow Alexei Olegovich Droganov who offered him to show up ...for questioning as a witness under the criminal case No 151231, as he said in relation to OOO Pifagor Investments. As A.O. Droganov explained, the questioning will be conducted by him, not by investigator, on the basis of a written instruction from the latter. This approach to the conduct of a questioning of a witness during the preliminary investigation of a criminal case appears to be inconsistent with the requirements of the Criminal procedural Code of RF and breaches procedural rights of S.L. Magnitsky, as a witness."	22-Nov-07	Complaint against unlawful questioning of Mr Magnitsky	D1757.pdf

				<p>Mr Karpov was named in the application to the Russian authorities about the misappropriation of the Hermitage Fund's companies and the fraud perpetrated on them filed on 3 December 2007, three weeks before the fraudulent refund of \$230 m was applied for and approved by Moscow Tax Offices No 25 and 28</p>	<p>"Under the pretext of identification of tax evasion crimes and investigation of the case against LLC Kameya, documents were seized among others that have nothing to do with the business of Kameya LLC and contain information related to the business activities of ... the following companies ... in Russia: Rilend LLC, Makhaon LLC and Parfenion LLC... In spite of repeated complaints and appeals from lawyers, the documents have been in the hands of investigators for over six months, which has resulted in the virtual cessation of business operations of all three Russian subsidiaries... In spite of all requests, investigator P.A. Karpov still will not return said documents...The presence of conspicuously identical spelling mistakes of the kind that is virtually impossible to make three times in a row when typing proves that the documents were falsified using electronic versions of the bylaws prepared by Firestone Duncan (CIS) Limited....In particular, para. 6.4 of the falsified bylaws of LLC Parfenion registered with tax inspection office No. 28 of the Inspectorate of the Federal Tax Service for the city of Moscow contains the following phrase with a spelling mistake... All said versions of the bylaws were stored on the corporate server of Firestone Duncan (CIS) Limited seized in the course of the search at the office of Firestone Duncan (CIS) Limited, and together with the rest of the seized</p>	3-Dec-07	Complaint about the misappropriation of Hermitage Fund's companies and fraudulent court claims against them naming Mr Karpov	D1763.pdf
				<p>In spite of his conflict of interest, Mr Karpov was assigned to investigate the complaint about the misappropriation of Hermitage Fund's companies and fraudulent lawsuits with the use of materials in his custody. Mr Karpov, in breach of legal covenants protecting attorneys and in spite of his conflict of interest, then attempted to question the attorney for the Hermitage Fund who filed the complaint naming him</p>	<p>"The complaint was received by his [prosecutor Izmailov's] section which stated the facts of violations of the law during the investigation of the criminal case No 151231, in production of the Investigation section of the Main Investigative Department of the Main Department of Interior Ministry of Moscow, with investigator P.A Karpov. The complaint states the facts evidencing that founding and financial documents of commercial companies the activity of which is unrelated to the investigated criminal case which had been seized during the search under the criminal case, have been used during an attempt to steal funds in the amount of 9 billion roubles. Instead of conducting a proper check into this complaint...head of section of oversight over investigation of economic and tax crimes [of Moscow Prosecutor's Office] D.S. Izmailov, without considering the substance of the complaint, forwarded ...it to the head of the investigative body, whose employees have allowed those violations, - to the head of the investigative section of the Main Investigative Department of the Main Department of Interior Ministry of Moscow. As a result of this decision of the head of section of prosecutor's office of Moscow D.S. Izmailov, the complaint at the present time has been sent by the heads of the Investigative section of the Main Investigative Department of the Main Department of the Interior Ministry of Moscow for consideration to investigator P.A. Karpov, who is in charge of this criminal case proceeding! Investigator P.A. Karpov instead of giving explanations with regard to this complaint to the body carrying out an oversight over the procedural activity of the investigative body, and answer the question by what means copies of documents of commercial organisations seized during the search under the criminal case he is investigating have found their way into the hands of unknown persons and were used to file a series of claims seeking over 9 billion rubles, has summoned for questioning the attorney...who has addressed this complaint to the prosecutorial bodies!"</p>	18-Jan-08	Complaint against attempt to question the Hermitage Fund's attorney who filed the complaint naming Mr Karpov	D1715.pdf

					Mr Magnitsky named Mr Karpov in his testimony given to the Russian Investigative Committee about the fabricated criminal case, the misappropriation of Hermitage Fund's companies and the fraud perpetrated against them	S.L. Magnitsky "Many times the lawyer of Firestone Duncan (CIS) Limited and the company's representatives requested Investigator P.A. Karpov to return the seized documents that were not related to the case investigated by P.A. Karpov , but the investigator kept delaying the return of the documents justifying it that there were too many documents and it took him a lot of time to finish the examination. Some, very few, documents were returned on 5 and 14 October 2007, the rest of the documents have never been returned: the documents and seals of Makhaon LLC, Parfenion LLC and Rilend LLC have never been returned by Karpov up until now."	5-Jun-08	Testimony by Mr Magnitsky to the Investigative Committee naming Mr Karpov	D1755.pdf
					After Mr Magnitsky's death, on 12 July 2010, Mr Karpov filed a complaint with the General Prosecutor's office seeking to criminally prosecute Mr Browder, Mr Firestone, and Hermitage Fund's attorney Mr Khairtdinov for discrediting him. The complaint named posthumously Mr Magnitsky as part of the campaign to discredit Mr Karpov	"Based on the analysis of mass media, including information announced during a press conference by officers of the Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia, it can be concluded that the only person interested in the embezzlement of shares of his own companies, tax refund and S. Magnitsky's death is W. Browder...For a long period of time W. Browder, E. Khairtdinov, J Firestone, S. Magnitsky and other persons unknown to me carried out an information campaign aimed to discredit A. Kuznetsov and me and to hide the traces of their criminal activity...In view of the foregoing I believe that W. Browder, J. Firestone and others persons who are, unfortunately, not known to me, who work for W. Browder and are paid money for their services, illegally obtained and stolen from the Russian treasury, and also corrupted journalists, unknown to me, committed slander with respect to the investigator in connection with proceedings of the preliminary investigation, joined with the accusation of the person in especially grave crimes, i.e. the crime, provided for by Part 3, Article 298 of the Criminal Code of RF."	12-Jul-10	Criminal complaint by Mr Karpov for slander	D1771.pdf
					On 5 July 2011, Mr Karpov was named in the Russian President's Human Rights conclusions on Mr Magnitsky's death	Human Rights Council: "The case in relation to Sergey Magnitsky was investigated by the same officers of Interior Ministry and the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry, against whom he put forward accusations of complicity in the unlawful re-registration of Rilend, Parfenion and Makhaon and the subsequent unlawful tax refund in the amount of 5.4 billion rubles. The involvement of officers of the Interior Ministry and the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry Kuznetsov, Karpov, Tolchinskiy, Krechetov, Drozanov in the investigation of the case in relation to Magnitsky led to an unconditional conflict of interest, which contradicts the requirements of the law"	5-Jul-11	Conclusions by the Human Rights Council on the Magnitsky case naming Mr Karpov	D1772.pdf

					In July 2011, Mr Karpov filed a lawsuit against three members of the Russian President's Human Rights Council for defaming him in the Council's report on the Magnitsky case. A Moscow district court stayed the lawsuit on the ground that its matter was the subject of an ongoing criminal investigation	P.A.Karpov: "Firstly, I did not have any relation to the investigation of criminal case No. 153123, investigated in relation to S.L. Magnitsky, I was not a member of the investigative group and had no relation to the investigation of any other criminal case in relation to S.L. Magnitsky. Secondly, I did not have any connection to the investigation of the theft of budget funds, as the Respondents allege. Thirdly, S.L. Magnitsky had never accused me of the unlawful re-registration of legal entities and the theft of funds from the budget of the Russian Federation; he had never sought to prosecute me for any type of liability, and never requested to commence a criminal case about the theft of budget funds. Fourthly, S.L. Magnitsky did not give witness testimony on 7 October 2008 and was not questioned as a witness. At the same time, on the same day S.L. Magnitsky gave a written explanation to Investigator of the Southern Administrative District...S.E. Gordievskiy ...I did not personally keep the documents confiscated during the search, they were kept in a separate room, and officers of the investigative group had access to it...There are no materials which "speak about obvious breaches of the law and personal material interest of officers of law enforcement authorities and representatives among judges related to Sergey Magnitsky case". Thorough checks were made on several occasions by the Department of Internal Security of the Interior Ministry of Russia and the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation after the applications were filed in the interest of the Hermitage Fund about the "unexpected and significant enrichment" of me and members of my family within the period after 2008, during which the suppositions and statements of the respondents were not confirmed. One part of the property referred to in the application never existed, the other part was absolutely legally acquired long before 2008.	14-Jul-11	Defamation lawsuit by Mr Karpov against members of the Human Rights Council	D1773.pdf	
						Cont'd: Representatives of the Hermitage Fund did not submit any applications to initiate criminal cases on 3 and 11 December 2007. Such application was indeed submitted by them, but only on 15 December, 2007, although Hermitage Fund knew about the fact of the re-registration of Parfenion, Makhaon, Rilend as far back as 17 October 2007...S.L. Magnitsky was placed into custody not on the basis of a report from an officer of the Federal Security Service of Russia but on the basis of the decision of a judge, who considered the investigator's petition."				
Artyom Konstantinovich Kuznetsov	28-Feb-75	Deputy head (previously senior operative) of the 6th unit of 2nd section of operations and search of the Tax Crimes Department of the Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry, Lt Colonel	MVD	A, B, D	In his role as senior Interior Ministry operative, was a member of the two investigation groups, one that arrested and detained Mr Magnitsky, and another that was supposed to investigate the \$230 m theft, in spite of his conflict of interest as participant in the June 2007 raids that had seized materials to perpetrate the \$230 m theft	Mr Kuznetsov with other Interior Ministry officers took part in the 4 June 2007 raids on Hermitage office when documents for the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky had been seized and used to misappropriate the Hermitage Fund's companies and organise repressive criminal cases against Hermitage and Mr Magnitsky's associates	"Protocol of search....Investigator of the 2nd unit of the investigative section of the Main Investigation Department of the Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry, senior Lt of justice O.I. Nesterov...with participation of ...operative A.K. Kuznetsov, ...operative E.V. Starodubov, operative D.N. Golovin, operative G.V. Gorshunov, operative O.A. Drozanov, D.M. Tolchinsky, A.Krechetov ...carried out a search in the building...in order to find and seize items and documents stated in the decree to conduct the search of 01.06.2007."	4-Jun-07	Protocol of search of Hermitage office in Moscow with Mr Kuznetsov present	D1242.pdf

					Mr Kuznetsov was formally appointed to the fabricated case used by the criminal conspiracy to misappropriate the Hermitage Fund's companies and \$230 m of taxes they had paid	I.A. Glukhov: "Deputy head of Interior Ministry branch for Moscow - head of the Main Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry branch for Moscow, having considered the materials of the criminal case No 151231, have determined: The 2nd unit of the investigative section of the Main Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry branch for Moscow is investigating the criminal case No 151231 commenced on 28 May 2007 in relation to general director and chief accountant of Kameya I.S. Cherkasov under Section 2 of Article 199.1 of the Criminal Code of RF..it is necessary to conduct a large number of investigative actions and operational and search activities, the investigation of the criminal case represents particular complexity and public resonance...rule: 1. Assign the proceeding under the criminal case No 151231 to the investigative group comprising: ...2. Appoint as head of the investigative group senior investigator of the unit of internal affairs of the 2nd unit of the investigative section of the Main Investigative Department of the Interior Ministry branch for Moscow major of justice P.A. Karpov. 3. Include in the work of the investigative group: - senior operative of internal affairs of the 6th unit of 2nd section of operations and search of the Tax Crimes Department of the Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry Lt	14-Jun-07	Decree appointing Mr Kuznetsov to the investigation group	D1733.pdf
					Mr Kuznetsov was formally reappointed to the repressive case against Hermitage and Mr Magnitsky two weeks prior to Mr Magnitsky's arrest	"Head of the investigative body - deputy head of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry, General Major of justice O.V. Logunov, having considered the materials of the criminal case No 153123...With the purposes of full and comprehensive investigation, taking into account the large scope of planned investigative actions, guided by Article 163 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, rule: 1. Assign the proceeding under the criminal case No 153123 to the investigative group comprising: ... 2. Assign to work on the investigative group: - deputy head of 6th unit of 2 section of Tax Crime Department of the Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry Lt Col of police A.K. Kuznetsov... 3. Appoint Major of justice O.F. Silchenko, investigator of especially important cases of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia as head of the investigative group."	12-Nov-08	Decree to appoint Mr Kuznetsov to the investigation group that arrested Mr Magnitsky	D1244.pdf
					Mr Kuznetsov was formally assigned to bring Mr Magnitsky by force to the Interior Ministry in spite of his conflict of interest and having being named by Mr Magnitsky in earlier testimony	Decree to bring witness by force. Investigator...of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia, Major of justice O.F. Silchenko "[I] rule to: 1. Bring by force witness Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich...to investigator ...Major of Justice O.F. Silchenko. 2. Send the decree to bring the witness S.L. Magnitsky to deputy head of 6th unit of 2nd section of the Tax Crimes Department of the Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry, Lt Colonel of police A.K. Kuznetsov for execution"	21-Nov-08	Decree assigning Mr Kuznetsov to bring Mr Magnitsky by force to the Interior Ministry	D1243.pdf
					Mr Magnitsky named Mr Kuznetsov in the evidence given to the Russian Investigative Committee in relation to the uncovered criminal conspiracy responsible for the misappropriation of his client's companies	S.L. Magnitsky evidence: "In the morning on 4 June 2007 I had a call from Jamison Firestone who told me that about 30 police officers were conducting a search in the offices of the Firestone Duncan (CIS) Limited branch. Several minutes later I had a call from the Hermitage Capital (Russia) Services Limited branch and heard that the office of the branch was being searched too and the search was handled by A.K. Kuznetsov. I contacted A. Morozov, the lawyer of Firestone Duncan (CIS) Limited, to tell him about the search. Morozov came to the office of Firestone Duncan (CIS) Limited but was not allowed into the branch office and was not able to be present during the search. From the search records I know that the search was made under the criminal case initiated in connection with Kameya LLC affairs; the copy of the search records also stated that before the search started, the police officers had offered staff to produce documents of Kameya LLC. But according to my colleagues, who were present during the search in the offices of Firestone Duncan (CIS) Limited, I know that each police officer had lists with the names of around 20 companies, including Rilend LLC, Parfenion LLC and Makhaon LLC, and they seized documents relating to the companies according to their lists."	5-Jun-08	Testimony by Mr Magnitsky to the Investigative Committee	D1756.pdf

					Mr Magnitsky named Mr Kuznetsov from custody in evidence given to the Interior Ministry about his repressive prosecution organised in retribution for helping his client against the misappropriation of their companies	S.L. Magnitsky: " I believe that it was specifically the criminal case fabricated on the initiative from Kuznetsov A.A. [sic] which allowed to seize the founding and registration documents of the stolen companies and deprive the legal owners of the control over them."	14-Oct-09	Testimony by Mr Magnitsky to the Interior Ministry	D1764.pdf
					After Hermitage Fund's lawyers filed complaints about the misappropriation of Hermitage Fund's companies and the fraud against them naming Mr Kuznetsov, Mr Kuznetsov authorised a report falsely alleging Hermitage lawyers used false powers of attorney which resulted in the repressive criminal cases being opened against the lawyers	A.K. Kuznetsov: "Agree. Deputy head of 6th unit of 2 section of Tax Crime Department of the Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry Lt Col A.K. Kuznetsov" on the Report from his subordinate, A.O. Drozanov: "I report that as a result of operational and search activities in relation to OOO Parfenion, OOO Makhaon and OOO Rilend it was determined that attorneys representing the interests of these organisations in law enforcement and other state bodies, use forged powers of attorney..."	4-May-08	Authorisation by Mr Kuznetsov of the report against Hermitage Fund Attorneys claiming they used false powers of attorney	D1245.pdf
Andrei Alexandrovich Krechetov	Senior operative of 6th unit of 2 section of Tax Crime Department of the Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry Captain	MVD	A, B, D	In his role as Interior Ministry operative, was a member of the two investigation groups, one that arrested and detained Mr Magnitsky, and another that was supposed to investigate the \$230 m theft, in spite of his conflict of interest as participant in the June 2007 raids that had seized materials to perpetrate the \$230 m theft; signed reports used to justify Mr Magnitsky's detention	Mr Krechetov with other Interior Ministry officers took part in the 4 June 2007 raids on Hermitage office when documents for the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky had been seized	"Protocol of search....Investigator of the 2nd unit of the investigative section of the Main Investigation Department of the Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry, senior Lt of justice O.I. Nesterov...with participation of ...operative A.K. Kuznetsov, ...operative E.V. Starodubov, operative D.N. Golovin, operative G.V. Gorshunov, operative O.A. Drozanov, D.M. Tolchinsky, A.Krechetov ...carried out a search in the building...in order to find and seize items and documents stated in the decree to conduct the search of 01.06.2007."	4-Jun-07	Protocol of search of Hermitage office in Moscow with Mr Krechetov present	D1249.pdf
					Mr Krechetov was appointed to the investigation group on the case under which Mr Magnitsky was arrested	O.V. Logunov: "Head of the investigative body - deputy head of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry, General Major of justice O.V. Logunov, having considered the materials of the criminal case No 153123...With the purposes of full and comprehensive investigation, taking into account the large scope of planned investigative actions, guided by Article 163 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, rule: 1. Assign the proceeding under the criminal case No 153123 to the investigative group comprising: 2. Assign to work on the investigative group: ...- senior operative of 6th unit of 2 section of Tax Crime Department of the Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry Captain of police A.A. Krechetov; ..3. Appoint Major of justice O.F. Silchenko, investigator of especially important cases of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia as head of the investigative group."	12-Nov-08	Decree to appoint Mr Krechetov to the investigation group that arrested Mr Magnitsky	D1250.pdf
					Mr Krechetov signed the report used to justify Mr Magnitsky's arrest and detention. The report claimed that he had delivered a summons to Mr Magnitsky's home in spite of no evidence that such summons had ever been issued	"Report. I report to you that on 14.11.2008 when while conducting an operational support for the criminal case No 153123, I went to the address of registration of S.L. Magnitsky ...in order to serve him a summons to show up for questioning as a witness. As no one opened the door, in spite of numerous calls, the summons in the name of S.L. Magnitsky was left in the mailbox. Senior operative of the 6th unit of Tax Crime Department of the Main Department of Interior Ministry of Moscow, captain of police A.A. Krechetov"	14-Nov-08	Report by Mr Krechetov alleging delivery of summons used to detain Mr Magnitsky	D1251.pdf

					Mr Krechetov signed another report used to justify Mr Magnitsky's arrest and detention. In it, Mr Krechetov claimed that Mr Magnitsky obstructed the search of his home even though this was contradicted by the official records from the search that Mr Krechetov also signed which stated that no obstruction took place	"Report. I report to you that on 17.11.2008 [sic] during the investigation of the criminal case No 153123 a search was held at...S.L. Magnitsky is registered at this address. During the conduct of the investigative activity, S.L. Magnitsky by all means obstructed the search, tried to conceal some items and documents, that were found and subsequently seized during the search. Senior operative of the 6th unit of Tax Crime Department of Main Department of Interior Ministry in Moscow, captain of police A.A. Krechetov	17-Nov-08	Report by Mr Krechetov alleging obstruction during search used to detain Mr Magnitsky	D1253.pdf	
					Mr Krechetov participated in the search of Mr Magnitsky's home where Mr Magnitsky was detained and never returned home	"Protocol of search: Investigator of the investigative group of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia A.P. Ryabinin ...with participation from senior operative... A.O. Drozanov; senior operative...A.A.Krechetov, operative V.G. Kalashan...conducted a search in the flat of Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich...to find and seize documents of OOO Kameya, OOO Pifagor Investments, OOO Makhaon, OOO Parfenion, OOO Rilend, OOO Saturn Investment, seals of said companies and other items and documents related to the activities of said companies."	24-Nov-08	Protocol of search of Mr Magnitsky's home naming Mr Krechetov	D1252.pdf	
Alexei Olegovich Drozanov	11-Oct-75	Senior operative of 6th unit of 2 section of Tax Crime Department of the Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry, Lt Col	MVD	A, B, D	In his role as Interior Ministry operative, was a member of the two investigation groups, one that arrested and detained Mr Magnitsky, and another that was supposed to investigate the \$230 m theft, in spite of his conflict of interest as participant in the June 2007 raids that had seized materials to perpetrate the \$230 m theft; signed a report used to justify Mr Magnitsky's detention	Mr Drozanov participated in the search of Hermitage office during which documents were seized used for the criminal conspiracy to misappropriate the Hermitage Fund's companies and their tax revenue and organise repressive criminal cases against Hermitage and Mr Magnitsky's associates	"Protocol of search....Investigator of the 2nd unit of the investigative section of the Main Investigation Department of the Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry, senior Lt of justice O.I. Nesterov...with participation of ...operative A.K. Kuznetsov, ...operative E.V. Starodubov, operative D.N. Golovin, operative G.V. Gorshunov, operative O.A. Drozanov, D.M. Tolchinsky, A.Krechetov ...carried out a search in the building...in order to find and seize items and documents stated in the decree to conduct the search of 01.06.2007."	4-Jun-07	Protocol of search of Hermitage office in Moscow with Mr Drozanov present	D1246.pdf
					Mr Drozanov was assigned to the investigation team on the case under which Mr Magnitsky was arrested	O.V. Logunov: "Head of the investigative body - deputy head of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry, General Major of justice O.V. Logunov, having considered the materials of the criminal case No 153123...With the purposes of full and comprehensive investigation, taking into account the large scope of planned investigative actions, guided by Article 163 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, rule: 1. Assign the proceeding under the criminal case No 153123 to the investigative group comprising: 2. Assign to work on the investigative group: ...- senior operative of 6th unit of 2 section of Tax Crime Department of the Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry Lt Col of police O.A. Drozanov; ... 3. Appoint Major of justice O.F. Silchenko, investigator of especially important cases of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia as head of the investigative group."	12-Nov-08	Decree to appoint Mr Drozanov to the investigation group that arrested Mr Magnitsky	D1765.pdf	
					Mr Drozanov participated in the search of Mr Magnitsky's home	"Protocol of search: Investigator of the investigative group of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia A.P. Ryabinin ...with participation from senior operative... A.O. Drozanov; senior operative...A.A.Krechetov, operative V.G. Kalashan...conducted a search in the flat of Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich...to find and seize documents of OOO Kameya, OOO Pifagor Investments, OOO Makhaon, OOO Parfenion, OOO Rilend, OOO Saturn Investment, seals of said companies and other items and documents related to the activities of said companies."	24-Nov-08	Protocol of search of Mr Magnitsky's home naming Mr Drozanov	D1247.pdf	

					Mr Drozanov signed a report used to prolong detention of Mr Magnitsky using undisclosed "operational information" from detention. All requests from the Magnitsky family to release "operational files" kept on Mr Magnitsky have been refused	"Report. Hereby I report that based on available operational information, S.L. Magnitsky, currently held in IVS No 1 of the Main Department of the Interior Ministry of Moscow, via his lawyer gave an instruction to his co-conspirators to urgently travel to city of Elista and Kalmykia in order to destroy evidence under the criminal case...Senior operative of internal affairs of 6th unit of 2 section of operations and search of the Tax Crimes Department of the Main Department of the Interior Ministry of Moscow, Lt Colonel A.O. Drozanov"	1-Dec-08	Report by Mr Drozanov used to prolong detention of Mr Magnitsky	D1248.pdf	
Dmitry Mikhailovich Tolchinsky	11-May-82	Operative of 6th unit of 2 section of Tax Crime Department of the Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry, Captain	MVD	A, B, D	In his role as Interior Ministry operative, was a member of the two investigation groups, one that arrested and detained Mr Magnitsky, and another that was supposed to investigate the \$230 m theft, in spite of his conflict of interest as participant in the June 2007 raids that had seized materials to perpetrate the \$230 m theft; signed a report used to justify Mr Magnitsky's detention	Mr Tolchinsky participated in the search of Hermitage office where documents and materials were seized for the criminal conspiracy who perpetrated the theft of Hermitage Fund's companies and used to intimidate Hermitage and Mr Magnitsky's associates	"Protocol of search....Investigator of the 2nd unit of the investigative section of the Main Investigation Department of the Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry, senior Lt of justice O.I. Nesterov...with participation of ...operative A.K. Kuznetsov, ...operative E.V. Starodubov, operative D.N. Golovin, operative G.V. Gorshunov, operative O.A. Drozanov, D.M. Tolchinsky, A.Krechetov ...carried out a search in the building...in order to find and seize items and documents stated in the decree to conduct the search of 01.06.2007."	4-Jun-07	Protocol of search of Hermitage office in Moscow with Mr Tolchinsky present	D1254.pdf
					Mr Tolchinsky was appointed to the repressive case under which Mr Magnitsky was arrested	O.V. Logunov: "Head of the investigative body - deputy head of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry, General Major of justice O.V. Logunov, having considered the materials of the criminal case No 153123...With the purposes of full and comprehensive investigation, taking into account the large scope of planned investigative actions, guided by Article 163 of the Criminal Procedural Code of the Russian Federation, rule: 1. Assign the proceeding under the criminal case No 153123 to the investigative group comprising: 2. Assign to work on the investigative group: ...- operative of 6th unit of 2 section of Tax Crime Department of the Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry Captain of police D.M Tolchinsky. 3. Appoint Major of justice O.F. Silchenko, investigator of especially important cases of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia as head of the investigative group."	12-Nov-08	Decree to appoint Mr Tolchinsky to the investigation group that arrested Mr Magnitsky	D1766.pdf	
					Mr Tolchinsky signed a report falsely alleging that Mr Magnitsky planned to escape used to justify his arrest and detention	"Report. As a result of the analysis of the received information, it has been determined that currently Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich has a travel passport...Previously, Magnitsky S.L. obtained for himself a long-term open visa for Great Britain. Currently, he is collecting the documents to obtain visa for a EU country. According to available information, S.L. Magnitsky is the only head acting on behalf of companies Hermitage Capital Management Ltd, Firestone Duncan CIS Ltd., Pifagor Investments, and actual head of Russian firms like OOO Kameya, OOO Parfenion, and similar organisations participating in the scheme to underpay taxes in large amount. Currently, heads of these companies have left the territory of Russian Federation for Great Britain where they hide from the bodies of investigation. Factually, Magnitsky is the only one left in the Russian Federation from all participants of the criminal scheme of evading taxes in large amount, and similarly to other participants in the criminal scheme is attempting to hide from bodies of investigation... According to available information, S.L. Magnitsky plans to leave the territory of the Russian Federation in order to escape criminal prosecution in the shortest frame of time. Operative of 6th unit of 2nd section of operations and search of Tax	17-Nov-08	Report by Mr Tolchinsky used to detain Mr Magnitsky	D1255.pdf	
Investigative Districts of Moscow			MVD							

S.I. Abramov		Head of the Interior Ministry branch for Sokolniki district of Moscow	MVD	B	In his role as Interior Ministry investigator was responsible for the report on the death of Mr Magnitsky which failed to reflect its circumstances	Mr Abramov authorised the crime report about the death of Mr Magnitsky which covered up the circumstances of his death	A.I. Abramov to Mr Kharlamov of Investigative Committee for Preobrazhensky district of Moscow: "We are sending you, based on authority, the material of probe KUSP-9159 into the fact of death of S.L. Magnitsky."	19-Nov-09	Crime report by Mr Abramov into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1256.pdf
A.N. Cheremisov		District police officer of Interior Ministry branch for Sokolniki district in Moscow	MVD	B	In his role as Interior Ministry investigator was responsible for the report on the death of Mr Magnitsky which failed to reflect its circumstances	Mr Cheremisov prepared the crime report about the death of Mr Magnitsky which covered up the circumstances of his death	Executed by A.N. Cheremisov, on the cover note from Head of District Mr Abramov: "We are sending you, based on authority, the material of probe KUSP-9159 into the fact of death of S.L. Magnitsky."	19-Nov-09	Crime report into Mr Magnitsky's death prepared by Mr Cheremisov	D1257.pdf
Igor Leonidovich Raskin	12-Apr-68	Acting head of police for Southern District of Moscow	MVD	B	In his role as Interior Ministry official, blocked the investigation of the criminal conspiracy responsible for systematic thefts from the Russian budget	Mr Raskin approved the refusal to commence a criminal investigation into the thefts via tax inspections due to "absence of crime"	I.L.Raskin: Approve the decree to refuse to open a criminal case signed: "Investigator ...I.S. Shlyapugin, having considered the materials of additional probe into the application from N. Micklewaite about possible thefts in large amounts from the budgets of the Russian Federation...at the moment, during the carried out additional probe no data, documents, confirming the existence of intent, aimed at the theft of budget funds by unidentified persons have been obtained...order 1. to refuse to commence a criminal case on the basis of a crime report."	19-Jul-12	Decree approved by Mr Raskin to refuse to open a criminal case to investigate the thefts from the budget	D1258.pdf
S.A. Ukolov		Deputy head of Department for Southern District of Moscow	MVD	B	In his role as Interior Ministry official, blocked the investigation of the criminal conspiracy responsible for systematic thefts from the Russian budget	Mr Ukolov authorised the refusal to commence a criminal investigation into the thefts via tax inspections due to "absence of crime"	S.A. Ukolov: "I report that your application about possible thefts of funds from the budget of the Russian Federation has been considered by the Section of Economic Security and Combating Corruption of the Department of Interior of the Southern Administrative District of the Main Department of Russia for the city of Moscow. Based on the results of the additional probe into the unidentified persons, a decree was issued to refuse to commence a criminal case, due to the absence of the event of crime."	7-Dec-11	Decree authorised by Mr Ukolov to refuse to open a criminal case to investigate the thefts from the budget	D1259.pdf
Alexander Vladimirovich Foss	19-Jul-69	Deputy head of Department for Southern District of Moscow	MVD	B	In his role as Interior Ministry official, blocked the investigation of the criminal conspiracy responsible for systematic thefts from the Russian budget	Mr Foss approved the refusal to commence a criminal investigation into the thefts via tax inspections due to "absence of crime"	A.V. Foss "Approve": "Investigator ...I.S. Shlyapugin, having considered the materials of additional probe into the application from N. Micklewaite about possible thefts in large amounts from the budgets of the Russian Federation...in order to obtain copies of documents that served as the basis for the refund to OOO Rilend of previously paid taxes, a corresponding request was sent to the tax office No 25 in Moscow. Therefore, at the moment, during the carried out additional probe no data, documents, confirming the existence of intent, aimed at the theft of budget funds by unidentified persons have been obtained...order 1. to refuse to commence a criminal case on the basis of a crime report."	6-Dec-11	Decree approved by Mr Foss to refuse to open a criminal case to investigate the thefts from the budget	D1260.pdf
S.V. Kvasov		Major, Deputy Head of section of economic security of Southern District of Moscow	MVD	B	In his role as Interior Ministry official, blocked the investigation of the criminal conspiracy responsible for systematic thefts from the Russian budget	Mr Kvasov authorised the refusal to commence a criminal investigation into the thefts via tax inspections due to "absence of crime"	S.A. Kvasov: "Investigator ...I.S. Shlyapugin having considered materials of additional probe into the application from N. Micklewaite about the possible thefts of funds in large amount from the budget of the Russian Federation, ...in order to identify the persons acting on behalf of the above mentioned organisations, and to obtain explanations from the latter, corresponding requests were prepared and sent to the regional bodies of internal affairs, at the places of registration of general directors, however, replies were not received until now. Therefore, at the present time, during the current additional probe no data, documents, confirming the existence of intent aimed at the theft of budget funds by unidentified persons have been obtained...order 1. to refuse to commence a criminal case on the basis of the crime report..."	13-Jan-12	Decree authorised by Mr Kvasov to refuse to open a criminal case to investigate the thefts from the budget	D1261.pdf

					Mr Kvasov authorised the refusal to commence a criminal investigation into the thefts via tax inspections due to "absence of crime"	S.V. Kvasov signed: "Investigator ...I.S. Shlyapugin, having considered the materials of additional probe into the application from N. Micklewaite about possible thefts in large amounts from the budgets of the Russian Federation...at the moment, during the carried out additional probe no data, documents, confirming the existence of intent, aimed at the theft of budget funds by unidentified persons have been obtained...order to refuse to commence a criminal case on the basis of a crime report."	19-Jul-12	Decree authorised by Mr Kvasov to refuse to open a criminal case to investigate the thefts from the budget	D1262.pdf	
Sergei Alexandrovich Suchkov		Lt Colonel, Head of first section of operations and search activities on economic security of Southern District of Moscow	MVD	B	In his role as Interior Ministry official, blocked the investigation of the criminal conspiracy responsible for systematic thefts from the Russian budget	Mr Suchkov authorised the refusal to commence a criminal investigation into the thefts via tax inspections due to "absence of crime"	S.A. Suchkov: Signed "Investigator ...I.S. Shlyapugin having considered materials of additional probe into the application from N. Micklewaite about the possible thefts of funds in large amount from the budget of the Russian Federation, ...during the additional probe repeatedly the requests were sent to regional bodies of interior affairs, however, replies to these requests have not also been received.Therefore, at the present time, during the current additional probe no data, documents, confirming the existence of intent aimed at the theft of budget funds by unidentified persons have been obtained...order 1. to refuse to commence a criminal case on the basis of the crime report..."	17-Feb-12	Decree authorised by Mr Suchkov to refuse to open a criminal case to investigate the thefts from the budget	D1263.pdf
					Mr Suchkov authorised the refusal to commence a criminal investigation into the thefts via tax inspections due to "absence of crime"	S.A. Suchkov: "Investigator ...I.S. Shlyapugin, having considered the materials of additional probe into the application from N. Micklewaite about possible thefts in large amounts from the budgets of the Russian Federation...at the moment, during the carried out additional probe no data, documents, confirming the existence of intent, aimed at the theft of budget funds by unidentified persons have been obtained...order to refuse to commence a criminal case on the basis of a crime report."	19-Jul-12	Decree authorised by Mr Suchkov to refuse to open a criminal case to investigate the thefts from the budget	D1264.pdf	
Andrei Victorovich Yushkov	29-Dec-82	Major, Deputy Head of first section of operations and search activity of Southern District of Moscow	MVD	B	In his role as Interior Ministry official, blocked the investigation of the criminal conspiracy responsible for systematic thefts from the Russian budget	Mr Yushkov authorised the refusal to commence a criminal investigation into the thefts via tax inspections due to "absence of crime"	A.V. Yushkov signed: "Investigator ...I.S. Shlyapugin having considered materials of additional probe into the application from N. Micklewaite about the possible thefts of funds in large amount from the budget of the Russian Federation, ...in order to identify the persons acting on behalf of the above mentioned organisations, and to obtain explanations from the latter, corresponding requests were prepared and sent to the regional bodies of internal affairs, at the places of registration of general directors, however, replies were not received until now.Therefore, at the present time, during the current additional probe no data, documents, confirming the existence of intent aimed at the theft of budget funds by unidentified persons have been obtained...order 1. to refuse to commence a criminal case on the basis of the crime report..."	13-Jan-12	Decree approved by Mr Yushkov to refuse to open a criminal case to investigate the thefts from the budget	D1265.pdf
Dmitry Borisovich Prosolenko	7-Oct-68	Deputy head for operations of police of Southern District of Moscow, Colonel	MVD	B	In his role as Interior Ministry official, blocked the investigation of the criminal conspiracy responsible for systematic thefts from the Russian budget	Mr Prosolenko approved the decision to refuse to open a criminal case to investigate the thefts via tax inspections due to "absence of crime"	D.B. Prosolenko: "Approve. Deputy head for operations of police of Southern Administrative District of the Main Department of Interior Ministry of Russia in Moscow, Colonel of police D.B. Prosolenko" on the decree to refuse "application from N. Micklewaite about possible thefts of funds in large amounts from the budget of Russian Federation"	17-Feb-12	Decree approved by Mr Prosolenko to refuse to open a criminal case to investigate the thefts from the budget	D1266.pdf
A.V. Novozhilov		Deputy head of section of economic security of Southern District of Moscow	MVD	B	In his role as Interior Ministry official, blocked the investigation of the criminal conspiracy responsible for systematic thefts from the Russian budget	Mr Novozhilov authorised the refusal to commence a criminal investigation into the thefts via tax inspections due to "absence of crime"	A.V. Novozhilov: "Investigator ...I.S. Shlyapugin having considered materials of additional probe into the application from N. Micklewaite about the possible thefts of funds in large amount from the budget of the Russian Federation, ...during the additional probe repeatedly the requests were sent to regional bodies of interior affairs, however, replies to these requests have not also been received.Therefore, at the present time, during the current additional probe no data, documents, confirming the existence of intent aimed at the theft of budget funds by unidentified persons have been obtained...order 1. to refuse to commence a criminal case on the basis of the crime report..."	17-Feb-12	Decree authorised by Mr Novozhilov to refuse to open a criminal case to investigate the thefts from the budget	D1267.pdf

Victor Gennadievich Voronin	11-Feb-58	Deputy Head of the Federal Security Service, Head of Financial Counterespionage Department, General Major	FSB	A, B, D	In his role as senior FSB general, authorised the proceeding used by the criminal conspiracy to misappropriate the Hermitage Fund's companies and \$230 m of their tax revenue; was responsible for the false report of his subordinate used to arrest Mr Magnitsky, and subsequently refused access to FSB files to Mr Magnitsky's mother	Mr Voronin authorised the report by FSB officer Kuvaldin alleging tax underpayment by Kameya, a company owned by a Hermitage client, in spite of clean tax audits and evidence of full payment of taxes. The report was used to justify the commencement of a fabricated criminal proceeding used to seize materials for the misappropriation of Hermitage Fund's companies and the theft of tax revenues they had paid to the Russian budget	V.G. Voronin: "Please register with the Tax Crimes Department of the Interior Ministry Branch of Moscow"	22-May-07	Authorisation by Mr Voronin on the report from FSB officer Kuvaldin	D1484.pdf
						Mr Voronin refused to disclose files and information to the lawyer for the Magnitsky's mother concerning information on Mr Magnitsky held by FSB and relied upon in the FSB report by Mr Voronin's subordinate used by the Interior Ministry and courts to justify the arrest and detention of Mr Magnitsky	V, Voronin to lawyer for Mr Magnitsky's mother: "In reply to your complaint from 28 September 2012 we inform you that in accordance with Article 5 of the Federal Law on "Operational and Search Activities", the right to seek from the body carrying out operational and search activities the information about data obtained about them within the limit allowed by the rules of conspiracy and excluding the possibility to disclose the state secrets, is provided only to the person, whose guilt has not been proven in accordance with the law, i.e. against whom it was refused to open a criminal case or the criminal case has been terminated due to the lack of offence or due to the lack of crime in his acts, and who possesses facts of operational and search activities having been conducted concerning him and believes that their rights were violated by them. From the contents of your complaint and application from 7 November 2011 No 187 it follows that you and N.N. Magnitskaya do not belong to this category of persons."	17-Oct-12	Refusal by Mr Voronin of the request for information from Mr Magnitsky's mother	D1488.pdf
Alexander Igorevich Kuvaldin	15-Dec-80	Senior operative of the Financial Counterespionage Department, Captain	FSB	A, D	In his role as senior FSB operative, initiated a fabricated proceeding used by the criminal conspiracy to misappropriate the Hermitage Fund's companies and \$230 m of their tax revenue	Report into Kameya to Voronin	From A.I. Kuvaldin to V.G. Voronin: "Report about detecting signs of crime. I report that in accordance with Article 143 of the Criminal Procedural Code of Russia as a result of operational and search activity, information has been received according to which OOO Kameya did not pay profit tax from the amount of revenue received in the 1st quarter of 2006... On the basis of the above, in accordance with Article 151 of the Criminal Procedural Code, ...would deem it expedient to forward to the Tax Crimes Department of the Main Department of the Interior Ministry of Moscow the materials received as part of operational and search activities in the order stipulated by Article 144,145 of the Criminal Procedural Code of Russia...Senior operative of APS of FSB of Russia Captain A.I. Kuvaldin."	22-May-07	Report by Mr Kuvaldin used to open a fabricated proceeding to misappropriate Hermitage Fund's companies	D1489.pdf
A.E. Laguntsov		Head of section of Department of Financial Counterespionage in the Sphere of Loans and Finances	FSB	A	In his role as FSB official, prepared the false report used to arrest and prolong the detention of Mr Magnitsky in custody	Mr Laguntsov provided to the Interior Ministry the letter stating that Mr Magnitsky was obtaining a UK visa at the embassy, used to justify the arrest and prolonged detention of Mr Magnitsky in custody. The Russian courts found "no reasons to doubt" this FSB report in spite of the letter from the UK migration service stating they had no application from Mr Magnitsky since 2002	A.E. Laguntsov to Interior Ministry Investigator O.F. Silchenko: "In accordance with your request we inform [you], that Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich born on 08.04.1972,...has a travel passport No...and is currently obtaining an entry visa at the embassy of Great Britain which gives the right to leave the territory of the Russian Federation."	24-Nov-08	Letter by Mr Laguntsov used to arrest and detain Mr Magnitsky without trial	D1491.pdf

Sergei Nikolaevich Tychenok	14-Jan-71	Deputy head of Department	FSB	B	In his role as senior FSB official, refused Mr Magnitsky's mother access to FSB files used to arrest and detain her son	Mr Tychenok refused the request for information from the lawyer of Magnitsky's mother in relation to the FSB documents used to justify Mr Magnitsky's arrest and detention on the ground of "investigative secret," nearly three years after Mr Magnitsky's death	S.Tychenok: "In response to your complaint from 19 July 2012, we inform you ... the materials of operational and search activities you have requested constitute part of the preliminary investigation data and can only be disclosed in accordance with Article 161 of the Criminal Procedural Code of Russia with the permission of the investigator,...violations of the current legislation during the consideration of your request for information by employees of FSB of Russia have not been identified."	21-Aug-12	Refusal by Mr Tychenok of request for information from Mr Magnitsky's mother	D1494.pdf
Denis Nikolaevich Stroitelev	23-Jan-73	Head of section	FSB	B	In his role as senior FSB official, refused Mr Magnitsky's mother access to FSB files used to arrest and detain her son	Mr Stroitelev refused to provide to lawyer for Mr Magnitsky's mother access to FSB files concerning her dead son on the ground that they constituted "state secret"	D. Stroitelev to lawyer for Mr Magnitsky's mother: "The files from cases of operational records you have requested belong to data comprising state secret. You have not provided to the FSB of Russia documents evidencing you are allowed to access data comprising state secrets."	30-Dec-11	Refusal by Mr Stroitelev of the request for information from Mr Magnitsky's mother	D1761.pdf
						Mr Stroitelev refused Mr Magnitsky's mother access to the information about her son on the ground that the operational and search activities were carried out not against her, but against her son, and that there was no decision exonerating her son.	D. Stroitelev: "In response to your request for information from 1 October 2012 No 180, we report that in accordance with Article 5 of the Federal Law on "Operational and Search Activities", the right to seek from the body carrying out operational and search activities the information about data obtained about them within the limit allowed by the rules of conspiracy and excluding the possibility to disclose the state secrets, is provided only to the person, whose guilt has not been proven in accordance with the law, i.e. against whom it was refused to open a criminal case or the criminal case has been terminated due to the lack of offence or due to the lack of crime in his acts, and who possesses facts of operational and search activities having been conducted concerning him and believes that their rights were violated by them. From the contents of your requests from 7 November 2011 No 187 and 1 October 2012 No 180 it follows that you and N.N. Magnitskaya do not belong to the stated category."	19-Oct-12	Refusal by Mr Stroitelev of the request for information from Mr Magnitsky's mother	D1495.pdf
INVESTIGATIVE COMMITTEE										
Alexander Ivanovich Bastrykin	27-Aug-53	Head of Investigative Committee, General Colonel	IC	B	In his position as the most senior investigator in the country as Head of the Investigative Committee, found no link between the acts of officials and the death of Mr Magnitsky in custody and no evidence of pressure on him in detention	In his interview to Rossiiskaya Gazeta given ten months after the death of Mr Magnitsky, Mr Bastrykin found in the case materials no evidence of the legal liability of officials for the death of Mr Magnitsky or of the pressure being exerted on him in custody, despite the conclusions from the Moscow Public Oversight Commission issued nine months previously, which detailed the ill-treatment of Mr Magnitsky and the responsibility of the state and various state bodies and persons	A.I. Bastrykin: ""There is no ground to think that his death was connected to actions of officials who prosecuted him. There is no objective information showing that he was prosecuted illegally or that the physical and psychological pressure was applied to him."	7-Sep-10	Interview by Mr Bastrykin to RG on Magnitsky case	D1790.pdf
Vasily Ivanovich Piskaryov		1st Deputy Head of Investigative Committee, General Colonel	IC	B	In his position as First Deputy Head of the Investigative Committee, the second most senior investigator in the country, Mr Piskaryov was responsible for directing and supervising the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death, which continued in its fourth year with no suspects, and was among those responsible for the cover up	Mr Piskaryov approved the extension of the preliminary investigation into the death of Mr Magnitsky for the 12th time, on application from Investigator Strizhov from 9 April 2012. The investigation continued with no suspects identified	V.I. Piskaryov: "Extend the term of preliminary investigation under the criminal case No 201/366795-10 to 33 months 00 days, i.e. until 24 August 2012."	12-Apr-12	Approval by Mr Piskaryov to extend the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death with no suspects	D1273.pdf

					Mr Piskaryov approved further to extend the preliminary investigation into the death of Mr Magnitsky with no identified suspects to 37 months, until 24 December 2012, on application from Investigator Strizhov from 7 August 2012	V.I. Piskaryov: "Extend the term of preliminary investigation under the criminal case No 201/366795-10 to 37 months 00 days, i.e. until 24 December 2012."	10-Aug-12	Approval by Mr Piskaryov to extend the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death with no suspects	D1274.pdf	
Alexander Vyacheslavovich Schukin		Head of the Main Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee, General Major	IC	B	In his position as the most senior official of the Investigative Committee's Main Investigative Department, was in charge of directing the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death and was among those responsible for its cover up	In spite of the alleged complexity of investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death (under case No 366795), Mr Schukin authorised that the investigative group to comprise only three investigators, including two - from local regional branches (Stary Oskol district of Belgorod region and Kanavinsky district of Nizhny Novgorod region) with unknown experience	A.V. Schukin: "Rule: 1. Assign the proceeding under the criminal case No 201/366795-10 to an investigative group comprising: - investigator ...A.A. Strizhov; - investigator ...A.L. Serikov, - investigator ...A.V. Smolianinov. 2. Appoint as head of investigative group A.A. Strizhov"	26-Jun-12	Decree to appoint investigators to the Magnitsky's death investigation	D1281.pdf
					Mr Schukin was responsible for the oversight over the decisions of his subordinates on the criminal case into the death of Mr Magnitsky (No 366795) and refused the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's mother identifying legal breaches in the acts of his subordinate Mr Iskantsev	A.V. Schukin: Rule: " On 17.07.2012, a complaint was received from the representative of the victim N.N. Magnitskaya under the criminal case No 201/366795-10...The complaint from lawyer N.A. Gorokhov is not subject to satisfaction, because the decree complained about was issued by an authorised person, within duly stated deadline, in accordance with Section 4 of Article 7 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF... Rule 1. to refuse to satisfy the complaint from lawyer N.A. Gorokhov against the decree to refuse to satisfy the complaint issued by acting deputy head of the Main Investigative Department A.G. Iskantsev on 29.08.2011"	20-Jul-12	Refusal of complaint from Mr Magnitsky's mother under the case into the death of her son	D1775.pdf	
Anatoly Georgievich Iskantsev	10-Oct-52	Acting head of Main Investigative Department, General Major	IC	B	In his position as senior Investigative Committee official, was responsible for the supervision over the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death, the refusal of the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother to open a prompt and comprehensive probe into her son's false arrest, torture and murder, and the refusal to investigate named government officials	Mr Iskantsev refused a complaint from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking an investigation of Interior Ministry officers Silchenko and Oleinik, and Prosecutor Pechegin, for their role in specific episodes of violations of her son's rights in custody	A.G. Iskantsev: "On 28.07.2011 the Main Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation has received a complaint from a representative N.A. Gorokhov of the victim under the criminal case No 201/366795-10 N.N. Magnitskaya...On the basis of the stated arguments, N.A.Gorokhov requests to examine the acts of investigator M.O. Lomonosova, and also requests to question O.F. Silchenko in whose charge was the criminal case No 311578 to accuse S.L. Magnitsky,...conduct a probe in relation to O.F. Silchenko and investigator of the investigative group he headed S.V. Oleinik under Art. 144-145 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF due to the concealment by them of data concerning the worsening medical condition of S.L. Magnitsky and give a legal assessment to the acts of employee of the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation A.I. Pechegin who carried out an oversight over the investigation of the criminal case No 311578 to accuse S.L. Magnitsky and did not consider on substance the complaint from the lawyers of the latter against the actions of O.F. Silchenko. The complaint from the representative of the victim lawyer N.A. Gorokhov is not subject to satisfaction for the following reasons...No grounds are seen to register the complaint from N.A. Gorokhov as a report about the crime committed by employees of the Interior Ministry of Russia O.F. Silchenko and S.V. Oleinik, and equally of employee of the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation A.I. Pechegin because the representative of the victim expresses the disagreement with the legal decisions adopted by the above named officials without stating specific data about them committing acts that present criminal offences...Rule: 1. To refuse to satisfy the complaint..."	1-Aug-11	Refusal by Mr Iskantsev of application from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking to investigate officials for the violations of her son's rights in detention	D1282.pdf

					Mr Iskantsev refused the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking to compel a comprehensive investigation into the false arrest, torture and murder of her son and of the numerous officials named in her application	A.G. Iskantsev: "On 12.10.2011 the Main Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation has received a complaint from a representative of the victim under the criminal case No 201/366795-10 N.N. Magnitskaya... The complaint reports that the stated application from the victim was not registered and considered under the order of Art. 144-145 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF, while it contained a report about the committed crime - the murder of S.L.Magnitsky. The considered complaint is not subject for satisfaction for the following reasons. From the content of the application follows that the applicant analyses data concerning the occurrence of death of S.L. Magnitsky on 16.11.2009 in FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow. The same circumstances are subject of the consideration under the criminal case No 201/366795-10 in the investigation of investigator M.O. Lomonosova. During the investigation of the criminal case No 201/366795-10 employees of the penal system of the Russian Federation L.A. Litvinova and D.B. Kratov have been brought to criminal prosecution for causing death of S.L. Magnitsky as a result of uncareful crimes Given the above stated, and that the report from N.N. Magnitskaya does not contain information about the commitment of other crimes, it was attached to the materials of the criminal case No 201/366795-10...Rule: 1. To refuse to satisfy the complaint..."	14-Oct-11	Refusal by Mr Iskantsev of the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking an urgent inquiry into the false arrest, torture and murder of her son	D1284.pdf	
Valery Nikolaevich Alyshev	9-Jun-66	Deputy Head of the Main Investigative Department, General Major	IC	B	In his position as deputy head of the Investigative Committee's investigation department, was responsible for directing the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death and its cover up	Mr Alyshev authorised an investigative group on the case to investigate Mr Magnitsky's death (No 366795) that continued to have no suspects two and a half years after the case commencement. In spite of the "alleged" complexity of the case, Mr Alyshev appointed only two investigators, including one from a low-level regional district	V.N. Alyshev: "The Main Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation is investigating the criminal case No 201/366795-10 in the fact of death of S.L. Magnitsky. Having regard for the complexity of the criminal case, the necessity to carry out a large amount of investigative and other procedural activities, it is necessary to instruct it for further investigation to an investigative group. ...Rule: 1. Assign the proceeding under a criminal case No 201/366795-10 to an investigative group comprising: - investigator ...of the Investigative Committee of RF, major of justice A.A. Strizhov; - investigator of the investigative unit of the Promyshlenny district of city of Samara...Senior Lt of justice M.K. Aitov."	16-Apr-12	Decree to form an investigation group on the case into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1285.pdf
					Mr Alyshev refused an application from a representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking to compel an investigation in relation to Interior Ministry Investigator O.V. Urzhumtsev for the inclusion of false information concerning Mr Magnitsky posthumously in the criminal case file	V.N. Alyshev: "The Main Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation has considered your application from 06.12.2012 seeking to open a criminal case concerning senior investigator of especially important cases of the Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia O.V. Urzhumtsev. There are no data about circumstances indicating signs of a crime contained in the received report, and in fact it only contains the disagreement with the procedural decree issued by an official... In accordance with the above, your report is not entitled to be registered as a crime report ...and is being forwarded ...to the head of the Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of the Russian Federation."	14-Dec-12	Refusal by Mr Alyshev to investigate Interior Ministry officer Urzhumtsev in relation to Magnitsky	D1287.pdf	
Pavel Georgievich Barkovsky	19-Oct-58	Deputy Head of Main Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee, General Major	IC	B	In his position as deputy head of Investigative Committee's investigation department, was in charge of directing the investigation on Mr Magnitsky's death two years after it	Mr Barkovsky authorised an investigation group on the criminal case into Mr Magnitsky's death in relation to Mr Kratov and Ms Litvinova (No 713112)	P.G. Barkovsky: "Rule: 1. To assign the proceedings of the preliminary investigation under the criminal case No 201-713112/11 to an investigative group comprising senior investigator ... Lt Colonel of justice M.O. Lomonosova, investigator of investigative unit of Kanavinsky district of city of Nizhny Novgorod ...Captain of justice A.V. Smolianinov and investigator of especially important cases of investigative unit of Stary Oskol district...of Belgorod region, Captain of justice A.L. Serikov."	31-Oct-11	Decree by Mr Barkovsky to appoint an investigative group on the case into Mr Magnitsky's death in relation to Mr Kratov and Litvinova	D1288.pdf

					Mr Barkovsky refused a complaint from a representative of Mr Magnitsky's mother for failure by his colleague, Mr Iskantsev, to address reported legal procedural breaches on the criminal case in relation to Mr Kratov and Ms Litvinova concerning Mr Magnitsky's death (No 713112)	P.G. Barkovsky: "On 05.06.2012 the Main Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation received a complaint from representative of the victim under a criminal case No 201/713112-11 N.N. Magnitskaya...against the actions of deputy head of the department...A.G. Iskantsev during the consideration of the applicant's complaint from 21.02.2012. In relation to this, the representative of the victim requests to remove A.G. Iskantsev from the conduct of procedural oversight over the investigation of the criminal case No 201/713112-11....Thereby, the assessment of the acts of investigator was given paying regard to the requirements of Article 42 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF, containing the statutory list of rights of the victim. This assessment does not contradict the provisions of the decision of the Constitutional Court of the Russian Federation mentioned in the complaint from the representative of the victim, because that document also does not stipulate the direct duty of the investigator to notify the victims and their representatives about the fact of a new accusation issued to an accused...Rule: 1. to refuse the satisfaction of the complaint..."	8-Jun-12	Refusal to remove Mr Iskantsev from oversight over the Magnitsky's death investigation	D1834.pdf	
Oleg Alexandrovich Zherdev	21-Nov-79	Deputy head of 2nd section of Department of Investigation of especially important cases against individuals and public safety	IC	B	In his role as senior official of the Investigative Committee, was involved in the supervision of his subordinates on the investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death and refused complaints from Mr Magnitsky's mother, obstructing her access to justice as a victim under the case	Mr Zherdev attended the court hearing to resist the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother and support the decision of his subordinate, Investigator Lomonosova, to refuse Ms Magnitskaya access to her son's tissues archive for medical examination	O.A. Zherdev: "Deputy head of 2nd unit of department of investigation of especially important cases of crimes against persons and public safety of the Main Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation O.A. Zherdev also objected to the satisfying the complaint....Rule: leave without satisfaction the complaint from lawyer N.A. Gorokhov in defence of interests of N.N. Magnitskaya."	19-Jul-11	Court ruling to refuse the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother for access to her son's tissues archive naming Mr Zherdev	D1291.pdf
					Mr Zherdev refused the complaint from a representative for Mr Magnitsky's mother concerning the medical examination into Mr Magnitsky's death; the complaint raised the contradictions concerning the composition of the commission of experts, the lack of description of the preparations sent for the examination, or information about the methodology used for their study	O.A. Zherdev: "During the check of the complaint, no contradictions were identified in the conclusion of experts No 555/10 from 15.06.2011 under the criminal case No 366795-10 concerning the composition of the expert commission, justification of attaching tables to the conclusion, specificity of the tested histological preparations, as well as the order of testing these preparations. ...Rule: 1. Refuse to satisfy the complaint..."	25-Jul-11	Refusal by Mr Zherdev of complaint from Mr Magnitsky's mother under her son's death case investigation	D1292.pdf	
D.V. Kolesnikov		Deputy Head of Department for the investigation of especially important cases about crimes against the state authority and in the sphere of economy	IC	B	In his role as senior official of the Investigative Committee, was involved in the supervision of his subordinates on the investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death; refused complaints from Mr Magnitsky's mother, obstructing her access to justice as a victim under the case	Mr Kolesnikov was responsible for refusing the complaint from a representative for Mr Magnitsky's mother in relation to legal breaches by investigator Strizhov under the investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death	D.V. Kolesnikov: "In justification of the complaint it is said that the victim and her representative were not informed about the decree to conduct the preliminary investigation by an investigative group, besides on 07.03.2012 investigator A.A. Strizhov refused to accept the application; the application filed on 28.02.2012 the investigator unlawfully considered as a letter; the petitions from 07.03.2012 , 14.03.2012 and 21.03.2012 were not considered at all. ...The criminal procedural law does not contain an obligation to inform the participants of the judicial proceeding during the transfer of the criminal case from one investigator to another...Representative N.A. Gorokhov was informed about the full refusal of his complaint on 30.03.2012. There are no data available about the refusal by investigator A.A. Strizhov to accept the application on 07.03.2012. ...Rule: 1. To fully refuse to satisfy the complaint from representative N.A. Gorokhov about the acts of investigator of GSU of SK of Russia A.A. Strizhov in relation to allegedly occurred violations of the law during the investigation of the criminal case No 201/366795-10."	2-Apr-12	Refusal by Mr Kolesnikov of complaint from Mr Magnitsky's mother under the case into her son's death	D1295.pdf

Arkady Victorovich Mazhaev	9-May-61	Head of Main Department of Procedural Control of the Investigative Committee	IC	B	In his role as senior official of the Investigative Committee, was responsible for the supervision of the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death and refused the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's mother	Mr Mazhaev refused a complaint from a representative for Mr Magnitsky's mother against the decision by Mr Schukin, head of the Main Investigative Department, to provide access to death case files and witness testimony to the Interior Ministry officers with conflict of interest. Mr Mazhaev found no violations of the law in those actions	A.V. Mazhaev: "Your application about the disagreement with the decision of the head of the Main Investigative Department (further - GSU) A.V. Schukin on the criminal case No 201/366795-10 and on other matters has been considered...The determination of the admissibility and possibility to provide the data of preliminary investigation is within the authority of the investigation and the head of the investigative body. No violations in the acts of officials of GSU have been identified, therefore there are no grounds to undertake measures in response."	30-Nov-12	Refusal by Mr Mazhaev of the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's mother under the case into her son's death	D1299.pdf
Alexander Anatolievich Schegolikhin	15-Apr-74	Head of section of Department of Procedural Control	IC	B	In his role as senior official overseeing the investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death, was responsible for the supervision of the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death	Mr Schegolikhin refused an application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, from 8 April 2011, which sought to compel an investigation of the prosecutor's office officials for Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment and death. Mr Firestone's complaint cited numerous applications filed with the General Prosecutor's Office and formal refusals and denials received both during and after Mr Magnitsky's death in relation to his arrest and detention, and the criminal conspiracy he had uncovered	A.A. Schegolikhin: "The Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation has considered your application from 8.04.2011 about the improper investigation of criminal cases by investigators of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia, actions by officials of prosecutor's office, disagreement with the decision of the verdict of Tverskoi District Court of Moscow, and other matters. Currently under the investigation of the criminal case No 201/366795-10 into the fact of death of detainee S.L.Magnitsky by the Main Investigation Department, among others are being checked the circumstances of possible complicity of employees of the Interior Ministry of Russia in his death in part relating to the subject matter of the investigation. A copy of the application on other matters stated in your application on 20.04.2011 was sent to the General Prosecutor's Office for consideration within their competence."	1-Jun-11	Refusal of application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague for an investigation of prosecutor's office officials for Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment and death	D1300.pdf
Andrei Alexandrovich Strizhov	1-Aug-83	Senior Investigator of especially important cases	IC	B	In his role as senior investigator appointed to the investigation of the death of Mr Magnitsky, was responsible for the failure to conduct prompt and thorough investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death and identify perpetrators	Mr Strizhov was appointed as investigator and head of the investigative group on the case to investigate Mr Magnitsky's death (No 366795) that continued to have no suspects two and a half years after the case's commencement.	"The Main Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation is investigating the criminal case No 201/366795-10 in the fact of death of S.L. Magnitsky. Having regard for the complexity of the criminal case, the necessity to carry out a large amount of investigative and other procedural activities, it is necessary to instruct it for further investigation to an investigative group. ...Rule: 1. Assign the proceeding under a criminal case No 201/366795-10 to an investigative group comprising: - investigator ...of the Investigative Committee of RF, major of justice A.A. Strizhov; - investigator of the investigative unit of the Promyshlenny district of city of Samara...Senior Lt of justice M.K. Aitov. 2. Appoint as head of the investigative group investigator....A.A. Strizhov"	16-Apr-12	Decree to form an investigation group on the case into Mr Magnitsky's death appointing Mr Strizhov	D1835.pdf
						Mr Strizhov applied to extend the period of preliminary investigation into the death of Mr Magnitsky with no suspects to 37 months, until 24 December 2012. His application was approved by his superior, General Piskaryov	A.A.Strizhov: "Request to extend the term of preliminary investigation to 37 months..." authorised by his superior Mr Piskaryov: "Extend the term of preliminary investigation under the criminal case No 201/366795-10 to 37 months 00 days, i.e. until 24 December 2012."	7-Aug-12	Application by Mr Strizhov to extend the period of investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death with no suspects	D1307.pdf
						Mr Strizhov refused a repeat application from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking to compel an investigation of government officials named in her application from 13.09.2011 for the false arrest, torture and murder of her son in custody	A.A. Strizhov: "The legal assessment of actions of officials mentioned in the application from N.N. Magnitskaya will be given based on the results of the investigation of the present criminal case on the basis of the entirety of gathered evidence. On the basis of the above...Rule: 1. Fully refuse to satisfy the application from representative N.A. Gorokhov to conduct a repeat probe into the application from N.N. Magnitskaya from 13.09.2011 in connection with checking the arguments stated in that application, during the course of the investigation of the criminal case No 201/366795-10."	2-Apr-12	Refusal by Mr Strizhov of the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother for an investigation of he false arrest, torture and murder of her son	D1308.pdf

Artyom Vladimirovich Smolianinov		Investigator from Nizhny Novgorod region on the investigation team of the Investigative Committee	IC	B	In his role as investigator on the case into the death of Mr Magnitsky, was responsible for the failure to conduct a prompt and thorough investigation	Mr Smolianinov, an investigator from Nizhny Novgorod, was appointed to the investigation group on the case into the death of Mr Magnitsky (No 366795)	"Assign the proceeding under the criminal case No 201/366795-10 to the investigation group comprising...investigator of especially important cases of the investigative section in town of Kanavinsky district of Nizhny Novgorod region A.V.Smolianinov..."	26-Jun-12	Decree to compose the investigative group on Mr Magnitsky's death investigation case naming Mr Smolianinov	D1311.pdf
						Mr Smolianinov refused the request from Mr Magnitsky's mother for questioning of Interior Ministry officer Kuznetsov and Investigative Committee officer Gordievsky under the case into the death of her son (No 366795)	A.V. Smolianinov: "In justification of his application, the lawyer cites that S.L. Magnitsky during his questioning pointed out to investigator S.E. Gordievsky the inadmissibility of involving A.K. Kuznetsov in the investigation of the criminal case No 201/374015-08 due to the conflict of interest. The application from the lawyer is not to be satisfied for the following reasons. The determination of the list of participants of investigative actions is set out to be within the competence of the investigator... In accordance with p 3 Section 2 of Article 38 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF, investigator is authorised to independently direct the course of investigation, make the decision about the conduct of investigative and other procedural actions. The question about the questioning of S.E. Gordievsky and A.K. Kuznetsov will be decided in the course of the preliminary investigation under the present criminal case. On the basis of the above, ...rule: 1. To refuse to satisfy the application from the representative of the victim N.N. Magnitskaya about the inclusion of him and the victim to the list of participants of the investigative actions - questioning of former investigator of the investigative unit of Southern Administrative District ofMoscow S.E. Gordievsky and former head of the 6th unit of 2 section of operations and search of the Tax Crimes Department of the Moscow Department of the Interior Ministry A.K. Kuznetsov."	1-Feb-12	Refusal by Mr Smolianinov of the request from Mr Magnitsky's mother to question officers Kuznetsov and Gordievsky under her son's death case	D1312.pdf
Andrei Leonidovich Serikov		Investigator from Belgorod region on the investigation team of the investigative Committee	IC	B	In his role as investigator on the case into the death of Mr Magnitsky, was responsible for the failure to conduct a prompt and thorough investigation	Mr Serikov, an investigator from Belgorod region, was appointed to the investigation group on the case into the death of Mr Magnitsky (No 366795)	"Assign the proceeding under the criminal case No 201/366795-10 to the investigation group comprising...investigator of especially important cases of the investigative section in town of Stary Oskol of Belgorod region A.L. Serikov..."	26-Jun-12	Decree to compose the investigative group on Mr Magnitsky's death investigation case naming Mr Serikov	D1313.pdf
						Mr Serikov refused an application from Mr Magnitsky's mother for access to the prosecutorial probe which exonerated Interior Ministry officials from wrong-doing	A.L. Serikov: "At the stage of the investigation the application from the lawyer is not subject to satisfaction because the victims and their representatives are given the right for access to all materials of the criminal case after the completion of the investigation. Besides, the investigation does not have the materials on the basis of which the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation has issued the mentioned decision. On the basis of the above, ...rule: 1. To refuse to satisfy the application from the representative of the victim N.N. Magnitskaya - lawyer N.A. Gorokhov for disclosure to the victim party of a copy of the conclusion of the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation on the lawfulness of the actions of investigative group of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia under the criminal case No 153123 in relation to S.L. Magnitsky and materials of the probe into this matter."	24-Jun-11	Refusal by Mr Serikov of the request for information from Mr Magnitsky's mother on the probe exonerating Interior Ministry officials	D1314.pdf

Marina Olegovna Lomonosova	4-Aug-79	Investigator of the Department of investigation of criminal cases against individuals and public safety, Lt Colonel	IC	B	In her role as investigator into the death of Mr Magnitsky in Autumn 2010-April 2012, was responsible for the failure to conduct prompt and thorough investigation and to identify and bring to justice perpetrators and to ensure victim's access to the investigation	Mr Lomonosova refused to disclose to Mr Magnitsky's mother the conclusions of the probe by the Moscow Prosecutor's office concerning Mr Magnitsky's death, conducted in Butyrka	M.O. Lomonosova: "...seized materials contain the data about the actions and decisions of officials of FBU IZ-77/2 UFSIN of Moscow and also of FSIN of Russia. There are no data in the materials of the probe about S.L. Magnitsky himself that are not available from the sources that were available both to the said person and his defence lawyers. Given the stated, taking into account that according to the notice from the FSIN of Russia they view as premature the disclosure of the content of the materials of that internal probe, the application from N.A. Gorokhov is not subject to satisfaction."	15-Jul-11	Refusal by Ms Lomonosova to disclose the materials of the probe conducted in Butyrka detention center	D1326.pdf
						Refusal of the application to conduct the review of the histological archive in the presence of an expert	M.O. Lomonosova: "The application from the lawyer is not subject to satisfaction for the following reasons. The observation of the items of the histological archive of organs of S.L. Magnitsky...was held on 5 July 2011 in accordance with Art. 177 and 180 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF. All data that has significance for the case was thereby recorded in a corresponding protocol. The participation during the repeat observation of the representative of the victim or the employee of the archive of the Bureau of judicial medical examination of the Department of Health of the City of Moscow will not promote the receipt of any new objective data regarding the items that form the histological archive...The samples of blood of S.L. Magnitsky do not carry in them any signs of committed crime, due to this they were not deemed material evidence on the case, their review will not lead to the identification of any circumstances significant for the case... Rule: 1. To refuse to satisfy the application from lawyer N.A. Gorokhov to conduct an observation of the items of the histological archive of fragments of tissues and samples of blood of S.L. Magnitsky as well as their preparations with the participation of the representative of the victim and an expert responsible for the storage of the listed items."	21-Jul-11		D1331.pdf
						Refusal of the application to deem inadmissible the expert medical conclusion from 15 June 2011 due to breach of procedure	M.O. Lomonosova: refuse the application to deem inadmissible the expert conclusion from 15 June 2011, because section 3.1.1. lists the content of the carried out histological study of the fragments of organs and tissues from the corpse...The description of identified changes ...is followed by the expert conclusions about which diseases these changes correspond to. The matching of identified changes at the cell level with the specific diseases comprises the methodology of the study, factually used by the expert...The involvement of a specialist of a such high level [Director of the Institute of Morphology of Humans] is in the opinion of the investigation expedient and to the most extent meets the goal of the investigation - the determination of the reasons and circumstances of the occurrence of death of S.L. Magnitsky. Therefore, the investigation do not find any violations of the criminal procedural legislation during the conduct of medical judicial examination. Therefore, there are no grounds to deem the expert conclusion inadmissible evidence.	27-Jul-11		D1332.pdf

					Mr Lomonosova issued a decree refusing the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother to launch a comprehensive probe into the false arrest, torture and murder of her son	M.O. Lomonosova: "I inform you that your application from 13 September 2011 seeking to commence a criminal case into the fact of ill-treatment, torture and murder of S.L. Magnitsky has been considered at the Main Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation. ...The assertion in the application about the impossibility to conduct within the course of the investigation of the criminal case No 201/366795-10 an objective inquiry into the circumstances of death of S.L. Magnitsky has no basis for it. The arguments about the signs of the application of violence in relation to S.L. Magnitsky are based on the data obtained during the course of the investigation of the criminal case No 201/366795-10, at the completion of which they will be given a due legal assessment. Due to your application being an assessment of content of evidence obtained under the criminal case No 201/366795-10, and equally other data that are primarily of analytical nature and received from sources that do not have the procedural authority to collect and record the true information about the committed crime, it is to be attached to the materials of the criminal case No 201/366795-10 as a statement, reflecting your position on the case. The data it contains about the anonymous phone messages received by employees of the investment fund, Hermitage Capital, which the investigation was previously not aware of, will be subject to an assessment from the point of view of relevance to the circumstances of what occurred, and in case of a link with the crime committed in relation to S.L. Magnitsky, shall be checked within the course of the conducted investigation."	14-Oct-11	Refusal by Ms Lomonosova to launch a probe into the false arrest, torture and murder of Mr Magnitsky	D1333.pdf	
Central Federal District of the Investigative Committee										
Sergei Semenovich Olkhovnikov	3-Jun-76	Deputy Head of 2nd investigative section of Investigative Department for Central Federal District	IC	B	In his position as senior official of the Investigative Committee for Central Federal District, was responsible for authorising the posthumous allegation against Mr Magnitsky and the refusal of complaints from his mother	Mr Olkhovnikov refused to attach the conclusion from the Human Rights Council on the Magnitsky case to a new criminal case (No 461115) with a posthumous allegation against Mr Magnitsky in complicity for the \$230 m theft	S.S. Olkhovnikov: "Upon the examination of the preliminary conclusion of the working groups on the examination of circumstances of death of S.L. Magnitsky (with attachments) published on the site of the Council of the President of the Russian Federation to develop civil society and human rights, it has been determined that the data stated in it are not related to the subject of the investigation under the criminal case No 461115 or (in part of the unlawful acts of employees of tax bodies) are analogous to the ones contained in applications from J. Firestone and other materials of the case. In connection with the above stated, there are no grounds to attach to the criminal case No 461115 the documents you stated."	12-Oct-12	Refusal by Mr Olkhovnikov to attach the Human Right Council's conclusions to the case with new posthumous allegation against Mr Magnitsky	D1350.pdf
					Mr Olkhovnikov issued a decree to refuse the complaint in relation to the failure to recognise Mr Magnitsky's mother as a victim under a criminal case (Case No 461115) purportedly opened to investigate the tax theft via Moscow Tax Inspection No 28	S.S. Olkhovnikov: "Rule: To refuse to satisfy the complaint ...against acts of investigator...E.B. Golubev connected to the consideration of the application to recognize N.N. Magnitskaya as a victim under the criminal case No 461115."	12-Oct-12	Decree by Mr Olkhovnikov to refuse the complaint in relation to failure to recognise Mr Magnitsky's mother as a victim	D1351.pdf	

Evgeny Borisovich Golubev	26-Sep-74	Investigator of especially important cases of th2 2nd section of the Department for Central Federal District, Lt Colonel	IC	B	In his position as investigator of the Investigative Committee's branch for the Central Federal District, approved the false posthumous allegation against Mr Magnitsky for complicity in the \$230 million theft	Mr Golubev issued a decree to launch a new criminal proceeding allegedly to investigate the Moscow Tax Office No 28 for fraudulent tax refund (case No 461115) in which he posthumously claimed Mr Magnitsky's complicity in the \$230 m that Mr Magnitsky had uncovered	E.B. Golubev: "During the carried out probe it was determined that V.A. Markelov, V.G. Khlebnikov, V.N. Kurochkin, S.L. Magnitsky, S.M. Korobeinikov and O.G.Gasanov, acting as part of a group of persons on prior conspiracy, knowing for sure about the payments of income tax by OOO Makhaon, OOO Rilend, and OOO Parfenion in 2006, in the amount of 10 billion roubles, during July - September 2007 re-registered the location of said organisations to the territories overseen by Russian Federal Tax Inspection No 25 and 28 in Moscow, produced false primary documents about the losses of those companies and submitted them to the said tax bodies with applications for refund of overpaid income tax for the amount of 5.4 billion roubles."	24-May-12	Decree by Mr Golubev alleging posthumously Mr Magnitsky's complicity in the \$230 m theft he had uncovered	D1358.pdf
Moscow Branch of the Investigative Committee										
Petr Alexandrovich Titov	4-Nov-64	Deputy Head of the Investigative Branch for Moscow, Colonel	IC	B	In his role as senior official of the Moscow investigative branch, was responsible for the failure to promptly and properly investigate the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	Mr Titov as one of the most senior officials in the Moscow branch of the Investigative Committee, was responsible for overseeing investigations sought and launched into the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky. He refused to prosecute a member of criminal conspiracy (Mr Khlebnikov) in whose name the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's subsidiaries have been fraudulently re-registered and who applied for a fraudulent tax refund, on the ground that he was "unaware" of the crime (Mr Khlebnikov was subsequently sentenced for the \$230 million theft, in a "special" proceeding that heard no evidence)	P.A. Titov to Deputy Moscow Prosecutor V.P. Markov: "The actions of V.G.Khlebnikov have already been assessed by the court and it was determined that he was not aware of the criminal intent of other persons...Based on the above, the decree to terminate the criminal case issued on 20.08.2009 by investigator...is lawful and justified, there are currently no reasons to cancel it based on the grounds stated in your instruction."	29-Jan-10	Refusal by Mr Titov to investigate a member of the criminal conspiracy	D1362.pdf
A.A. Muraviev		Head of Section for procedural control in the sphere of combating corruption of the Investigative branch for Moscow	IC	B	In his role as senior official of the Moscow investigative branch, was responsible for the supervision of the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death which covered up its circumstances and failed to collect critical evidence	On 14 January 2010, Mr Muraviev gave an instruction to a subordinate investigative official in the Eastern District of Moscow, Mr Semushkin, to consider the application to recognise Mr Magnitsky's mother as a victim which had been filed on 25 November 2009, a month and a half after the date of the application	A.A. Muraviev to local district official R.A. Semushkin: "Here is forwarded to organise the consideration an application from Kharitonov N.N. [sic] to recognize N.N. Magnitskaya as a victim under the criminal case into the death of her son, S.L. Magnitsky. Please inform the applicant about the decision."	14-Jan-10	Instruction from Mr Muraviev on the case into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1364.pdf

Elena Anatolievna Trikulya	18-Mar-75	Investigator of 2nd department of investigation of especially important cases of the investigative branch for Moscow, Lt., previously investigator with Tverskoi district of Moscow	IC	B	In the role of investigator, refused to commence a criminal inquiry to investigate the legal liability of Interior Ministry officers involved in Mr Magnitsky's prosecution, and was involved in the investigation of tax officials behind tax refunds exposed in part by Mr Magnitsky	Investigator Trikulya refused to open a criminal case to investigate the legal liability of Interior Ministry officers who prosecuted Mr Magnitsky finding no signs of crime in their acts. The decree was issued in response to the application from the Chair of the Moscow Helsinki Group Ms Alexeeva who sought to compel a criminal case be opened to investigate the false arrest, torture and brutal murder of Mr Magnitsky in custody	E.A. Trikulya: "The investigative unit of the Tverskoi district...of Moscow...received an application from the chair of the Moscow Helsinki Group L.M. Alexeeva in part seeking to prosecute employees of the Interior Ministry of RF and Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of RF who conducted criminal prosecution of S.L. Magnitsky under a criminal case No 153123...Rule: 1. Refuse to commence a criminal case on signs of crime under Articles 286, 285 of the Criminal Code of RF in relation to employees of the Interior Ministry of RF - operative of the 5th unit of 2nd section of operations and search on tax crimes of the Moscow Branch of the Interior Ministry D.M. Tolchinsky, head of Department of Interior Ministry of Russia [sic] A.K. Kuznetsov and employees of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry - senior investigator of internal affairs O.F. Silchenko, S.V. Oleinik on the ground of xp 2, section 1 of article 24 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF, due to the lack of crime in their acts	21-Jun-10	Refusal by Investigator Trikulya of application for an inquiry into Mr Magnitsky's false arrest, torture and murder	D1371.pdf
						Investigator Trikulya was subsequently involved in the investigation of the criminal case No 344212 (in relation to fraudulent tax refunds by Moscow tax inspections) which failed to carry out a prompt and thorough inquiry of tax officials	E.A. Trikulya to Gagarinsky District Registrar's Office: "The second department of investigation of especially important cases of the Moscow Investigative Branch of the Investigative Committee of Russian Federation investigates the criminal case No 344212 opened on 08.12.2010 in relation to unidentified persons on signs of crime stipulated by Section 4 of Article 159 of the Criminal Code of RF...Please submit the verified copy of the act of the dissolution of marriage No 957 between V.Y.Stepanov...and O.G. Stepanova."	3-Jun-11	Request by Investigator Trikulya for information under criminal case No 344212	D1372.pdf
Eastern District of Moscow										
R.A. Semushkin		Head of Investigative unit for Eastern Administrative District of Moscow	IC	B	In his position as head of the local district office, was involved in supervising the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death which covered up its circumstances and failed to collect essential evidence	In his role as a senior investigative official, was responsible for the oversight of the progress of the investigation into the death of Mr Magnitsky during which it was covered up and requests from the Magnitsky's family were refused by his subordinate	To R.A. Semushkin from V.V. Asanov of the Moscow Investigative Branch: "Here is being forwarded to organise the consideration the applications from lawyer N.N. Kharitonov [sic] about the lack of notification on the results of consideration of his applications and other matters. Please inform the applicant about the results."	19-Jan-10	Instruction to Mr Semushkin on the oversight over the investigation into Mr Magnitsky's death	D1373.pdf
Kirill Yurievich Cherny	30-May-84	Senior investigator of Eastern Administrative District of Moscow	IC	B	In his position as local district investigator, was involved in the investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death which covered up its circumstances, and refused family requests for medical records and medical examinations	Mr Cherny carried out the examination of the accident scene at Matrosskaya Tishina which failed to thoroughly describe the scene and the injuries on Mr Magnitsky's body	K.Y. Cherny: "Protocol of examination of the accident scene..Senior investigator of the investigative unit for Eastern Administrative District of...Moscow, lawyer of 2nd class K.Y. Cherny...In the area of wrists, there are circular abrasions. No other bodily injuries identified on the corpse..."	17-Nov-09	Protocol by Mr Cherny of examination of the accident scene	D1374.pdf
						Mr Cherny refused an application from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers for access to his medical records from detention centers, as well as to other records of his death on the ground of investigative secrecy	K.Y. Cherny: "Rule: to refuse the satisfaction of an application from lawyers under the criminal case No 366795...in the part concerning the disclosure to relatives of the deceased and their lawyers of the video footage, seized in detention centers, of medical documents and of the materials of the probe received from FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of RF in Moscow into the fact of death of S.L. Magnitsky, and with the protocol of examination of the body of S.L. Magnitsky."	31-Dec-09	Refusal by Mr Cherny of an application for access to Mr Magnitsky's medical records	D1375.pdf

					Mr Cherny refused a request from Magnitsky lawyers for an additional medical examination on the alleged ground that a complex medical examination had already been appointed; however, the decree appointing such an examination was issued only on 1 February 2010, i.e. four days after the application from the family was refused, obstructing family's access to justice and independent medical opinion	K.Y. Cherny: "There are no grounds to appoint an additional judicial medical examination of the corpse of S.L. Magnitsky because a complex judicial medical examination has been appointed under a criminal case....Rule: 1. to Refuse the application from lawyers under the criminal case No 366795 ...in the part requesting to appoint an additional judicial medical examination of the corpse of S.L. Magnitsky."	28-Jan-10	Refusal by Mr Cherny of the request for an additional medical examination	D1376.pdf	
					Mr Cherny refused to put additional questions to medical experts concerning the post-mortem results	K.Y. Cherny: "In the part concerning introducing additional questions for the decree to appoint commission medical judicial examination from 1.02.2010 the application is subject to refusal due to the conclusion No 2052 of the judicial medical expert A.N. Borzova on the corpse of S.L. Magnitsky having been issued in accordance with the current criminal procedural legislation. Expert A.N. Borzova was explained her rights and duties stipulated by Article 57 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF, and the expert was warned about giving knowingly false conclusion under Article 307 of the Criminal Code of RF. Therefore, the conclusion of the expert was deemed admissible evidence under Article 74 of the Criminal Procedure Code. On	16-Feb-10	Refusal by Mr Cherny to pose additional questions to medical experts on Mr Magnitsky's postmortem	D1379.pdf	
Preobrazhensky District										
G.V. Kharlamov	23-Feb-62	Head of Investigative Branch for Preobrazhensky District	IC	B	In his role as local district officer, was involved in supervising the investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death which covered up its circumstances	Mr Kharlamov was the most senior local district officer in charge of the investigation into the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's death to whom the report about his death was addressed	"To G.V. Kharlamov (Moscow district branch of Investigative Committee), V.A.Davydov (Moscow Penal Service), S.A. Goryunov (Moscow Prosecutor's Office) from F.G. Tagiev, head of Matrosskaya Tishina: "On 16.11.2009 at 21:50 in PIT of surgery unit of he hospital of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow died arrested Sergei Leonidovich Magnitsky...[he] arrived on 16.11.2009 at 18:20 from FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow. [He] was placed in cell No 4 of the collection unit of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow. At 19:00 a team of psychiatric emergency ambulance was called to arrested S.L. Magnitsky (No 904253) who arrived at 21:10. On 16.11.2009 at 21:20 due to the sudden deterioration of his health S.L. Magnitsky was delivered to cell No 739 (PIT) of the surgery section of the hospital of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow...During the initial examination of corpse of S.L. Magnitsky no signs of violent death were found...The corpse of arrested S.L. Magnitsky was sent to the 11th judicial morgue of Moscow for a post mortem autopsy. A probe is being carried out into the fact of death of arrested S.L. Magnitsky. The results of the probe will be reported separately."	18-Nov-09	Report to Mr Kharlamov of Mr Magnitsky's death	D1382.pdf
					Mr Kharlamov was the most senior local district officer in charge of the investigation into the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's death, to whom the request to consider launching a criminal probe into the use of special restraints on Mr Magnitsky shortly before his death was addressed	To G.V. Kharlamov from prosecutor A.V. Davydov: "I forward to you the material of probe into the use of special restraints which is under control with a deadline for consideration of 4 December 2009."	24-Nov-09	Request to Mr Kharlamov for a probe into the use of special restraints on Mr Magnitsky	D1384.pdf	
					Mr Kharlamov appointed investigator Samerkhanov to investigate Mr Magnitsky's death	G.V. Kharlamov: "Rule: 1. Assign the proceeding under the criminal case No 366795 to the investigative group comprising: investigator...D.R. Samerkhanov, investigator ...D.A.Levin. 2. Appoint as head of investigative group investigator ...D.R. Samerkhanov"	25-Nov-09	Decree by Mr Kharlamov to appoint an investigative group to the Magnitsky's death investigation	D1385.pdf	

P.F. Kobzarev	12-Dec-80	Deputy Head of Investigative Branch for Preobrazhensky District	IC	B	In his role as local district officer, was involved in the investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death which covered up its circumstances	Mr Kobzarev was among a group of investigators who were involved initially in the investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death and the circumstances of the alleged medical negligence by detention center officials, and who failed to conduct the prompt and thorough investigation. He wrote a formal reply to Mr Magnitsky's lawyer Kharitonov in response to an application to disclose the inquiry results and conduct various investigative steps, without addressing any points of substance obstructing Magnitsky family's access to the investigation	P.F. Kobzarev: "Your application that came to the investigative unit of the district on 30.12.2009 seeking to allow your participation in the work of an investigator conducting a probe into the application about the crime against S.L. Magnitsky...has been considered...The points you stated in your application will be checked in the course of the preliminary investigation under this criminal case. Deputy Head P.F. Kobzarev"	15-Jan-10	Letter by Mr Kobzarev concerning the investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death	D1389.pdf
Damir Ravilevich Samerkhanov	19-Sep-82	Investigator of Preobrazhensky District of Moscow	IC	B	In his role as local district investigator, was involved in the investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death which covered up its circumstances	Mr Samerkhanov was among a group of investigators who were involved initially in the investigation of Mr Magnitsky's death and the circumstances of the alleged medical negligence by detention center officials, and who failed to conduct the prompt and thorough investigation	D.R. Samerkhanov: "Rule: to commence the criminal case into the signs of crime under Section 2 of Article 124 and Section 2 of Article 293 of the Criminal Code in relation to unidentified employees of the Department of UFSIN of Russia for the city of Moscow."	24-Nov-09	Decree by Mr Samerkhanov to commence a criminal case into negligence in relation to Mr Magnitsky's death	D1390.pdf
O.V. Bekina	1-May-81	Expert	IC	B	In her role as expert at the crime scene, failed to thoroughly examine the scene and record the injuries on Mr Magnitsky body	Ms Bekina was involved in the examination of Mr Magnitsky's corpse and death scene at Matrosskaya Tishina detention center which failed to fully and thoroughly describe the scene and identify injuries on his body	O.V. Bekina: "Protocol of examination of the accident scene...Specialist O.V. Bekina was explained the rights and duties under Article 58 (57) of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF...In the area of wrists, there are circular abrasions. No other bodily injuries identified on the corpse."	17-Nov-09	Protocol of examination of the accident scene	D1393.pdf
Southern District of Moscow										
Stanislav Evgenievich Gordievsky	9-Sep-77	Investigator of the Southern District of Moscow	IC	A, B	In his role as investigator of the local investigative district, covered up Mr Magnitsky's testimonies, released from prosecution members of the criminal conspiracy Mr Magnitsky had named and exposed, and launched retaliatory proceedings against other Hermitage Fund's lawyers	In June 2008, Mr Magnitsky gave a testimony to Investigator Gordievsky describing the criminal conspiracy which misappropriated the Hermitage Fund's companies	S.L. Magnitsky to Mr Gordievsky: "Therefore, the aforesaid circumstances objectively demonstrate that in 2007, three companies: Parfenion LLC, Makhaon LLC and Rilend LLC with all their assets were illegally misappropriated with the possible usage of the materials and information seized during the police raid on the pretext of the investigation of the Kameya LLC case and its General Director Cherkasov I.S. The illegal misappropriation was arranged by using forged documents presented in Kazan, Moscow and Saint-Petersburg during the submission of documents for re-registration to the tax authorities as well as during the filing of claims with the Arbitration Court of Saint-Petersburg, Moscow and Kazan. Then, I suppose, unknown individuals started to actively cover up their tracks by destroying the evidence of their unlawful activities by re-registering the stolen companies several times with different tax inspectorates..."	5-Jun-08	Testimony to Mr Gordievsky by Mr Magnitsky	D1409.pdf

					One month before his arrest, in October 2008, Mr Magnitsky gave a testimony to Investigator Gordievsky in which he stated the lack of grounds to target the Hermitage Fund's lawyers who reported the criminal conspiracy behind the \$230 m theft	S.L. Magnitsky to Mr Gordievsky: "All the foregoing bears witness to the fact that the powers of attorney issued to Attorney Khayretdinov on behalf of Parfenion Limited Liability Company, Makhaon Limited Liability Company, and Rilend Limited Liability Company on 17/10/2007 were issued by the actual directors of those companies and were used with the proper qualifications and in good faith by Attorney Khayretdinov to defend the legal interests of the companies, to repeal the court decisions issued illegally according to falsified documents, and to expose the embezzlement of budget funds that took place in excess of Five Billion rubles (RUB 5,000,000,000), which was obviously committed by the same group of persons that used illegal reregistration of Parfenion Limited Liability Company, Makhaon Limited Liability Company, and Rilend Limited Liability Company and filed claims against those companies as a tool for embezzling money from the state treasury."	7-Oct-08	Testimony to Mr Gordievsky by Mr Magnitsky	D1410.pdf
					In his testimony from custody one month before his death, in October 2009, Mr Magnitsky named Investigator Gordievsky for his role in involving in the investigation of the theft of Hermitage Fund's companies Interior Ministry officer Kuznetsov, in spite of his conflict of interest as one of those named to be investigated for abetting the theft	S.L. Magnitsky to Investigator Gritsai: "The same authorized operative Kuznetsov A.A.[sic] performed the operational escorting of case No. 374015 initiated by the Investigating Committee at the Prosecutor's Office on the subject of the theft of the companies. Besides, he is performing the operational escorting of the criminal case under which I was taken out as the accused, and in my opinion the criminal prosecution is the above person's revenge against me, because during meetings with Gordievsky S.E., investigator of the investigating committee at the RF Prosecutor's Office in Southern Administrative District of Moscow, I informed him of the above circumstances and expressed my opinion that Kuznetsov A.A. should be interrogated about the circumstances of stealing of Makhaon LLC, Rilend LLC and Parfenion LLC, instead of being allowed to perform operational escorting of the case investigated by Gordievsky S.E."	14-Oct-09	Testimony by Mr Magnitsky naming Mr Gordievsky	D1791.pdf
					Mr Gordievsky was involved in the retaliatory criminal proceeding in relation to Hermitage Fund's attorneys for an alleged use of false powers of attorney	S.E. Gordievsky to his superior N.A. Yakimovich: "I report to you in accordance with Article 143 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF that materials have been severed from the criminal case No 374015 in relation to [attorneys] E.M. Khairtdinov and V.B. Pastukhov concerning the fact of the use by the latter of powers of attorney with fake imprints of seals of OOO Makhaon, Parfenion and Rilend on 30.09.2008..."	30-Sep-08	Crime report by Mr Gordievsky in relation to Hermitage Fund's attorneys for an alleged use of false power of attorney	D1411.pdf

					After the release of the list of 60 officials involved in the Magnitsky case, Mr Gordievsky claimed to Kommersant newspaper that he had "exposed" the attorneys for the Hermitage Fund for using false documents, concealing that these were the lawyers who acting on Hermitage Fund's behalf, had reported the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky, that the documents he called "fraudulent" were the powers of attorney issued by the Hermitage Fund's directors, and that the allegation against the lawyers was based on an absurd claim that "lawful" powers of attorney could only have been issued and stamped by the criminals (Mr Markelov and Mr Khlebnikov), in whose names the stolen Hermitage Fund's companies had been fraudulently re-registered	"One more actor in the case - investigator of the investigative department of the prosecutor's office for Southern Administrative District of Moscow Stanislav Gordievsky in his conversation with Ъ [Kommersant] also expressed bewilderment in connection with his inclusion in the black list. His participation in the case was restricted by exposing attorneys for the Hermitage Capital fund Eduard Khairetdinov and Vladimir Pastukhov in using forged documents, and this fact nobody challenged."	4-Oct-10	Interview by Mr Gordievsky to Kommersant	
Sergei Nikolaevich Lazutkin	7-Sep-65	(ex) Head of Southern District of Moscow	IC	A, B	In his role as supervisor of investigator Gordievsky, was responsible for the oversight of the criminal case which covered up Magnitsky's testimonies for the benefit of the criminal conspiracy and retaliated against the Hermitage Fund's lawyers who reported it	Mr Lazutkin approved a decree to open a criminal case in relation to Hermitage Fund's lawyer E.Khairetdinov for an alleged use of false power of attorney. The investigation claimed that the lawyer's powers of attorney were "false" because they were issued by the Hermitage Fund's directors from whom the companies were stolen, and that the "lawful" powers of attorney could only have been issued by the perpetrators (V.G. Khlebnikov, V.A.Markelov and V.N. Kurochkin) in whose name the Hermitage Fund's companies had been fraudulently re-registered.	Decree by S.N. Lazutkin: "Having considered the report by investigator S.E. Gordievsky about the existence in acts of attorney S.M. Khairetdinov body of crime..determined: to commence the criminal case into the signs of crime under section 3 of Article 327 of the Criminal Code in relation to Eduard Myansurovich Khairetdinov. Order the investigation into the criminal case to ...investigator S.E. Gordievsky."	27-Nov-08	Decree to open a criminal case in relation to Hermitage Fund's lawyer

[D1792.pdf](#)

[D1396.pdf](#)

					In a letter to General Prosecutor Chaika five months before Mr Magnitsky's death, the International Bar Association requested to look into the lawfulness of his detention into custody and the criminal prosecution of a lawyer for Hermitage Fund, Mr Khairetdinov, for an allegedly forged power of attorney expressing concern that the acts against lawyers in the case (sanctioned by Mr Lazutkin) were not consistent with international legal covenants protecting lawyers	"We have received information that on 24 November 2008, one of the HSBC and Hermitage legal advisers working at the law firm Firestone Duncan, Mr Sergey Magnitskiy, was arrested following a search of his home and office. Prior to his arrest, Mr Magnitskiy had apparently given witness statements detailing the complicity of Interior Ministry officials in the alleged frauds against HSBC and Hermitage. We understand that he has since been held without bail in a Moscow pre-trial detention facility...We have received reports that at the same time, the Interior Ministry has opened a criminal case against another independent lawyer retained by HSBC and Hermitage, Mr Eduard Khayretdinov, on the grounds that he has been representing interests of the Hermitage Fund's Russian investment companies by filing complaints to courts and law enforcement agencies, i.e. by carrying out his duties to this client. The case alleges that such representation is improper because he was acting under a power of attorney issued by the HSBC officials who – having been removed (allegedly by fraud) as directors of the Russian companies – were no longer technically empowered to issue the power of attorney after the theft....We are concerned that these activities are in contravention of Russia's obligations national and international law. Article 17 of the Russian Constitution guarantees that 'the basic rights and liberties in conformity with the commonly recognized principles and norms of the international law.' Article 20 guarantees the right to life, and Article 22 states that 'everyone shall have the right to freedom and personal inviolability.' We urge you to look into the actions taken against the lawyers who are defending the Hermitage Fund and more specifically, the pre-trial detention of Mr. Magnitskiy."	8-Jun-09	Letter from the International Bar Association concerning the case against Hermitage lawyers	D1793.pdf	
Natalia Alexeevna Yakimovich	28-Jul-67	(ex) Deputy Head of the Southern District of Moscow	IC	A, B	In her role as supervisor of investigator Gordievsky, was responsible for the oversight of the criminal cases which covered up Magnitsky's testimonies, concealed the criminal conspiracy he uncovered and was used to retaliate against the Hermitage Fund's lawyers who reported it	Ms Yakimovich approved a decree to approve the prolongation of the period of preliminary investigation of the criminal case in relation to Hermitage Fund's lawyer E.Khαιρεtdinov for alleged use of false power of attorney, used to cover up the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky and reported by the attorney, The investigation claimed that the lawyer's powers of attorney were "false" because they were issued by the Hermitage Fund's directors from whom the companies were stolen, and that the "lawful" powers of attorney could only have been issued by the perpetrators (V.G. Khlebnikov, V.A.Markelov and V.N. Kurochkin) in whose name the Hermitage Fund's companies had been fraudulently re-registered.	N.A. Yakimovich: "Extend the period of preliminary investigation of the criminal case No 360135 [sic]" on application from Investigator S.E. Gordievsky: "...S.E.Gordievsky....having considered the materials of the criminal case No 360138, determined:...During the preliminary investigation it has been determined that E.M. Khαιρεtdinov being an attorney, at a place unidentified by the investigation, during the period after 17.10.2007 received from persons unidentified by the investigation powers of attorney in his name from director of OOO Makhaon P. Wrench and director of OOO Parfenion and OOO Rilend M.D.Wilson with imprints of seals of those organisations for the right to represent the interests in all state, administrative and other bodies and organisations of RF, bodies of the Interior Ministry and Prosecutor's office, courts of any instances, including in Arbitration courts, and other acts. Knowing for sure that on 11.09.2007 the above stated organisations were re-registered in the unified registrar of legal persons and V.G. Khlebnikov, V.A.Markelov and V.N. Kurochkin became the directors OOO Makhaon, OOO Parfenion and OOO Rilend...He (Khαιρεtdinov) implementing an intent to use knowingly false document, represented the stated powers of attorney in Arbitration courts of city of Moscow and city of St. Petersburg..."	23-Jan-09	Decree to approve the continuation of the investigation in relation to Hermitage Fund's lawyer	D1397.pdf

					In August 2009, while Mr Magnitsky was still alive, Special Rapporteur to the Council of Europe described questions about the cases opened in relation to Hermitage Fund's lawyers and the unsatisfactory and contradictory answers received from the Russian authorities	"At the end of August 2008, all lawyers independently representing HSBC/Hermitage – Mr Khairtdinov, Mr Pastukhov and Mr Gorfel, who had succeeded in uncovering fraudulent claims against the HSBC companies and who were in the process of challenging false bankruptcy proceedings – received summonses from the Kazan police to appear for questioning as witnesses – in violation of Article 8 of the Russian Law on Lawyers which prohibits the questioning of lawyers regarding cases in which they provide legal assistance. ...I had included questions on the alleged harassment of HSBC/Hermitage lawyers and the detention of Sergei Magnitsky in my letters to the head of the Investigative Committee and to the prosecutor general. The reply from the Investigative Committee confirmed that Mr Magnitsky was heard as a witness in one particular criminal case but insisted that no coercive measures had been taken against him and, in particular, that he was "not detained". Having checked this reply with Mr Magnitsky's lawyers, who had provided me with documentary evidence proving the fact of his detention, it turned out that Mr Magnitsky was detained under another case number 110 also concerning the Hermitage complex. The Investigative Committee's answer was, to say the least, easily prone to being misunderstood. In view of this reply, and of the precise indications (dates, places and persons involved, including on the side of the law enforcement bodies) received from the lawyers acting on behalf of HSBC/Hermitage, I am not convinced that I can accept without further questions the additional statement in the reply that "lawyers working for the HSBC/Hermitage company have not been questioned", which may once again have been limited to a particular case number.	7-Aug-09	Council of Europe Report on Politically Motivated Abuse of the Criminal Justice System	D1794.pdf	
						Cont'd. The answer received from the prosecutor general's office regarding this case is more precise in that it recognises the fact of Mr Magnitsky's detention and indicates on what charges he is being held – a criminal case lodged on 4 October 2004 by investigators of the Ministry of Internal Affairs of the Kalmykh Republic for tax evasion. But it does not explain why he was arrested in November 2008 and was not interrogated once for several months. Contrary to the Investigative Committee's reply, the PGO acknowledges that criminal cases were opened against lawyers working for HSBC/Hermitage, including Mr Magnitsky, Mr Khairtdinov and Mr Pastukhov (the latter also for "use of forged document)."				
COURTS										
Olga Alexandrovna Egorova	29-Jun-55	Chair of the Moscow City Court	Court	B	In her position as senior judge, refused the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking access to tissues and organs of her dead son for an independent medical examination and refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother against the decision not to consider her lawsuit concerning the posthumous prosecution of her son	Ms Egorova refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother of the decision to refuse her lawsuit which sought to compel the Russian Investigative Committee to allow her access to her son's tissues archive, finding her rights had not been violated by the refusal	O.A. Egorova: "Having checked the available materials, I believe that your arguments stated in the appeal, are baseless. You in the interests of victim, N.N. Magnitskaya, applied to Basmany District Court in Moscow...seeking to deem unlawful and unjustified the decree by investigator...M.O. Lomonosova from 10 June 2011 to refuse the request to provide to the victim organs from the histological archive of S.L. Magnitsky for their examination with a participation of a specialist. By the said ruling of Basmany District Court of Moscow your complaint was left without satisfaction...As correctly noted by the court, the court does not have authority to oversee the activities of the bodies of criminal prosecution, and also over the fulfillment by them of their obligations during the conduct of their official duties...The court justifiably did not find grounds to deem the decree complained about...unlawful and unjustified; at the same time it was not established that the decree from 10 June 2011 to refuse the request from 07 June 2011 obstructed access to justice for the victim S.L. Magnitskaya [sic], or violated in any way her constitutional rights and freedoms."	18-Nov-11	Refusal by Ms Egorova of appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother re: denial of access to her son's tissues archive	D1414.pdf

					Ms Egorova refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother of the decision to return the lawsuit filed by her lawyer against the decision by Deputy General Prosecutor of Russia to begin a posthumous prosecution of her son	O.A. Egorova: "The ruling by the Tverskoi district court of Moscow from 30 August 2011 returned to the applicant the complaint submitted in your interests by lawyer N.A. Gorokhov in accordance with Article 125 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF to recognise as unlawful and unjustified the decree by Deputy General Prosecutor of RF from 30.07.2011 to cancel the decree from 27.11.2009 to terminate the criminal case in relation to S.L. Magnitsky due to his death...Having checked the submitted materials, I believe that your arguments stated in the appeal, are baseless...Based on the above your complaint has been left without satisfaction."	11-Mar-12	Refusal by Ms Egorova of appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother re: the staying of her complaint against the posthumous prosecution of her son	D1413.pdf	
Marina Ivanovna Chernova	27-Sep-75	Tverskoi District Court of Moscow	Court	B	In her role as judge, refused the application seeking to compel an inquiry of the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's arrest and the alleged payment of \$6m to organise his detention	Ms Chernova refused an application from Mr Navalny seeking to compel an inquiry into the allegation published in an article in New Times "Price of Death," concerning the payment of \$6 m at FSB headquarters in Moscow to organise the arrest of Mr Magnitsky	M.I. Chernova: "A.A.Navalny applied to the court with a petition in relation to A.I. Pechegin, deputy head of department of the oversight of especially important cases of the General Prosecutor's Office complaining about the decision and seeking to compel to give a reasoned answer, in justification stated that on 15 December 2009 the applicant applied to the General Prosecutor's Office of Russian Federation with a request to check facts stated in an article 'Price of Death' in New Times magazine No 43, published on 30 November 2009. Believes that the received answer from the General Prosecutor's Office from 29.01.2010 is not reasoned....The argument of the applicant that the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation had not conducted a proper probe into his application...could not serve as the basis to satisfy the application, because...the application of the applicant from 15.12.2009 was considered and A.A.Navalny was issued an answer on 29.01.2010...Rule: to refuse the application..."	7-May-10	Refusal by Ms Chernova of the application seeking to compel an inquiry of the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's arrest	D1454.pdf
Artur Gennadievich Karpov	15-May-69	Judge of Basmany District Court of Moscow	Court	B	In his position as judge, refused the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking to compel the investigation of the false arrest, torture and murder of her son	Mr Karpov refused the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking to compel the investigation of the false arrest, torture and murder of her son in custody	A.G. Karpov: "From the submitted materilas it follows that on 30 September 2011, the Main Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation received an application from N.N. Magnitskaya of 13 September 2011 into the fact of ill-treatment, torture, and intentional murder of S.L. Magnitsky. On 14 October 2011 based on results of the consideration of this application, an authorised official person - investigator M.O. Lomonosova gave an answer to N.N. Magnitskaya according to which the application from the applicant had been attached to materials of the criminal case No 201/366795-10, and the data stated in the application would be checked and assessed during the preliminary investigation. The response from the investigator also explains the order to appeal the adopted decision under Chapter 16 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF. Therefore, the court sees no violations of the order of consideration of this application from the applicant to the Main Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation. The court has no grounds not to trust the documents submitted by the body of the criminal prosecution. In connection with this, there are no grounds to believe that actions (inaction) by senior investigator ...M.O. Lomonosova connected to the resolution of the application from N.N. Magnitskaya from 13 September	13-Dec-11	Refusal by Mr Karpov of the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking an investigation of torture and murder of her son	D1440.pdf

Larisa Fedorovna Polyakova	16-Jul-63	Moscow city judge	Court	B	In her position as judge, refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking to compel the investigation of the false arrest, torture and murder of her son in custody	Ms Polyakova refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother of the refusal of her application seeking to compel the investigation of her son's false arrest, torture and murder in custody	L.F. Polyakova: "The collegium of judges having checked the materials, having discussed the arguments of the cassation appeal, does not find grounds to cancel the court decision. ..The judge, leaving the applicant's complaint without satisfaction referencing the legal norm, noted that ...on 14 October 2011 based on the results of the consideration of the report from N.N. Magnitskaya the authorised official person, i.e. investigator M.O. Lomonosova provided a response, according to which the report was attached to materials of the criminal case opened into the fact of death of S.L. Magnitsky, in order to check and examine the arguments stated in the report...As correctly stated by the judge, no violations of the criminal procedural law during the consideration of the report occurred. In connection with this, the judge did not have grounds to believe that acts (inaction) of senior investigator...M.O. Lomonosova caused harm to the constitutional rights of the applicant or obstructed her access to justice."	22-Feb-12	Refusal by Mr Polyakova of appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking investigation of her son's torture and murder	D1442.pdf
Yuri Anatolievich Pasyunin	1-Jan-59	Moscow city judge	Court	B	In his position as judge, refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking to compel the investigation of the false arrest, torture and murder of her son in custody	Mr Pasyunin refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother of the refusal of her application seeking to compel the investigation of her son's false arrest, torture and murder in custody	Y.A. Pasyunin: "The collegium of judges having checked the materials, having discussed the arguments of the cassation appeal, does not find grounds to cancel the court decision. ..The judge, leaving the applicant's complaint without satisfaction referencing the legal norm, noted that ...on 14 October 2011 based on the results of the consideration of the report from N.N. Magnitskaya the authorised official person, i.e. investigator M.O. Lomonosova provided a response, according to which the report was attached to materials of the criminal case opened into the fact of death of S.L. Magnitsky, in order to check and examine the arguments stated in the report...As correctly stated by the judge, no violations of the criminal procedural law during the consideration of the report occurred. In connection with this, the judge did not have grounds to believe that acts (inaction) of senior investigator...M.O. Lomonosova caused harm to the constitutional rights of the applicant or obstructed her access to justice."	22-Feb-12	Refusal by Mr Pasyunin of appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking investigation of her son's torture and murder	D1443.pdf
Ishat Zagfyarovich Abbazov	26-May-57	Moscow city judge	Court	B	In her position as judge, refused the appeals from Mr Magnitsky's mother to compel an investigation of his torture and murder in custody and seeking access to her son's tissues for their independent medical examination	Mr Abbazov refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother of the refusal of her application seeking to compel the investigation of her son's false arrest, torture and murder in custody	I.Z.Abbazov: "The collegium of judges having checked the materials, having discussed the arguments of the cassation appeal, does not find grounds to cancel the court decision. ..The judge, leaving the applicant's complaint without satisfaction referencing the legal norm, noted that ...on 14 October 2011 based on the results of the consideration of the report from N.N. Magnitskaya the authorised official person, i.e. investigator M.O. Lomonosova provided a response, according to which the report was attached to materials of the criminal case opened into the fact of death of S.L. Magnitsky, in order to check and examine the arguments stated in the report...As correctly stated by the judge, no violations of the criminal procedural law during the consideration of the report occurred. In connection with this, the judge did not have grounds to believe that acts (inaction) of senior investigator...M.O. Lomonosova caused harm to the constitutional rights of the applicant or obstructed her access to justice."	22-Feb-12	Refusal by Mr Abbazov of the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking investigation of her son's torture and murder	D1436.pdf

					Mr Abbazov refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother of the refusal of her application seeking to compel the investigation to provide access to her son's tissues, in spite of previous requests from the family for medical examinations also having been refused	I.Z.Abbazov: "The collegium of judges note that the refusal of access to the victim of objects of histological archive for their examination by specialist of her choice does not restrict the rights guaranteed to the victim and does not contradict the purpose of the criminal proceeding, in particular the full and comprehensive check and identification of true data about the causes of death of the victim's son; the victim is eligible to file requests to solve any questions connected to the examination of the items of the histological archive by any persons with special knowledge, including those chosen by her...Leave ...the ruling of the Basmany district court of Moscow from 19 July 2011 on the complaint by lawyer N.A Gorokhov ...in the interests of N.N. Magnitskaya without change, and the cassation appeal without satisfaction."	29-Aug-11	Refusal by Mr Abbazov of appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother for access to her son's tissues archive	D1435.pdf	
Alexander Nikolaevich Gorb	27-Jan-67	Moscow city judge	Court	B	In his position of judge, refused the appeal seeking to compel access to Mr Magnitsky's tissues and organs for their independent medical examination	Mr Gorb refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother of the refusal of her application seeking to compel the investigation to provide access to her son's tissues, in spite of previous requests from the family for medical examinations also having been refused	A.N. Gorb: "The collegium of judges note that the refusal of access to the victim of objects of histological archive for their examination by specialist of her choice does not restrict the rights guaranteed to the victim and does not contradict the purpose of the criminal proceeding, in particular the full and comprehensive check and identification of true data about the causes of death of the victim's son; the victim is eligible to file requests to solve any questions connected to the examination of the items of the histological archive by any persons with special knowledge, including those chosen by her...Leave ...the ruling of the Basmany district court of Moscow from 19 July 2011 on the complaint by lawyer N.A Gorokhov ...in the interests of N.N. Magnitskaya without change, and the cassation appeal without satisfaction."	29-Aug-11	Refusal of appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother for access to her son's tissues archive	D1438.pdf
Marina Evgenievna Selina	18-Nov-54	Moscow city judge	Court	B	In her position as judge, refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother for access to her son's tissues for their independent medical examination	Ms Selina refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother of the refusal of her application seeking to compel the investigation to provide access to her son's tissues and in spite of previous requests from the family for medical examinations also having been refused	M.E. Selina: "The collegium of judges note that the refusal of access to the victim of objects of histological archive for their examination by specialist of her choice does not restrict the rights guaranteed to the victim and does not contradict the purpose of the criminal proceeding, in particular the full and comprehensive check and identification of true data about the causes of death of the victim's son; the victim is eligible to file requests to solve any questions connected to the examination of the items of the histological archive by any persons with special knowledge, including those chosen by her...Leave ...the ruling of the Basmany district court of Moscow from 19 July 2011 on the complaint by lawyer N.A Gorokhov ...in the interests of N.N. Magnitskaya without change, and the cassation appeal without satisfaction."	29-Aug-11	Refusal by Ms Selina of the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother for access to her son's tissues archive	D1434.pdf
Ellada Nikolaevna Bondarenko	17-Jan-71	Moscow city judge	Court	B	In her position as judge, refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking access to her sons tissues and organs for their independent medical examination	Ms Bondarenko refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother of the court decision to refuse her access to her son's tissues archive for their medical examination	E.N. Bondarenko: "The court of first instance did not have grounds to deem unlawful and unjustified the refusal by investigator of the Main Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee of RF M.O. Lomonosova to satisfy the application to provide to the victim N.N. Magnitskaya items from the histological archive of tissues of S.L. Magnitsky to conduct testing with participation of a specialist, neither did it have grounds to conclude that the complained decree caused harm to the constitutional rights of victim N.N. Magnitsky, or obstructed her access to justice...The contents of the cassation ruling meets the requirements of Article 388 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF. Therefore, violations of the criminal procedural law causing the cancellation of the court decision in effect, have not occurred."	26-Sep-11	Refusal by Ms Bondarenko of the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother for access to her son's tissues archive	D1439.pdf

Natalia Evgenievna Mushnikova	11-Feb-73	Judge of Basmany District Court of Moscow	Court	B	In the position as judge, refused the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking access to her son's tissues archive for their independent medical examination	Mr Mushnikova refused the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother for access to her son's tissues archive and did not consider the fact that previous requests by the Magnitsky family to investigators to appoint medical examinations had been refused	N.E.Mushnikova: "On 7 June 2011 lawyer N.A. Gorokhov filed a written application in the interests of victim N.N. Magnitskaya seeking access for the victim to items of the histological archive of organs of S.L. Magnitsky seized under the case to conduct an examination by specialists. On 10 June 2011, by decree of investigator ...of the Investigative Committee M.O. Lomonosova the satisfaction of this application was refused due to the participation of the victim in the conduct of judicial medical examinations stipulated by the criminal procedural legislation not supposing the conduct of examinations independently by them...The refusal of M.O. Lomonosova to satisfy the application from lawyer N.A. Gorokhov representing the interests of N.N. Magnitskaya does not violate the rights of the latter as the victim under Article 42 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF, including to submit evidence, does not deprive her of the opportunity in case of the disagreement with the judicial medical examinations conducted under the case to request repeat or additional examinations. Besides the court takes into account and the circumstance that the histological archive of tissues of organs from the corpse of S.L. Magnitsky was recognised as material evidence by the decree of investigator M.O. Lomonosova from 5 July 2011."	19-Jul-11	Refusal by Ms Mushnikova of the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking access to her son's tissues archive	D1431.pdf
Valentin Anatolievich Kuleshov	15-Apr-70	Judge of Simonovsky District Court of Moscow	Court		In his position as judge, refused the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking access to informaton about the 12 prosecutors in charge of overseeing cases concerning Mr Magnitsky	Mr Kuleshov refused the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking to compel General Prosecutor's Office to disclose to her the information on the twelve prosecutors in charge of overseeing cases in relation to her deceased son and the decisions they have made	V.A. Kuleshov: "The court believes that the applicant and her representative have not indicated circumstances and have not submitted evidence showing that the information they request in any way concern the rights and lawful interests of N.N. Magnitskaya...rule: to refuse the application from Natalia Nikolaevna Magnitskaya challenging the actions (inaction) of deputy head of department of the oversight of especially important cases of the General Prosecutor's Office of RF V.V. Ignashin expressed in the refusal to provide data about the group of prosecutors assigned to check the lawfulness of the investigation of criminal cases affecting the rights and main freedoms of S.L. Magnitsky and seeking to compel to provide the corresponding information."	11-Sep-12	Refusal by Mr Kuleshov of the application from Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking disclosure of names of prosecutors in charge of cases in relation to her son	D1430.pdf
Evegeny Valerievich Komissarov	20-Feb-71	Judge of Tverskoi District Court of Moscow	Court	B	In his position as judge, refused the request for information from Mr Magnitsky's mother concerning access to case files containing his testimonies given before the arrest and the posthumous allegations against him by the Interior Ministry	Mr Komissarov refused to provide information to Mr Magnitsky's mother about the case files concerning his testimonies before the arrest and the posthumous allegations against him by the Interior Ministry	E.V. Komissarov: "To your request for information from 25 May 2012 in relation to files of the the criminal case No 152979 and the criminal case No 374015 merged with it, I report the following. According to your representation...you act in the interests of N.N. Magnitskaya. As follows from is not party to the criminal proceeding. Because of this, there are no grounds to satisfy your request."	29-Jun-12	Refusal by Mr Komissarov of request for information from Mr Magnitsky's mother	D1428.pdf
Alexandra Borisovna Kovalevskaya	21-Apr-79	Judge of Tverskoi District Court of Moscow	Court	B	In her position as judge, refused the lawsuit against the new posthumous allegation in relation Mr Magnitsky by the Interior Ministry (for complicity in the \$230 million theft he had uncovered)	Ms Kovalevskaya refused the lawsuit from Mr Magnitsky's mother against the new posthumous allegation against her son, being based on false materials included in the decree from 1 July 2011 to open a new criminal case on money laundering of the stolen \$230 million (case No 678540). The decree was issued a year and a half after Mr Magnitsky's death by Interior Ministry Investigator Urzhumtsev, who was part of the investigation group responsible for Mr Magnitsky's detention	A.B. Kovalevskaya: "As follows from the submitted materials, the decree by investigator of the Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia O.V. Urzhumtsev to commence a criminal case on 01.07.2011 ...was issued by the proper official, following the preceding procedure, within the statutory timetable,...and meets all requirements of the criminal procedural law...The examination of truth of such information is carried out during the course of the preliminary investigation, and in essence, represents an evaluation of possible evidence under the criminal case, while the circumstances that served as a ground to commence the criminal case are subject to be proven during the course of the preliminary investigation, by means of gathering, checking and assessment of evidence, on the basis of which the investigation must reach a final conclusion about the existence or the absence of signs of any content of crime in the acts of these or those persons, confirming or refuting the justification to open a criminal case. Besides, the court takes into account, that based on the meaning of the current criminal legislation, the decree to commence a criminal case itself cannot breach anybody's rights, including any rights of Mr S.L. Magnitsky and even more so, of his relatives."	9-Dec-11	Refusal by Ms Kovalevskaya of the complaint by Mr Magnitsky's mother against the new posthumous allegation in relation to her son	D1415.pdf

Irina Yurievna Kolesnikova	16-Nov-69	Moscow city judge	Court	B	In her position as judge, refused the appeal against the posthumous allegation in relation to Mr Magnitsky for complicity in the \$230 million theft he had uncovered	Ms Kolesnikova refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother against the inclusion of false information about her son's alleged complicity in the \$230 million theft into the decree to commence a new criminal case (No 678540) issued a year and a half after Mr Magnitsky's death by Interior Ministry Investigator Urzhumtsev, who was part of the investigation group responsible for Mr Magnitsky's detention	"Having considered all submitted documents and arguments of parties, the court justifiably arrived at the conclusion that the grounds to satisfy the complaint of the applicant were absent...The court of first instance has arrived at the correct conclusion that the acts of investigator of Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia and the head of the investigation section, connected to the opening of a criminal case No 678540, do not violate the constitutional rights of anybody, because following the issuance of the decree to commence a criminal case, the investigator begins the conduct of a preliminary investigation, during the course of which the event of crime...; the existence or the absence in acts of these or those persons of sights of criminal acts, ...and other circumstances must be proven....Leave unchanged the ruling of Tverskoi district court of Moscow from 09 December 2011 to leave without satisfaction the complaint under Article 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF from applicant N.A. Gorokhov in the defence of interests of N.N. Magnitskaya"	27-Feb-12	Refusal by Ms Kolesnikova of the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother against a new posthumous allegation in relation to her son	D1416.pdf
Olga Viktorovna Dubrovina	1-Jun-78	Moscow city judge	Court	B	In her position as judge, refused the appeal against the posthumous allegation in relation to Mr Magnitsky for complicity in the \$230 million theft he had uncovered	Ms Dubrovina refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother against the inclusion of false information about her son's alleged complicity in the \$230 million theft into the decree to commence a new criminal case (No 678540) issued a year and a half after Mr Magnitsky's death by Interior Ministry Investigator Urzhumtsev, who was part of the investigation group responsible for Mr Magnitsky's detention	"Having considered all submitted documents and arguments of parties, the court justifiably arrived at the conclusion that the grounds to satisfy the complaint of the applicant were absent...The court of first instance has arrived at the correct conclusion that the acts of investigator of Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia and the head of the investigation section, connected to the opening of a criminal case No 678540, do not violate the constitutional rights of anybody, because following the issuance of the decree to commence a criminal case, the investigator begins the conduct of a preliminary investigation, during the course of which the event of crime...; the existence or the absence in acts of these or those persons of sights of criminal acts, ...and other circumstances must be proven....Leave unchanged the ruling of Tverskoi district court of Moscow from 09 December 2011 to leave without satisfaction the complaint under Article 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF from applicant N.A. Gorokhov in the defence of interests of N.N. Magnitskaya"	27-Feb-12	Refusal by Ms Dubrovina of the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother against new posthumous allegation in relation to her son	D1417.pdf
Oleg Alexandrovich Muzychenko	14-Jun-78	Moscow city judge	Court	B	In his position as judge, refused the appeal against the posthumous allegation in relation to Mr Magnitsky for complicity in the \$230 million theft he had uncovered	Mr Muzychenko refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother against the inclusion of false information about her son's alleged complicity in the \$230 million theft into the decree to commence a new criminal case (No 678540), issued a year and a half after Mr Magnitsky's death by Interior Ministry Investigator Urzhumtsev, who was part of the investigation group responsible for Mr Magnitsky's detention	"Having considered all submitted documents and arguments of parties, the court justifiably arrived at the conclusion that the grounds to satisfy the complaint of the applicant were absent...The court of first instance has arrived at the correct conclusion that the acts of investigator of Investigation Department of the Interior Ministry of Russia and the head of the investigation section, connected to the opening of a criminal case No 678540, do not violate the constitutional rights of anybody, because following the issuance of the decree to commence a criminal case, the investigator begins the conduct of a preliminary investigation, during the course of which the event of crime...; the existence or the absence in acts of these or those persons of sights of criminal acts, ...and other circumstances must be proven....Leave unchanged the ruling of Tverskoi district court of Moscow from 09 December 2011 to leave without satisfaction the complaint under Article 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF from applicant N.A. Gorokhov in the defence of interests of N.N. Magnitskaya"	27-Feb-12	Refusal by Ms Muzychenko of the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother against a new posthumous allegation in relation to her son	D1418.pdf

Igor Borisovich Alisov	11-Mar-68	Judge of Tverskoi District Court of Moscow	Court	B	In his position as judge, refused to promptly consider the lawsuit from Mr Magnitsky's mother against his posthumous prosecution, refused requests for information from the Magnitsky family, served as judge in the posthumous trial of Mr Magnitsky, and sentenced a jobless person for the \$230 million theft Mr Magnitsky had uncovered, exonerating officials	Mr Alisov made the decision to sentence V.G. Khlebnikov, entered in court records as jobless person, for the theft of \$230 million to the minimum term of 5 years in a special proceeding that heard no evidence and was held in secret from Mr Magnitsky's family and the public. Mr Alisov assigned to Mr Khlebnikov no fine, taking into account his "positive characteristics." It was subsequently revealed that the case files contained false posthumous allegation in relation to Mr Magnitsky of complicity in the \$230 million theft	I.B. Alisov: "Vyacheslav Georgievich Khlebnikov, born 9 July 1967, in Tambov, citizen of Russia, with no criminal record, with secondary education,...without a job, registered in Tambov... During the period before 3 September 2007 entered in conspiracy with Markelov V.A....and other persons aimed at the theft of funds from the budget of the Russian Federation and the regional budget of Moscow, via unlawful return of allegedly overpaid tax on profit for year 2006. ...During the checks of the justification of the amounts of taxes stated for refund, Khlebnikov, Markelov and other persons mislead the authorised public persons of inspections, having submitted fake contracts produced by them...Khlebnikov in the presence of his counsel [O.V. Kirilenko] applied for the verdict to be announced without a judicial hearing due to his consent to the stated charge....The court takes into account positive characteristics of Khlebnikov,...believes it is possible to assign the minimal sentence under a sanction stipulated by Section 4 of Article 159 of the Criminal Code of RF...and do not apply additional punishment in the form of a fine.... On the basis of the above...the court has sentenced: Khlebnikov Vyacheslav Georgievich deem guilty of committing the crime under Section 4 of Article	10-Mar-11	Sentencing by Mr Alisov of a jobless Mr Khlebnikov for the \$230 million theft, with no fine	D1420.pdf
						Mr Alisov, as chairman of Tverskoi District Court of Moscow, failed to respond for seven months to requests for information and access to files concerning Mr Magnitsky from the case No 152979 (in relation to Mr Khlebnikov where Magnitsky was posthumously falsely accused of complicity in the \$230 million theft that he had uncovered), and subsequently refused those requests for information from Mr Magnitsky's mother	I.B. Alisov: "To your requests from 16 June, 15 August and 9 November 2011 I inform you that the criminal procedural law gives the right for access to the materials of the criminal case both within the court proceeding and on which the final court decisions have been issued, to participants in the judicial proceedings and persons eligible to demand them. Because neither you nor the person you represent [Mr Magnitsky's mother] are participants in the judicial proceeding under the criminal case in relation to V.G. Khlebnikov, there are no grounds to provide you access to this criminal case. Chairman of the court. I.B. Alisov"	10-Jan-12	Refusal by Mr Alisov of access to case files to Mr Magnitsky's mother	D1421.pdf
						Mr Alisov returned without consideration the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's mother against the decision by Deputy General Prosecutor of Russia to posthumously prosecute her son, obstructing its prompt consideration	I.B. Alisov: "The applicant did not state in the complaint which of her rights and interests were directly affected by the decree by prosecutor complained about. The immorality as the complaint states of the contested decision is not a legal category causing the check of the decision of prosecutor in accordance with Article 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF. The complaint also does not contain justification of the violation of the right of the applicant for access to justice...At the same time, the contested decree of prosecutor in the way it is stated in the complaint in essence has a direct purpose to determine during the preliminary investigation, and in case of the existence of a statutory order - at trial, of all circumstances of the case to accuse S.L. Magnitsky and the decision on the basis of this of the matter of his guilt or innocence in the incriminated acts...rule: the Complaint from Magnitskaya Natalia Nikolaevna ...return to address shortcomings."	12-Sep-11	Decision by Mr Alisov to return the complaint by Mr Magnitsky's mother against the posthumous prosecution of her dead son	D1422.pdf
						Mr Alisov chaired the posthumous trial proceeding in relation to Mr Magnitsky and summoned to it Mr Magnitsky's mother	I.B. Alisov: "I notify you that on 27 December 2012, at 11 o'clock Tverskoi district court of Moscow (hall 17) will hold a preliminary hearing on the criminal case in relation to Browder William Felix...and Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich, accused of committing two crimes under Sections 3 and 5 of Article 33 and pp a and b, Section 2 of Article 199 of the Criminal Code of RF"	18-Dec-12	Notice by Mr Alisov of the posthumous trial of Mr Magnitsky	D1423.pdf

Lubov Yurievna Ishmuratova	15-Oct-63	Moscow city judge	Court	B	In her position as judge, refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother concerning the posthumous prosecution of her son on order from Deputy General Prosecutor Victor Grin	Ms Ishmuratova refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother of the decision by district court of Moscow to return the lawsuit against the decision by Deputy General Prosecutor to begin the posthumous prosecution of her son	L.U. Ishmuratova: "By ruling of Tverskoi district court of Moscow from 12 September 2011 the complaint by N.N. Magnitskaya submitted under Article 125 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF was returned to the applicant to eliminate the identified shortcomings. The court ruling was left unchanged under cassation order...In her appeal the applicant N.N. Magnitskaya finds the existing court decisions unlawful and unjustified asserting that the decree of Deputy General Prosecutor of RF from 30 July 2011 [to resume the case in relation to her son, Mr Magnitsky] affected her interests because following the resumption of the investigation she received summonses for questioning as a witness, and the court did not issue the ruling stipulated by the law. Having studied the submitted materials, having checked the arguments of the appeal, I don't find grounds for appeal."	21-Feb-12	Decision by Ms Izhmuratova to refuse the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother of the decision to return the lawsuit against the posthumous prosecution of her son	D1419.pdf
Larisa Tikhonovna Martynova	10-Jun-64	Moscow city judge	Court	B	In her position as judge, refused the appeal concerning the posthumous prosecution of Mr Magnitsky on order from Deputy General Prosecutor Victor Grin	Ms Martynova refused the appeal of Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking a prompt review of her complaint against the posthumous prosecution of her son on order from Deputy General Prosecutor Grin which was returned by lower district court	L.T. Martynova: "No restrictions of access to justice by the court in relation to N.N. Magnitskaya has occurred contrary to her arguments, because the elimination of shortcomings allows the applicant in the future on the same grounds to apply under Article 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF with a complaint regarding the lawfulness and justification of the actions of Deputy General Prosecutor of RF...Rule: leave unchanged the ruling of judge of Tverskoi District Court of Moscow from 12 September 2011 concerning the complaint from Magnitskaya Natalia Nikolaevna."	24-Oct-11	Refusal by Ms Martynova of the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother concerning the posthumous prosecution of her son	D1424.pdf
Galina Egorovna Khotuntseva	22-Jan-67	Moscow city judge	Court	B	In her position as judge, refused the appeal concerning the posthumous prosecution of Mr Magnitsky on order from Deputy General Prosecutor Victor Grin	Ms Martynova refused the appeal of Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking a prompt review of her complaint against the posthumous prosecution of her son on order from Deputy General Prosecutor Grin which was returned by lower district court	G.E.Khotuntseva: "No restrictions of access to justice by the court in relation to N.N. Magnitskaya has occurred contrary to her arguments, because the elimination of shortcomings allows the applicant in the future on the same grounds to apply under Article 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF with a complaint regarding the lawfulness and justification of the actions of Deputy General Prosecutor of RF...Rule: leave unchanged the ruling of judge of Tverskoi District Court of Moscow from 12 September 2011 concerning the complaint from Magnitskaya Natalia Nikolaevna."	24-Oct-11	Refusal by Ms Khotuntseva of the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother concerning the posthumous prosecution of her son	D1425.pdf
Vadim Alexandrovich Lovchev	28-Aug-73	Moscow city judge	Court	B	In his position as judge, refused the appeal concerning the posthumous prosecution of Mr Magnitsky on order from Deputy General Prosecutor Victor Grin	Ms Martynova refused the appeal of Mr Magnitsky's mother, which sought a prompt review of her complaint against the posthumous prosecution of her son on order from Deputy General Prosecutor Grin which was returned by lower district court	V.A. Lovchev: "No restrictions of access to justice by the court in relation to N.N. Magnitskaya has occurred contrary to her arguments, because the elimination of shortcomings allows the applicant in the future on the same grounds to apply under Article 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF with a complaint regarding the lawfulness and justification of the actions of Deputy General Prosecutor of RF...Rule: leave unchanged the ruling of judge of Tverskoi District Court of Moscow from 12 September 2011 concerning the complaint from Magnitskaya Natalia Nikolaevna."	24-Oct-11	Refusal by Mr Lovchev of the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's mother concerning the posthumous prosecution of her son	D1426.pdf

Yulia Vladimirovna Bobrova	30-Nov-69	Judge of Oostankinsky District Court of Moscow	Court	B	In her position as judge, refused the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's mother against the posthumous prosecution of her son on order from Deputy General Prosecutor Victor Grin	Ms Bobrova refused the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's mother against the decision of Deputy General Prosecutor V. Grin to reopen a criminal case against her son posthumously, finding that her rights have not been violated by this decision	Y.V. Bobrova: "The court cannot accept the argument of the applicant that the mother of the accused has not applied for the rehabilitation of her son - accused S.L. Magnitsky, correspondingly Deputy General Prosecutor has performed the functions of the close relative of the accused, because the cancellation of the investigator's decree is based on the violation by the latter during the termination of the case of requirements of the criminal procedural legislation based on the meaning of the ruling of the Constitutional Court of RF...Other arguments of the applicant stated in the complaint in support of his conclusions are based on the subjective opinion of the author, are not based on the requirements of the law, are not confirmed objectively by the submitted evidence and are not subject to satisfaction...The court believes that by adopting the decision to cancel the investigator's decree to terminate the criminal case in relation to S.L. Magnitsky, the rights of his mother - N.N. Magnitskaya who the applicant represents, are not violated, her access to justice is not obstructed, and on he contrary, N.N. Magnitskaya has acquired the lawful procedural rights to prove her position on the case based on the position of the deceased accused...During the consideration of the complaint, the court has not identified circumstances evidencing the adoption by Deputy General Prosecutor of RF of an unjustified decision...Rule: To leave without satisfaction the complaint of lawyer N.A. Gorokhov in the interests of N.N. Magnitskaya to deem unlawful and unjustified the decree by Deputy General Prosecutor of RF from 30.07.2011 to cancel the decree from 27.11.2009 under the criminal case No 311578 to terminate the criminal case in relation to S.L. Magnitsky due to his death."	3-Apr-12	Refusal by Ms Bobrova of the complaint by Mr Magnitsky's mother against the posthumous prosecution of her son	D1427.pdf
Tatiana Vasilievna Neverova	28-Jul-75	Tverskoi District Court of Moscow	Court	B	In her position as judge, refused the complaint about the violations of Mr Magnitsky's rights in custody, and after his death refused applications from Mr Magnitsky's mother to compel an investigation of his torture and murder, and allowed the cover up of the death of Mr Magnitsky during the Kratov trial	While Mr Magnitsky was detained in custody, Mr Neverova refused the complaint from his lawyers about the violation of his rights by investigators	T.V. Neverova: "...the court arrives to the conclusion that the rights of the accused have not been breached by the refusal of investigator to disclose to him and his counsel the case materials which will be disclosed for the expert examination by the body of preliminary investigation, because...the accused and his counsel are not deprived of the opportunity to put additional questions to the expert or petition to appoint a new examination."	22-Jul-09	Refusal by Ms Neverova of the complaint about violations of rights of Mr Magnitsky in custody	D1449.pdf
						Ms Neverova refused the petition from the lawyer for Mr Magnitsky's mother seeking to return the case in relation to Dmitry Kratov, an ex-Butyrka official, for a full and comprehensive investigation of the conspiracy to torture and kill Mr Magnitsky in custody	T.V. Neverova: "There are no grounds to merge this criminal case in relation to D.B. Kratov with the criminal case investigated by the body of preliminary investigation, because based on the meaning of p 1 section 1 of Article 153 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF, the criminal cases can be merged in one proceeding in relation to several persons who committed crimes in conspiracy, and one of the grounds for this requirements is the identification of specific co-conspirator of the investigated act, when as follows from the case materials, the investigation continues investigating the criminal case No 201/366795-10 in relation to unidentified persons."	27-Jul-12	Refusal by Ms Neverova of the petition from lawyer for Mr Magnitsky's mother to return the Kratov case for the full investigation of the conspiracy to torture and kill Mr Magnitsky	D1448.pdf

					Ms Neverova found that Mr Kratov, former deputy head of Butyrka detention center where Mr Magnitsky was held from 25 July 2009 until the last day of his life, 16 November 2009, "undertook all necessary measures" to provide medical care to Mr Magnitsky and was not guilty of his death, in spite of complaints from Mr Magnitsky's and his lawyers about the denial of medical care in custody	T.V. Neverova: "...from the evidence studied in the court hearing follows, that D.B. Kratov who was brought to trial being a deputy head of detention center in charge of medical and preventative work of FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Moscow city [Butyrka], carried out the management of the medical section of the said detention center, undertook all necessary measures to provide S.L. Magnitsky with medical care in relation to the diseases identified on the latter."	28-Dec-12	Ruling by Ms Neverova finding Mr Kratov took "necessary measures" to provide Mr Magnitsky with medical care and was not guilty of his death	
Galina Mikhailovna Filippova	15-Oct-68	Tverskoi District Court of Moscow	Court	B	In her position as judge, resisted the application about the unlawfulness of the criminal case used by the criminal conspiracy to seize documents and misappropriate the Hermitage Fund's companies	Ms Filippova refused the complaint against the unlawful commencement of the case in relation to Kameya, a client of Hermitage, used by the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky to seize and keep custody of corporate documents used to misappropriate the Hermitage Fund's companies, fabricate claims against them and steal \$230 million of tax revenue they had paid to the Russian government	G. Filippova: "As follows from the materials submitted to the court, the trigger to commence a criminal case was the report from operative A.K. Kuznetsov of the 6th unit of 2 section of operations and search of the Tax Crimes Department of the Main Department of the Interior Ministry of Moscow...The court does not assess the arguments of Attorney E.M. Khairtdinov about the untruthfulness, hearsay and the lack of justification in the statements of investigator made in the decree to commence a criminal case about the unlawful application by OOO Kameya of the Agreement from 05.12.1998 and about the personal interest of I.S. Cherkasov, and also the arguments about the inadmissibility of results obtained during the inquiry preceding the opening of the criminal case, because the check of the truthfulness of such data shall be made during the preliminary investigation and in essence serves as an examination of possible evidence under the criminal case, and therefore cannot be the subject of the judicial check during the consideration of complaints under Article 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF...rule: leave without satisfacion the complaint..."	13-Nov-07	Refusal by Ms Filippova of the complaint against the criminal case used to seize documents for the criminal conspiracy
Rufina Darvinovna Gazizova	21-Jan-64	Vakhitovsky District Court of Moscow	Court	B	In her position as judge, approved the search by the Interior Ministry of offices of Attorney for the Hermitage Fund who reported the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	Ms Gazizova sanctioned the application from the Interior Ministry to search the offices of Attorney Khairtdinov who reported the criminal conspiracy on behalf of the Hermitage Fund on a false ground that documents concerning the "liabilities" of those companies may be found in his office, relying on false and misleading evidence from the Interior Ministry against the attorney. This and other searches of offices of Hermitage Fund's lawyers have been the subject of a resolution from the Council of Europe and the appeal from the International Bar Association, but did not stop the Interior Ministry's intimidation of lawyers with further criminal proceedings	R.D. Gazizova: "The preliminary investigation has sufficient grounds to believe that original documents about the existence of liabilities of OOO Parfenion, OOO Makhaon and OOO Rilend owed to OOO Logos Plus, OOO Instar, and OOO Grand Aktive, the documents to represent all stated legal entities, and other documents, items, valuables significant for the case may exist at the place of work of Attorney E.M. Khairtdinov... Rule: to approve the conduct of a search at the place of work of Attorney Khairtdinov..."	11-Aug-08	Approval by Ms Gazizova of the search of the office of Attorney for the Hermitage Fund

[D1447.pdf](#)

[D1467.pdf](#)

[D1470.pdf](#)

Tatiana Pavlovna Korneyeva	1-Jan-54	Simonovsky District Court of Moscow	Court	B	In her position as judge, approved the criminal proceeding against Attorney for the Hermitage Fund who reported the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	Ms Korneyeva approved an application from investigators to commence a criminal proceeding for the alleged use of a forged power of attorney against a Hermitage Fund's attorney Eduard Khairetdinov, who had filed complaints seeking investigation of the role of Interior Ministry officers, including Kuznetsov, and arbitration court judges in the misappropriation of the Hermitage Fund's companies, fabrication of claims against them and the \$230 million theft of their tax revenue. The proceeding against Attorney Khairetdinov was launched on the basis of a falsified report authorised by Interior Ministry officer Kuznetsov whom the Attorney had reported	T. Korneyeva: "The defence side to justify its position and refute the application from the investigative bodies has submitted numerous materials. The court when issuing a conclusion on the application from the head of the investigative body, checks only the sufficiency of the data submitted by him, indicating signs of crime, and is not allowed to issue conclusions that may be contained only in the final decision on the criminal case, i.e. to give an assessment to materials submitted by the parties. Having considered the application, having listened to the sides of the proceeding, having checked the materials submitted by the investigative bodies, the court arrives to the conclusion that signs of crime under section 3 of Article 327 of the Criminal Code of RF, i.e. committing the use of knowingly false document [power of attorney] are seen in the the acts of attorney E.M. Khairetdinov... Satisfy the application from the head of investigative section for Southern Administrative District..."	26-Nov-08	Approval by Ms Korneyeva of the repressive criminal proceeding against Attorney who reported the criminal conspiracy	D1468.pdf
Irina Anatolievna Skuridina	27-Feb-80	Basmany District Court of Moscow	Court	B	In her position as judge, refused an application seeking to compel the Head of the Investigative Committee Mr Bastrykin to investigate the concealment of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky by officials in the Prosecutor's Office and the Interior Ministry	Ms Skuridina refused the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, against the inaction of the Head of Investigative Committee Alexander Bastrykin. The application sought to compel an investigation of the concealment by officials of the General Prosecutor's Office and the Interior Ministry of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	I.A. Skuridina:"Head of section...of the Investigative Committee of Russia A.A. Schegolikhin submitted a reply to the complaint in which he stated that the Investigative Committee of Russia has considered the application from U.S. citizen J.R. Firestone from 30 January 2012 seeking to carry out a procedural probe and open a criminal case in relation to employees of the Interior Ministry of Russia and General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation, complicit, in the opinion of the applicant, in the concealment of persons who organised the theft of 5.4 billion roubles [\$230 million] from the budget of the Russian Federation, and the disagreement with verdicts of Presnensky and Tverskoi District Courts of Moscow, decisions by Arbitration courts of various regions of the Russian Federation...As follows from the submitted materials, on 29 February 2012 the application of Jamison Firestone Reed from 30 January 2012 concerning the acts of officials of prosecutor's office, Interior Ministry and on other matters, was sent by deputy Chairman of the Investigative Committee V.I. Piskarev to the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation...The court believes to be unjustified the arguments... that on the application, it was unjustifiably refused to accept and carry out the probe and thereby to treat it as a crime report, because the decision on the necessity to carry out a probe into crime reports is made by the heads of the body of criminal prosecution...On the basis of the above...order to leave ...the complaint without satisfaction..."	1-Oct-12	Ruling by Ms Skuridina refusing to compel an investigation of the concealment of the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	D1453.pdf
Sergei Gennadievich Podoprigrorov	8-Jan-74	Tverskoi District Court of Moscow	Court	A	In his role as judge, approved the arrest and prolonged detention of Mr Magnitsky, and convicted for the \$230 m theft uncovered by Mr Magnitsky a sawmill employee exonerating officials	Mr Podoprigrorov approved the arrest of Mr Magnitsky on the basis of false and unverified FSB and Interior Ministry reports	S.G. Podoprigrorov: "According to the report from FSB RF, S.L. Magnitsky is obtaining an entry visa at the embassy of Great Britain giving the right to leave the territory of RF...Contrary to the arguments of the defence, the information submitted to the court by the investigation body does not arouse doubts in its truthfulness... rule: select in relation to accused Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich the measure of restriction in the form of detention in custody."	26-Nov-08	Decision by Mr Podoprigrorov to arrest Mr Magnitsky	D1455.pdf

					Mr Podoprigrorov approved the prolongation of detention of Mr. Magnitsky	S.G. Podoprigrorov: "Contrary to the arguments of defence, the court has no grounds not to trust the information received from the Economic Security Service of FSB of Russia. As for the note provided by the defence party, the reply from the embassy of Great Britain in Moscow lacks the signature of the person who issued it, and besides, this document only contains data about the lack of information about an application for or an issuance of a visa to S.L. Magnitsky in 2008, which does not evidence the absence of other measures undertaken by the accused aimed to leave the territory of the Russian Federation...Rule: to extend the period of detention of accused Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovic in custody for three months, and in total for six months and 19 days, i.e. until 15 June 2009 inclusive."	13-Mar-09	Decision by Mr Podoprigrorov to extend the detention of Mr. Magnitsky	D1456.pdf
					Mr Podoprigrorov issued the decision to convict for the \$230 million theft a "sawmill" employee Victor Markelov in a "special" proceeding that heard no evidence and relied merely on Mr Markelov's "admission" of guilt	S.G. Podoprigrorov: "Victor Alexandrovich Markelov,...working as foreman at a sawmill DOZ-160, Lestekhinvestprom firm, registered in Atkarsk district of Saratov region...committed fraud, i.e. the theft of property of others by deceit, by a group of persons on prior conspiracy, using his position in office, in especially large amount. Thus, he being a general director of Parfenion and participant in Parfenion, Makhaon, Rilend, using his position in office, having entered in prior conspiracy with unidentified persons,...stole the budget funds of the Russian Federation and the region of city of Moscow in the amount of 5 409 503 006 rubles...Deem Markelov Victor Alexandrovich guilty ...and assign him a punishment ...in the colony of general regime for the period of five years without a fine."	28-Apr-09	Decision by Mr Podoprigrorov to sentence for the \$230 million theft a "sawmill" employee	D1457.pdf
Alexei Vyacheslavovich Krivoruchko	25-Aug-77	Tverskoi District Court of Moscow	Court A, B	In his role as judge, approved the prolonged detention of Mr Magnitsky, refused to consider his petitions about ill-treatment, and returned the lawsuit from Mr Magnitsky's mother against the posthumous prosecution of her son	Two months before Mr Magnitsky's death, Mr Krivoruchko issued the decision to extend the detention of Mr. Magnitsky in custody. During the hearing, Mr Krivoruchko refused to consider Mr Magnitsky's applications about "unbearable conditions" and the denial of medical care in custody as irrelevant, and in spite of this refusal to hear Mr Magnitsky's evidence, found in his ruling that the arguments from Mr Magnitsky and his lawyer about the degrading and inhumane conditions in detention were "unconfirmed"	A.V.Krivoruchko: "The accused S.L. Magnitsky and his defence objected to the application from the investigator, the gist and content of the arguments stated in the court proceeding include the unjustifiability of the accusation stated to S.L. Magnitsky, non-involvement of S.L. Magnitsky in committing crimes, the lack of grounds to detain S.L. Magnitsky in custody for more than six months. There are no evidence submitted confirming the arguments stated in the decree to justify the application submitted by the investigator. The conditions of detention in custody of the accused S.L. Magnitsky do not meet sanitary requirements and rules of internal order, which degrades honour and human dignity. ...At the same time, according to the information from SEB FSB of Russia [Economic Security Service of FSB] S.L. Magnitsky prior to this arrest was obtaining an entry visa at the embassy of Great Britain,...No new circumstances arose under the case that represent grounds to change the selected measure of restriction in relation to the accused S.L. Magnitsky. The court deems baseless the arguments of S.L. Magnitsky and his defence counsel about anti-sanitary conditions of detention of S.L. Magnitsky in custody because they are not confirmed by the studied materials...rule: to extend the period of detention in custody of accused Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich, born on 08 April 1972,	14-Sep-09	Decision by Mr Krivoruchko to extend detention of Mr. Magnitsky	D1458.pdf
					Mr Krivoruchko issued the decision to return the lawsuit from the lawyer for Mr Magnitsky's mother against the posthumous proceeding in relation to her son and return it to the applicant to "eliminate shortcomings," obstructing its prompt consideration	A.V. Krivoruchko: "Return the complaint to the applicant N.A. Gorokhov submitted under Article 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF by lawyer Gorokhov Nikolai Alexandrovich in the interests of Magnitskaya Natalia Nikolaevna to deem unlawful and unjustified the decree by Deputy General Prosecutor of RF from 30.07.2011 to cancel the decree from 27.11.2009 to terminate the criminal case in relation to S.L. Magnitsky due to his death to eliminate the shortcomings noted in the decree"	30-Aug-11	Decision by Mr Krivoruchko not to consider the lawsuit from lawyer for Mr Magnitsky's mother	D1459.pdf

Svetlana Vladimirovna Ukhnyalyova	14-Mar-73	Tverskoi District Court of Moscow	Court	A	In her role as judge, prolonged the detention of Mr Magnitsky in custody and refused his complaints about rights violations, obstructing his access to justice and legal remedy	Ms Ukhnaeva issued the decision to extend detention of Mr. Magnitsky in custody, citing among other grounds the availability of a travel passport, even though it had been seized by the Interior Ministry	S. Ukhnaeva: There are no grounds to cancel or change the previously selected for S.L. Magnitsky measure of restriction, because he on numerous occasions took actions to conceal the traces of crime, influenced the witnesses..., knowing their phone numbers may threaten the last two [witnesses], being free, also may flee, by having a foreign travel passport... Rule: to extend the period of detention in custody of accused Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich...for three months, and in total for nine months 19 days, i.e. until 15 September 2009 inclusive."	15-Jun-09	Decision by Ms Ukhnaeva to extend detention of Mr. Magnitsky	D1460.pdf
						Ms Ukhnaeva issued the decision to refuse the complaint from Mr. Magnitsky's lawyers about the falsified basis to bring Mr Magnitsky by force for questioning	S.V. Ukhnaeva: "During the court hearing, the applicant supported his complaint and its arguments adding ...that having regard to the the absence in the case files of the summonses themselves, it causes doubt as to the actual fact of an attempt to serve summonses on the witness on 14 and 17.11 2008... From the materials of the case including reports by operative A.A. Krechetov which the court cannot deem falsified because of the absence of any confirmation thereof, follows that on 14 and 17.11.2008 A.A.Krechetov on order from investigator to serve S.L. Magnitsky with summonses went to the place of registration of the latter, but because of the absence at the house of the witness himself and members of his family, left summonses in the mailbox....Rule leave without satisfaction the complaint ...in the interests of S.L. Magnitsky against the decree by head of the investigative group O.F. Silchenko from 21.11.2008 to bring witness S.L. Magnitsky by force under the criminal case No 153123"	5-Feb-09	Refusal by Ms Ukhnaeva of the complaint from Mr Magnitsky of the falsified basis for his arrest	D1461.pdf
						Ms Ukhnaeva issued the decision to refuse to consider the complaint from Mr. Magnitsky's lawyer against the unlawful transfer of Mr Magnitsky to a temporary holding facility on order from Interior Ministry investigator Silchenko	S.V. Ukhnaeva: "The subject of complaint may include decisions, actions (inaction) of corresponding officials whose authority is connected to the conduct of prosecution in the pre-trial proceeding under a criminal case. At the same time, the decree complained about was issued not under the conduct by investigator of the above stated duties, stipulated by the criminal procedural law, and does not have direct connection to the fulfillment by him of his criminal procedural functions during the investigation of the criminal case...rule: to refuse to accept for consideration...the complaint from Attorney D.V. Kharitonov in the interests of S.L. Magnitsky against the decree by investigator of the Investigation Committee of the Interior Ministry of Russia O.F. Silchenko to transfer S.L. Magnitsky to a temporary holding facility."	2-Mar-09	Refusal by Ms Ukhnaeva to consider the complaint from Mr Magnitsky about his unlawful transfer to the Interior Ministry's temporary holding facility	D1463.pdf
Yelena Vladimirovna Stashina	5-Nov-63	Tverskoi District Court of Moscow	Court	A	In her position as judge, sanctioned the prolongation of Mr Magnitsky's detention in custody four days before his death	Ms Stashina issued the decision to extend the detention of Mr. Magnitsky four days before his death in custody. During the hearing, Ms Stashina refused petitions from Mr Magnitsky and his lawyers	Y.V. Stashina: "The court believes...that the grounds to believe that...the accused S.L. Magnitsky may flee from the body of preliminary investigation and court, threaten witnesses, obstruct the proceeding under the criminal case in another way, have not been eliminated... The court finds no grounds to select in relation to the accused a measure of restriction, milder than detention in custody...rule: to extend the period of detention in custody of accused under the criminal case No 311578 Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich to 11 (eleven) days, and in total fro 12 (twelve) months 00 days, i.e. until 26 November 2009, inclusive."	12-Nov-09	Decision by Ms Stashina to extend detention of Mr. Magnitsky	D1466.pdf

Sergei Mikhialovich Markov	14-Sep-53	Moscow City Court	Court	A	In his position as judge, refused the appeal against Mr Magnitsky's arrest	Mr Markov refused the appeal against the decision to arrest Mr Magnitsky	S.M. Markov: "The collegium of judges on criminal case of the Moscow city court comprising: the chair S.M. Markov, judges S.V. Andreeva and L.I. Nikolenko has considered in a court hearing on 15 December 2008 the cassation appeals by lawyers T.V. Gridnev and D.V. Kharitonov of the ruling by the Tverskoi District Court of Moscow from 26 November 2009 which chose a measure of restraint in the form of custodial detention for Sergei Leonidovich Magnitsky...the lawyers do not agree with the court ruling, believe it is not based on evidence. The lawyers believe that the conclusion that Magnitsky pressured witnesses, obstructed the case, tried to hide from the investigative bodies, did not live at the place of residence was not based on factual circumstances...note that the investigative bodies breached the statutory deadline for submitting the application to choose a measure of restraint for Magnitsky. In lawyers' opinion, the court in its ruling cited the circumstances which were not confirmed by the materials submitted to the court and did not account for the information about the character of the accused. [They] request to cancel the ruling. Having checked the case materials, discussed the points of the cassation appeals, the judiciary collegium finds that the court ruling should be kept with no	15-Dec-08	Refusal by Mr Markov of the appeal against the decision to arrest Mr Magnitsky	D1471.pdf
Svetlana Viktorovna Andreeva	1-Dec-65	Moscow City Court	Court	A	In her position as judge, refused the appeals against Mr Magnitsky's arrest and the use of falsified Interior Ministry reports to justify his detention	Ms Andreeva refused the appeal against the decision to arrest Mr Magnitsky	S.V. Andreeva: "The collegium of judges on criminal case of the Moscow city court comprising: the chair S.M. Markov, judges S.V. Andreeva and L.I. Nikolenko has considered in a court hearing on 15 December 2008 the cassation appeals by lawyers T.V. Gridnev and D.V. Kharitonov of the ruling by the Tverskoi District Court of Moscow from 26 November 2009 which chose a measure of restraint in the form of custodial detention for Sergei Leonidovich Magnitsky...the lawyers do not agree with the court ruling, believe it is not based on evidence. The lawyers believe that the conclusion that Magnitsky pressured witnesses, obstructed the case, tried to hide from the investigative bodies, did not live at the place of residence was not based on factual circumstances...note that the investigative bodies breached the statutory deadline for submitting the application to choose a measure of restraint for Magnitsky. In lawyers' opinion, the court in its ruling cited the circumstances which were not confirmed by the materials submitted to the court and did not account for the information about the character of the accused. [They] request to cancel the ruling. Having checked the case materials, discussed the points of the cassation appeals, the judiciary collegium finds that the court ruling should be kept with no	15-Dec-08	Refusal by Ms Andreeva of the appeal against the decision to arrest Mr Magnitsky	D1472.pdf
						Ms Andreeva refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers, which was against the use of false reports from an Interior Ministry operative to justify the decision to bring Mr Magnitsky by force for questioning	S.V. Andreeva: "The collegium of judges on criminal cases of the Moscow city court comprising: chair judge N.V. Sharapova; judges L.I. Nikolenko; S.V. Andreeva...has determined: Attorney Kharitonov in the defence of interests of Magnitsky applied to the Tverskoi district court of city of Moscow with a complaint seeking to recognise as unlawful and unjustified the decree by investigator Silchenko to bring witness Magnitsky by force under the criminal case the investigator was in charge...the court [of first instance] had no grounds to believe that the reports by a police employee had been falsified; there was also no data to believe that the police employee did not fulfill the order from investigator...with these data, the judicial collegium believes that the court justifiably arrived to the conclusion about the absence of grounds to satisfy the complaint from applicant attorney Kharitonov."	15-Apr-09	Refusal by Ms Andreeva of complaint against the use of falsified reports to bring Magnitsky by force to the Interior Ministry	D1795.pdf

Lyubov Ivanovna Nikolenko	18-Mar-57	Moscow City Court	Court	A	In her position as judge, refused the appeals against Mr Magnitsky's arrest and the use of falsified Interior Ministry reports to justify his detention	Ms Nikolenko refused the appeal against the decision to arrest Mr Magnitsky	L.I. Nikolenko: "The collegium of judges on criminal case of the Moscow city court comprising: the chair S.M. Markov, judges S.V. Andreeva and L.I. Nikolenko has considered in a court hearing on 15 December 2008 the cassation appeals by lawyers T.V. Gridnev and D.V. Kharitonov of the ruling by the Tverskoi District Court of Moscow from 26 November 2009 which chose a measure of restraint in the form of custodial detention for Sergei Leonidovich Magnitsky...the lawyers do not agree with the court ruling, believe it is not based on evidence. The lawyers believe that the conclusion that Magnitsky pressured witnesses, obstructed the case, tried to hide from the investigative bodies, did not live at the place of residence was not based on factual circumstances...note that the investigative bodies breached the statutory deadline for submitting the application to choose a measure of restraint for Magnitsky. In lawyers' opinion, the court in its ruling cited the circumstances which were not confirmed by the materials submitted to the court and did not account for the information about the character of the accused. [They] request to cancel the ruling. Having checked the case materials, discussed the points of the cassation appeals, the judiciary collegium finds that the court ruling should be kept with no changes."	15-Dec-08	Refusal by Ms Nikolenko of the appeal against the decision to arrest Mr Magnitsky	D1473.pdf
						Ms Nikolenko refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers against the decision of Interior Ministry Investigator Silchenko to bring Mr Magnitsky by force for questioning as a witness	L.I. Nikolenko: "The collegium of judges on criminal cases of the Moscow city court comprising: chair judge N.V. Sharapova; judges L.I. Nikolenko; S.V. Andreeva...has determined: Attorney Kharitonov in the defence of interests of Magnitsky applied to the Tverskoi district court of city of Moscow with a complaint seeking to recognise as unlawful and unjustified the decree by investigator Silchenko to bring witness Magnitsky by force under the criminal case the investigator was in charge...the court [of first instance] had no grounds to believe that the reports by a police employee had been falsified; there was also no data to believe that the police employee did not fulfill the order from investigator...with these data, the judicial collegium believes that the court justifiably arrived to the conclusion about the absence of grounds to satisfy the complaint from applicant attorney Kharitonov."	15-Apr-09	Refusal by Ms Nikolenko of complaint against the use of falsified reports to bring Magnitsky by force to the Interior Ministry	D1796.pdf
Nina Vasilievna Sharapova	15-Jul-68	Moscow City Court	Court	A	In her position as judge, refused the complaint against the use of falsified reports to bring Mr Magnitsky by force to the Interior Ministry	Ms Sharapova refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers against the decision of Interior Ministry Investigator Silchenko to bring Mr Magnitsky by force for questioning as a witness being based on false reports by an Interior Ministry operative	N.V. Sharapova: "The collegium of judges on criminal cases of the Moscow city court comprising: chair judge N.V. Sharapova; judges L.I. Nikolenko; S.V. Andreeva...has determined: Attorney Kharitonov in the defence of interests of Magnitsky applied to the Tverskoi district court of city of Moscow with a complaint seeking to recognise as unlawful and unjustified the decree by investigator Silchenko to bring witness Magnitsky by force under the criminal case the investigator was in charge...the court [of first instance] had no grounds to believe that the reports by a police employee had been falsified; there was also no data to believe that the police employee did not fulfill the order from investigator...with these data, the judicial collegium believes that the court justifiably arrived to the conclusion about the absence of grounds to satisfy the complaint from applicant attorney Kharitonov."	15-Apr-09	Refusal by Ms Sharapova of complaint against the use of falsified reports to bring Magnitsky by force to the Interior Ministry	D1474.pdf

Oksana Valerievna Rolgeizer	2-Jan-76	Moscow City Court	Court	A	In her position as judge, refused the appeal against the decision to prolong Mr Magnitsky's detention	Ms Rolgeizer refused the appeal against the decision to prolong Mr Magnitsky's detention	O.V. Rolgeizer: "The collegium of judges on criminal cases of the Moscow city court comprising: chair judge S.M. Markov; judges O.V. Rolgeizer, L.I. Nikolenko, has considered in a court hearing on 03 August 2009 cassation appeals of lawyers D.V. Kharitonov and E.A. Oreshnikova, and also of S.L. Magnitsky of the ruling by Tverskoi district court of Moscow from 15 June 2009 which extended for 3 months... the period of detention in custody of Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich...The accused S.L. Magnitsky in the cassation appeal also does not agree with the court ruling and requests to cancel it as unlawful and unjustified stating the following. The court restricted the time for his review of materials submitted by the investigator in justification of his application, thereby the right of Magnitsky for defence was violated because he did not manage to duly prepare for the court hearing; his review of that material was conducted in conditions which excluded the possibility of the written work with documents (on a bench, without a table) and in the absence of Magnitsky's lawyers...; during the hearing in the court room Magnitsky was isolated from his lawyers and again because of this could not use the legal assistance;...the basis for the court decision formed inadmissible evidence (documents) which exist in the materials submitted by the investigation, and the application to exclude them from evidence made in the court room was unjustifiably refused; the court did not assess the grounds for the accusation stated to Magnitsky and the need to select the measure of restriction in the form of detention in custody;...the conclusions in the ruling about the possibility for Magnitsky to commit any unlawful acts in order to obstruct justice are not supported by anything...	3-Aug-09	Refusal by Ms Rolgeizer of the appeal against the decision to prolong Mr Magnitsky's detention	D1475.pdf
							Cont'd. ...The arguments about the incomplete examination of materials under the application, that the explanations from the accused and his lawyers on the substance of the case in the ruling were not examined, and that the justification of the accusation stated to Magnitsky was not checked as well as references to the judicial decision being factually unsupported and unreasoned, and relying on inadmissible evidence the judicial collegium finds baseless for the following reasons. The protocol of court hearing shows that the court in full studied all submitted documents, and heard participants in the proceeding both from the prosecution side and from the defence side. As fairly noted by the court of first instance, when resolving the application from Magnitsky to exclude a series of documents from admissible evidence, while addressing the question about the extension of the period of detention of a person in custody, the court does not have the right to assess the evidence gathered under the case. For the same reason at this stage the assessment of the justifiability of issuing an accusation cannot be made...Therefore, the judicial collegium finds no grounds to change the court ruling based on grounds of cassation appeals. The judicial collegium also sees no other grounds to re-consider this court decision in order of cassation."			

Larisa Mikhailovna Vodopianova	4-Aug-56	Moscow City Court	Court	A	In her position as Moscow city judge, refused the appeal against Mr Magnitsky's detention in custody	Ms Vodopianova refused the appeal from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers against his detention in custody finding the court decision was based on "objective" data in spite of it being based on unverified reports from FSB and Interior Ministry officers	L.M. Vodopianova: "Judge of the Moscow city court L.M. Vodopianova having studied the appeal for oversight from lawyers D.V. Kharitonov and E.A. Oreshnikova in the defence of interests of S.L. Magnitsky to cancel the ruling of Tverskoi district court of city of Moscow from 26 November 2008 and the determination from judicial collegium on criminal cases of the Moscow City Court from 15 December 2008, has determined:...In appeal for oversight, lawyers D.V. Kharitonov and E.A. Oreshnikova express disagreements with the enforced court decisions, point their unlawfulness due to the violations of the norms of criminal procedural legislation and the lack of justification for applying such measure of restriction as detention in custody. Having studied the appeal for oversight, having checked the court decisions, [I] find, that the appeal for oversight is not subject to satisfaction for the following grounds....The judicial decision to detain into custody as a measure of restriction in relation to S.L. Magnitsky is based on objective data contained in the submitted materials, and adopted in accordance with provisions of Article 108 of the Criminal Procedural Code of RF...There are no exceptional circumstances seen giving grounds to change the measure of restriction in relation to S.L. Magnitsky. No violations of the criminal procedural law resulting in the unconditional cancelation of court decisions have been identified....Rule... to refuse the satisfaction of the appeal for oversight..."	29-May-09	Refusal by Ms Vodopianova of appeal against Mr Magnitsky's arrest	D1476.pdf
Tatiana Mikhailovna Vasyuchenko	11-Jul-69	Presnensjy District Court	Court	B	In her position as judge refused the application seeking to compel a prompt and thorough investigation of the criminal conspiracy involved in tax refunds via tax inspections no 25 and 28 and the information about the enrichment of tax officials and their family members	Ms Vasyuchenko refused the application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, seeking to compel the investigation of systematic tax refunds by Moscow tax offices No 25 and 28 in Moscow and the illicit enrichment of families of tax officials	T.M. Vasyuchenko: "Having considered in an open court materials of the complaint of the applicant...requesting to deem unlawful the acts of senior investigator of the Moscow Investigative Branch of the Investigative Committee of Russia A.V. Spesivtsev and acting head of the second section for investigation of especially important cases of the Moscow Investigative Branch of the Investigative Committee of Russia V.V. Itkin, ...In the complaint, it is stated by attorney A.F. Antipov that J. Firestone had sent to the Investigative Committee of RF a report about the crime as he believes having been committed by former head of tax inspection No 28 in Moscow Stepanova and other public officials of tax inspections...On 08.06.2011, senior investigator of the Moscow Investigative Branch of the Investigative Committee of Russia A.V. Spesivtsev issued a decree to attach the crime report to the materials of the criminal case...The stated circumstances do not evidence the violation of any constitutional rights of the applicant and do not obstruct his access to justice because the public official will check the arguments stated in the application from Firestone within the framework of the criminal case under investigation...Rule: to leave without satisfaction the complaint..."	30-Sep-11	Refusal by Ms Vasyuchenko of the application to investigate tax officials behind tax refunds	D1479.pdf
Dmitry Vladimirovich Dolgoplov		Presnensky District Court of Moscow	Court	B	In his position as judge, refused to consider the application seeking to compel an investigation of tax officials involved in the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	Mr Dolgoplov refused to consider an application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, seeking to compel an investigation of tax officials in the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	D.V. Dolgoplov: "The complaint is motivated by stating that on 15.04.2011 J. Firestone addressed to the Investigative Committee of RF a report about a crime committed in the opinion of the applicant by employees of Inspection of the Federal Tax Service No 28 of Moscow. On 11.05.2011 this crime report was forwarded for consideration based on authority to the Main Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee of RF of Moscow. On 20.05.2011 deputy head of the Main Investigative Department of the Investigative Committee of RF in Moscow P.A. Titov made the decision to attach the report from J. Firestone to materials of the criminal case No 344212. The applicant believes that the decision to attach that crime report to materials of the criminal case No 344212 was made unlawfully, caused factual lengthy non-consideration of the crime report on substance and restricts the applicant's access to justice. Having considered the complaint..., the court believes that it is not subject for consideration under Article 125 of the Criminal Procedural Code and must be refused for acceptance. The arguments of the applicant stated in the complaint have already been the topic of judicial consideration... Rule: to refuse in acceptance for consideration of the complaint... in the interests of	20-Mar-12	Refusal by Mr Dolgoplov to consider the application seeking an investigation of tax officials in the criminal conspiracy uncovered by Mr Magnitsky	D1481.pdf

Natalia Nikolaevna Dudar	13-Jun-74	Basmany District Court of Moscow	Court	B	In her position as judge, refused the applications seeking to compel a thorough investigation in relation to the fraudulent tax refunds by Moscow tax officials	Ms Dudar refused an application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, seeking to compel the Investigative Committee to notify of its decision on the crime report filed by him on 15 April 2011 in relation to the fraudulent tax refunds by Moscow tax officials and their alleged enrichment	N.N. Dudar: "Federal Judge of Basmany District Court of Moscow N.N. Dudar...determined: in the complaint submitted under Article 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF, applicant's attorney A.F. Antipov states that on 15 April 2011 J. Firestone sent to the Investigative Committee of RF a report of crime committed by former head of tax inspection No 28 of Moscow O.G. Stepanova and other public officials of tax inspections...Until now none of the decisions stipulated in the law in relation to the crime report from J.R. Firestone have been made, he has not been informed about the location of his report and the steps undertaken on it. Having regard to this, applicant attorney A.F. Antipov believes the actions (ommissions) of investigator D.A. Gaiduk to be unlawful, breaching rights of J.R. Firestone and principles of judicial proceeding, stipulated by Articles 6,7 of the Criminal Procedure Code of RF...the oversight over adherence to the law during preliminary investigation is imposed on the prosecutor. According to the current legislation, the court does not have the authority to conduct an oversight over the activities of bodies of preliminary investigation and prosecutor's office, and over the fulfillment by them of their duties...The court takes into account that the decision on the order in which to conduct the probe on reports received by the Investigative Committee of the Russian Federation is made by the management of the body of criminal prosecution...During the investigation of the said criminal case, the accusation was not issued, the measure of restraint was not selected, of which the applicant was informed...Accordingly, there are no grounds to conclude the senior investigator...D.A. Gaiduk allowed unlawful acts or ommision...Rule to leave without satisfaciton the complaint"	22-Dec-11	Refusal by Ms Dudar of application seeking notification of the investigation of tax officials behind tax refunds	D1483.pdf
						Ms Dudar refused an application from Mr Magnitsky's colleague, Mr Firestone, seeking to compel the Investigative Committee to investigate the fraudulent tax refunds by Moscow tax officials and information about their alleged enrichment	N.N. Dudar: "...The court takes into consideration that the decision to conduct a probe into reports received by the Investigative Committee's branch for the Central Federal District is made by the head of the body of judicial prosecution...The court is not a body of judicial prosecution, is not acting on the part of defence or prosecution, the function of resolving the case is imposed on it. In line with the above, there are no grounds whatever to conclude that any of the employees of the Investigative Committee for Central Federal District have committed unlawful acts or omissions or made an unlawful decision....the above stated actions and omission by officials of the Investigative Committee of the Rusisan Federation for Central Federal District do not obstruct an opportunity for J. Firestone to submit a complaint to the court, apply to the state bodies and bodies of local governance...Under these circumstances,...Order to leave the complaint without satisfaction ..."	31-Jul-12	Refusal by Ms Dudar of application seeking a thorough investigation of tax officials behind tax refunds	D1482.pdf
Private Individuals										
Dmitry Vladislavovich Klyuev	10-Aug-67	Owner of Universal Savings Bank, recipient of fraudulent tax rebates in 2006-2008	PI	D	Owner of Universal Savings Bank, recipient of fraudulent tax rebates in 2006-2008	Dmitry Klyuev was convicted in the 2005-2006 Mikhailovsky GOK case. He was given a two-year suspended sentence	D.V.Klyuev is guilty of organizing the infliction of property damage by a group of persons under preliminary concert on the owner through deceit despite the absense of indicia in a large amount	12-Jul-06	Conviction of Mr Klyuev in Mikhailovsky GOK case	D1653.pdf

					In his testimony under the Mikhailovsky GOK criminal case, he confirmed that he owned Universal Savings Bank through nominees. Subsequently he claimed to have sold the bank in 2006 to "Semyon Korobeinikov" who died in 2008 and could not corroborate it	"In order to obtain the required bank guarantee, I contacted Mr Igor Zhlobitsky, who was at that time the Chairman of the Board of Directors of Commercial Bank "Universalny Bank Sberezhniy" [Universal Savings Bank]. Actually, it was my bank. I bought this bank in November 2004 from the former owners and re-registered it to a number of companies which were effectively controlled by me, where the nominal directors and shareholders of such companies, were friends of Mr Sergei Orlov, who I have known for several years."	4-Aug-05	Testimony by Mr Klyuev	D1635.pdf	
					Before the Mikhailovsky GOK trial, in April 2006 Mr Klyuev traveled with Mikhailovsky GOK investigators Anton Golyshev and Pavel Karpov to Cyprus	Travel data	5-Apr-06	Travel data	D1858.pdf	
					Mr Klyuev traveled together with Head of Moscow Tax Office No 28 Olga Stepanova	Travel data	16-Jan-07	Travel data	D1859.pdf	
					Mr Klyuev traveled together with Interior Ministry officer Artyom Kuznetsov in April 2007 shortly before the Hermitage's offices were raided and documents seized used to misappropriate the Hermitage Fund's companies and their tax revenue	Travel data	Apr-07	Travel data	D1860.pdf	
Victor Alexandrovich Markelov	15-Dec-67	False director	PI	D	Nominal figure in whose company's name the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies had been fraudulently re-registered, who took part in collusive court proceedings, and applied for the fraudulent tax refund	In Tatarstan Arbitration Court, Case No A65-13793/2007, Mr Markelov by deceit claimed the ownership of the three Russian subsidiaries of Hermitage Fund and received court orders on 30.07.2007	"I, V.A.Markelov received personally the court execution order in relation to the case No. A65-15358/07"	30-Jul-07	Court record naming Mr Markelov	D1646.pdf
					In the collusive court proceeding at the Tatarstan Arbitration Court, case No. A65-26689/2007, Mr Markelov "represented" OOO Parfenion, the company misappropriated from the Hermitage Fund and fraudulently re-registered to Mr Markelov's company, Pluton. He consented to the false liabilities against Parfenion under forged contracts in the amount of 13.blr rubles (US\$575mln)	"OOO Parfenion fully acknowledges and accepts all claims and do not have any objections... V.A.Markelov"	13-Nov-07	Court record naming Mr Markelov	D1648.pdf	
					On 17 December 2007, a week before the fraudulent tax refund, Mr Markelov opened a new bank account for Parfenion, misappropriated from Hermitage Fund, at Intercommerz Bank, which on 26 December 2007 received the fraudulent tax refund. Mr Markelov closed the bank account on 6 February 2008	New bank card for Mr Markelov	17 December 2007- 6 February 2008	Bank records naming Mr Markelov	D1841.pdf	

					Mr Markelov was convicted in April 2009 for the \$230 m theft of tax funds in a "special" proceeding which heard no evidence. Moscow Tax Offices No 25 and No 28 which approved the tax refunds were recognised as "victims". Mr Markelov was entered in court records approved by Deputy General Prosecutor V. Grin as a "sawmill" employee. The sawmill he allegedly worked at, does not appear to exist	V.A. Markelov committed fraud, i.e., theft of another's property by means of deception, by prior collusion by a group of persons while making use of his official position, and in an especially large amount.	28-Apr-09	Court verdict for Mr Markelov	D1649.pdf	
Vyacheslav Georgievich Khlebnikov	9-Jul-67	False director	PI	D	Nominal figure who was "appointed" as director of the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies and applied for the fraudulent tax refund	After initially being cleared from criminal prosecution, after Mr Magnitsky's death and the public questions about the criminal conspiracy he had uncovered, Mr Khlebnikov was convicted for the \$230 m theft of tax funds in a "special" proceeding which heard no evidence. Mr Khlebnikov was entered in court records as a "jobless" person. No officials were prosecuted for the fraudulent refunds from the Treasury	Before 3 September 2007, Khlebnikov acted in collusion with V.A. Markelov (convicted for this crime by decision of Tverskoy District Court dated 28 April 2009) and other persons to embezzle the budgetary funds of the Russian Federation and the city of Moscow, the RF entity, by illegal refunding of allegedly overpaid income tax for the year of 2006.	10-Mar-11	Court verdict for Mr Khlebnikov	D1650.pdf
					On 12 December 2007, two weeks before the fraudulent tax refund, Mr Khlebnikov opened a new bank account for Makhaon, misappropriated from the Hermitage Fund, at Universal Savings Bank which on 26 December 2007 received the fraudulent tax refund. Mr Khlebnikov closed the bank account on 6 February 2008	New bank card for Mr Khlebnikov	12 December 2007 - 6 February 2008	Bank records naming Mr Khlebnikov	D1839.pdf	
Vladlen Yurievich Stepanov	17-Jul-62	NA	PI	D	Involved in wiring funds to purchase real estate for officials from Moscow Tax Office No 28, including his later ex wife, Ms Olga Stepanova, as well as Ms Tsareva and Ms Anisimova	Seven payment orders totaling \$2.7 million of the total \$4 million purchase price of the two apartments in Keminski Resort Palm Jumeirah, Dubai	Payment orders	Payment orders	D1862.pdf	
Gennady Nikolaevich Plaksin	31-Aug-61	Chairman of the board and nominal shareholder of Universal Savings Bank	PI	D	Nominal plaintiff in collusive court proceedings which resulted in multi-million liabilities against the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies used to obtain tax refunds	The claim was filed on behalf OOO Instar, a company registered to Mr Plaksin, with Moscow Arbitration Court, case No. A40-54502/07 to seek under falsified contracts 7.5bln rubles (US\$325mln) liabilities from Rilend, the misappropriated subsidiary of the Hermitage Fund	"Based on the above to recover in simplified procedure from OOO Rilend 7573714200 rubles"	6-Dec-07	Court record naming Plaksin	D1642.pdf
					Mr Plaksin gave evidence to the Interior Ministry used to conceal the criminal conspiracy where he referred to a deceased Mr Gasanov as mastermind behind the crime	"I am general director of OOO Instar. This company I manage on request of my acquaintance Oktai Gasanov, with whom I got acquainted in 2005 in a ladies underwear shop owned by me... At the end of summer 2007, Gasanov asked me to participate in some arbitration cases"	8-Jul-08	Testimony by Mr Plaksin	D1643.pdf	

Alexei Nikolaevich Sheshenya	16-Apr-71	Nominal plaintiff	PI	D	Nominal plaintiff in collusive court proceedings which resulted in multi-million liabilities against the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies used to obtain tax refunds	The claim was filed on behalf of OOO Grand Aktive, a company registered to Mr Sheshenya, with Tatarstan Arbitration Court, case No. A65-26689/2007 to seek under falsified contracts 13.blm rubles (US\$575mln) from Parfenion, the misappropriated subsidiary of the Hermitage Fund.	"To recover from OOO Parfenion and OOO Pluton for the benefit of OOO Grand Active 7100000 rubles"	13-Nov-07	Court record naming Sheshenya	D1644.pdf
						Mr Sheshenya gave testimony to Interior Ministry used to conceal the criminal conspiracy. He said he acted on a request of a deceased Oktai Gasanov. According to court records, he signed and submitted the lawsuit on 22.10.2007 and issued a power of attorney to lawyer Andrei Pavlov on 12.11.2007, some time after Mr Gasanov died on 01.10.2007	"I was General Director of OOO Grand Active... This company I owned under request of Gasanov Oktai Gasanovich"....	8-Jul-08	Testimony by Mr Sheshenya	D1645.pdf
Andrei Alexeevich Pavlov	7-Aug-77	Lawyer	PI	D	Lawyer involved in collusive court proceedings which resulted in multi-million liabilities used to obtain fraudulent tax refunds	In St. Petersburg Arbitration court, case no A56-22479/2009, Mr Pavlov "represented" Rilend, the misappropriated subsidiary of Hermitage Fund, on a power of attorney from a previously convicted Mr Kurochkin, as Defendant, and consented to liabilities under falsified contract, leading to 7.5blm rubles (US\$325mln) judgment against Rilend	Pavlov: "Hereby the defendant declares to the court that he is familiar with the claim and does not have objections to the essence of it and fully acknowledges and accepts all liabilities associated with it. The consequences of consent with the claim are clear".	3-Sep-07	Court record naming Mr Pavlov	D1637.pdf
						In Tatarstan Arbitration court, case no A65-26689/2007, Pavlov represented Grand-Active (claimant) against Parfenion, the misappropriated subsidiary of Hermitage, and requested 13.9blm rubles (US\$575mln) liabilities under a falsified contract	"Hereby the claimant declares about increase of the claim up to 13,880,521,978 rubles. This amount is owed by defendant as per cancellation agreement on [securities] supply and is not paid until now. Claimant representative lawyer A.A.Pavlov"	22-Oct-07	Court record naming Mr Pavlov	D1638.pdf
						A year before the fraud against the Hermitage Fund companies, Mr Pavlov acted in the Tatarstan Court using similar falsified contracts against Financial Investments, a former subsidiary of RenGaz, claiming 10 blm rubles of forged liabilities used to obtain a tax refund from the same Moscow Tax Office No 28	"To claim from OOO Finansovie Investizii and OOO Betakh the losses in the amount of 10105039188 rubles"	26-Apr-06	Court record naming Mr Pavlov	D1647.pdf
Yulia Mikhailovna Maiorova	23-Apr-79	Lawyer	PI	D	Lawyer involved in collusive court proceedings which resulted in multi-million liabilities used to obtain fraudulent tax refunds	In St. Petersburg Arbitration court, case no A56-22474/2009, Ms Maiorova "represented" Makhaon, a misappropriated subsidiary of Hermitage Fund, and consented to liabilities under a falsified contract leading to 1.7blm rubles (US\$70mln) judgment against Makhaon	Maiorova on 1.7blm rubles (US\$70mln) liabilities under falsified contract: "the defendant acknowledges and accepts the claim".	4-Sep-07	Court record naming Ms Maiorova	D1640.pdf

					In the Moscow Arbitration court, case no A40-54502/07, Ms Maiorova "represented" Rilend, a misappropriated subsidiary of Hermitage Fund, and consented to liabilities under a falsified contract leading to 7.5bln rubles (US\$325mln) judgment against Rilend	Court decision received by representative of defendant Y.M.Maiorova	11-Dec-07	Court record naming Ms Maiorova	D1641.pdf	
					In her 2006 UK visa application, Ms Maiorova, wife of lawyer Andrei Pavlov, indicated she would be traveling with officer Pavel Karpov in whose custody the documents for the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies seized in the 4 June 2007 would be kept	UK visa application by Ms Mairova	29-Dec-06	UK visa application by Ms Maiorova naming Mr Karpov		
Ekaterina Alexandrovna Maltseva		Lawyer	PI	D	Lawyer involved in collusive court proceedings which resulted in false liabilities against the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies used to obtain tax refunds	Minutes of the court hearings St.Petersburg Arbitration Court case No.A56-22484/2007 showed Ms Misyukevich consenting to US\$110,000 in liabilities based on forged contracts against Parfenion, the misappropriated subsidiary of the Hermitage Fund	"the defendant acknowledges the claim"	21-Sep-07	Court record naming Ms Maltseva	D1870.pdf
Elena Gennadievna Shulgina	1967	Lawyer	PI	D	Lawyer involved in collusive court proceedings which resulted in false liabilities against the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies used to obtain tax refunds	Mr Shulgina was involved in the St. Petersburg Arbitration Court proceeding representing a claim filed using stolen identity to obtain US\$110,000 judgement against Parfenion, the misappropriated subsidiary of the Hermitage Fund, based on forged contracts	"Hereby the Plaintiff informs the court that we have received the reply from the Defendant in accordance to which that the Defendant has no position in relation to the essence of the claim and does not object against the satisfaction of the claim"	21-Sep-07	Court record naming Ms Shulgina	D1871.pdf
Anton Sergeevich Turukhin	8-Nov-76	Lawyer	PI	D	Lawyer involved in collusive court proceedings which resulted in multi-million liabilities against the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies used to obtain tax refunds	Mr Turukhin was involved in the St.Petersburg Arbitration Court proceeding on the case No.A56-22479/2007 representing a claim filed using stolen identity to obtain US\$325mln (7.5bln rubles) against Rilend, the misappropriated subsidiary of the Hermitage Fund, based on forged contracts	"The plaintiff requests to increase the amount of claim up to 7 557 858 000 rubles", "To recover from OOO Rilend for the benefit of ZAO Logos Plus 7 557 858 000 rubles of liabilities"	21-Sep-07	Court record naming Mr Turukhin	D1687.pdf
Kirill Alexandrovich Yakovlev	21-Apr-75	Lawyer	PI	D	Lawyer involved in collusive court proceedings which resulted in multi-million liabilities against the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies used to obtain tax refunds	Mr Yakovlev was involved in the St.Petersburg Arbitration Court proceeding on the case No.A56-22479/2007 representing a claim filed using stolen identity to obtain US\$325mln (7.5bln rubles) against Rilend, the misappropriated subsidiary of the Hermitage Fund, based on forged contracts	"To recover from OOO Rilend for the benefit of ZAO Logos Plus 7 557 858 000 rubles of liabilities"	27-Aug-07	Court record naming Mr Yakovlev	D1688.pdf
Marina Vadimovna Misyukevich	29-Aug-80	Lawyer	PI	D	Lawyer acting for a false director of the misappropriated Hermitage Fund company, Rilend to conceal the criminal conspiracy	Ms Misyukevich acted in arbitration court proceedings, using power of attorney issued by false director of the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's company (V.N. Kurochkin) to resist the legal action from the Hermitage Fund to invalidate the arbitration court awards of false liabilities used to obtain fraudulent tax refunds	Decree by 10th Arbitration Court of Appeal: "From plaintiff: ...M.V. Misyukevich, acting on power of attorney signed by general director V.N. Kurochkin on 07.02.2008...Representative of OOO Rilend acting on power of attorney issued by general director V.N. Kurochkin, in court did not agree to the appeal arguments..., stated that [she] M.V. Misyukevich is at the present time the only plenipotentiary representative of OOO Rilend."	24-Mar-08	Court record naming Ms Misyukevich	D1655.pdf

Alexander Yurievich Smetanin	23-May-65	Nominal owner	PI	D	As a nominal owner of the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies, was involved in the effort to liquidate them and cover up the criminal conspiracy	After the \$230 m fraud was perpetrated, Mr Markelov's company, Pluton, allegedly "sold" the three stolen Hermitage Fund's companies, Rilend, Makhaon and Parfenion, to Mr Smetanin, a foreman from Novocherkassk, for 18,000 rubles (US\$600), in spite of more than 900,000 rubles (US\$30,000) being held on companies' accounts	Boily Systems Ltd incorporated under laws of British Virgin Islands ("the Buyer")... represented by its authorised representative Mr.Alexander Smetanin...Pluton, Kazan, republic of Tatarstan, Russian Federation ("the Seller") represented by its Director Mr. Markelov Victor Aleksandrovitch... LLC Parfenion, LLC Makhaon, LLLC Rilend... (the Companies"). The Seller is willing to sell to the Buyer and the Buyer is willing to buy from the Seller, it's rights on the charter capital of the Companies.. The purchase price fro the Capital shall be Roubles Eighteen thousands (RUR 18000).	8-Feb-08	Sales agreement by Mr Smetanin with Mr Markelov	D1781.pdf
						Shortly after "buying" the stolen Hermitage Fund's companies from Mr Markelov, Mr Smetanin ordered to liquidate them due to "no need" to continue with their business operations	A.Y. Smetanin: "To liquidate...[Rilend, Makhaon and Parfenion] due to no necessity for further use in business activity"	24-Mar-08	Decision by Mr Smetanin to liquidate three companies	D1782.pdf
Rimma Mikhailovna Starova	10-Apr-38	Nominal director	PI	D	As a nominal director of the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies, was involved in the effort to liquidate them and cover up the criminal conspiracy	Following the "sale" of the misappropriated companies from Mr Markelov's company, Pluton, to Mr Smetanin, he "appointed" as director of the stolen companies Ms Starova, a pensioner from Novocherkassk	To appoint as General Director.. Starova Rimma Mikhailovna	28-Feb-08	Appointment of Ms Starova	D1810.pdf
						Ms Starova "engaged" the services of Mr. Shcherbakov to liquidate the misappropriated subsidiaries of the Hermitage Fund	"Delegate to Executor registration and support of voluntary liquidation", "Cost of services of Executor in accordance with this agreement is 15000 rubles per month"	24-Mar-08	Engagement by Ms Starova of liquidator	D1874.pdf
Dmitry Vyacheslavovich Scherbakov	12-Dec-80	Liquidator	PI	D	As hired liquidator, was involved in the effort of the criminal conspiracy to liquidate the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies to cover it up	Mr Shcherbakov was appointed to liquidate Rilend, Makhaon and Parfenion misappropriated from the Hermitage Fund in order to cover up the fraud against the fund and the Russian treasury. The appointment was issued by a "foreman" from Novocherkassk, Mr Smetanin registered as "new owner" of the stolen companies	"To liquidate...due to no necessity for further use in business activity", "to appoint Shcherbakov Dmitry Vyacheslavovich as Chairman of Liquidation Committee"	24-Mar-08	Decision to appoint Mr Scherbakov as liquidator	D1873.pdf
Yuri Nikolaevich Nikolaev	24-Jul-78	Lawyer	PI	D	Lawyer who represented fraudulent owners of the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies and resisted attempts to expose the criminal conspiracy behind the misappropriation of the Hermitage Fund's companies and their \$230 m tax revenue	Mr Nikolaev represented fraudulent owners of the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies using legal proceedings to "legitimise" the misappropriation of the companies, including via a collusive petition filed with the Arbitration court in Kazan referring to falsified documents used by the criminal conspiracy to conceal the misappropriation and fraud	"in the framework of these hearing there was a petition to invalidate sale and purchase agreements of shares of Makhaon, Parfenion and Rilend as of 31.07.2007... The Plaintiff was not part of these agreements and there was no ability to provide these agreements...Based on the above we ask the court to... request from Investigative Department of Interior Ministry of Central Federal District (address: Moscow, Rustavelli, 8a, Cheif Investigator ..Major Budilo Nikolai Nikolaevich, tel (495) 619-7955) from the files of Case No. 153107 ... certified copies of: - sale and purchase agreements of shares of Rilend between Glendora Holding and OOO Pluton as of 31.07.2007; - sale and purchase agreements of shares of Parfenion between Glendora Holding and OOO Pluton as of 31.07.2007; - sale and purchase agreements of shares of Makhaon between Kone Holding and OOO Pluton as of 31.07.2007; - Power of Attorney as of 02.07.2007 to Gasanov O.G. from Kone Holding; - Power of Attorney as of 02.07.2007 to Gasanov O.G. from Glendora Holding"	31-Mar-08	Petition by Mr Nikolaev in court	D1694.pdf

Alexei Chernov	8-Aug-55	Nominal director of Boily Systems	PI	D	Was involved in the effort of the criminal conspiracy to falsely bankrupt the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies and cover up the crime	Under the false bankruptcy proceedings (case A41-14643/08) launched by the criminal conspiracy to conceal their fraudulent acts, a request was made to include Mr Chernov as an alleged creditor of the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's company using falsified documents to bankrupt the misappropriated subsidiary of the Hermitage Fund	"On the basis of Federal law on bankruptcy I request to include my claim of 501525 rubles 22 kopeeks in the registry of claims against Makhaon"	24-Jun-09	Request to include claim from Mr Chernov into bankruptcy proceeding	D1816.pdf
						Mr Chernov acted as an alleged creditor of the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's company used to bankrupt the misappropriated subsidiary of the Hermitage Fund	"On the basis of Federal law on bankruptcy I request to include my claim of 501525 rubles 22 kopeeks in the registry of claims against Rilend"	24-Jun-09	Request to include claim from Mr Chernov into bankruptcy proceeding	D1843.pdf
						The court accepted a collusive agreement between Mr Smetanin and Mr Chernov, used to further the bankruptcy of the companies and cover up the conspiracy	"Assignor assigns to Assignee and Assignee accepts and pay for the claim of Assignor against Makhaon, Parfenion and Rilend"	1-Jun-09	Agreement in relation to falsified claims	D1844.pdf
Vladimir Alexandrovich Kadzharduzov (Bershtein)	19-Sep-44	Nominal bankruptcy manager of Rilend	PI	D	Acting as bankruptcy manager, was involved in the effort to falsely bankrupt the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies to cover up the criminal conspiracy	Mr Kadzharduzov assisted the criminal conspiracy to bankrupt Rilend, the misappropriated subsidiary of the Hermitage Fund, by supporting the false claim of Mr Chernov (transferred to Sergei Posokhov) of being company's creditor	"Oblige the sale manager V.A. Kadzharduzov to submit a response to the claim from L.K.[sic] Chernov to be included in the list of claims of creditors of OOO Rilend"	2-Jul-09	Decision by court seeking response from Mr Kadzharduzov	D1845.pdf
Denis Yurievich Samkov	27-Dec-68	Representative of nominal bankruptcy manager of Rilend	PI	D	Acting as representative of bankruptcy manager, was involved in the effort to falsely bankrupt the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies to cover up the criminal conspiracy	Mr Samkov filed a motion in support of a false claim of Mr Chernov (transferred to Sergei Posokhov) against Rilend, the misappropriated subsidiary of the Hermitage Fund, of being company's creditor, used by the criminal conspiracy for Rilend's liquidation	D. Samkov: "To recognize as substantiated the claim of creditor - Sergei Posokhov and establish the size of them of 501 525 rubles and 22 kopeeks and penies of 90240 rubles"	2-Jul-09	Motion by Mr Samkov	D1865.pdf
Alexander Kalmykov	8-Nov-69	Nominal bankruptcy manager of Parfenion	PI	D	Acting as bankruptcy manager, assisted the criminal conspiracy to bankrupt and liquidate the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies	Mr Kalmykov resisted the appeal against the false bankruptcy of Parfenion, the misappropriated subsidiary of the Hermitage Fund	"I believe that the arguments of claimant who filed an appeal should be declined by Appeal Arbitration Court"	22-Dec-08	Motion by Mr Kalmykov	D1846.pdf
Arbitration court judges										
Andrei Yurievich Yushkov	13-Mar-62	Judge	Arb. Court	D	Based on forged documents, transferred the ownership of Hermitage Fund's companies to Pluton, a company registered to Mr Markelov, previously convicted for manslaughter (2002) and arrested for kidnap (2006)	Tatarstan Arbitration Court Case No A65-13793/2007	"To execute the liabilities in kind by transferring to OOO Pluton the shares in OOO Rilend, OOO Makhaon and OOO Paftenion"	30-Jul-07	Decision by Mr Yushkov	D1869.pdf

Mikhail Victorovich Kuznetsov		Judge	Arb. Court	D	Accepted a claim filed using a stolen identity, awarded US\$325mln against Rilend, misappropriated from the Hermitage Fund based on forged documents, in proceedings with participation from lawyer Mr Pavlov on Rilend's "behalf" who consented to all fake liabilities	St.Petersburg Arbitration Court Case No.A56-22479/2007 whereby the plaintiff claimed foregone profit against Rilend, the claim was signed by a person using a stolen passport, and the defendant's lawyer, Pavlov, acknowledged US\$325mln in falsified liabilities. This and other court decisions were used by the criminal conspiracy to justify illegal tax rebates	"To recover from OOO Rilend for the benefit of ZAO Logos Plus 7 557 858 000 rubles of liabilities"	3-Sep-07	Decision by Mr Kuznetsov	D1656.pdf
Sergei Nikolaevich Alexeev		Judge	Arb. Court	D	Accepted a claim filed using a stolen identity, awarded US\$70mln based on forged documents against Makhaon misappropriated from the Hermitage Fund	St.Petersburg Arbitration Court Case No.A56-22474/2007, proceedings with participation from lawyer Ms Maiorova on Makhaon's "behalf" who consented to all false liabilities	"To recover from OOO Makhaon for the benefit of ZAO Logos Plus 1 669 479 000 rubles of liabilities"	7-Sep-07	Decision by Mr Alexeev	D1657.pdf
Elena Alexandrovna Orlova		Judge	Arb. Court	D	Accepted a claim filed using a stolen identity, awarded US\$110,000 based on forged documents against Parfenion misappropriated from the Hermitage Fund	St.Petersburg Arbitration Court Case No.A56-22484/2007, proceedings with participation from lawyer Ms Maltseva on Parfenion's "behalf" who consented to all fake liabilities	"To change the plaintiff in procedural form to OOO Grand-Active. To recover from OOO Parfenion for the benefit of OOO Grand-Active 2 800 000 rubles of liabilities"	17-Sep-07	Decision by Ms Orlova	D1658.pdf
Ildar Shavkatovich Salimzyanov	8-Feb-59	Judge	Arb. Court	D	Issued \$575mln in false liabilities based on forged documents against Parfenion, misappropriated from the Hermitage Fund	Tatarstan Court Case No A65-26689/2007, proceedings where Parfenion was "represented" by Mr Markelov, who acknowledged false liabilities	"To recover from ... OOO Parfenion for the benefit of OOO Grand Active... 13 880 521 978 rubles of liabilities"	13-Nov-07	Decision by Mr Salimzyanov	D1689.pdf
Elena Anatolievna Kim	15-Jan-73	Judge	Arb. Court	D	Issued \$325mln in false liabilities based on forged documents against Rilend, misappropriated from the Hermitage Fund	Moscow Court Case No A40-54502, proceedings where Rilend was "represented" by Ms Maiorova who did not raise objections to the false claims	E. Kim: "Award 7,573,714,200 rubles (\$325 million) to Instar"	11-Dec-07	Decision by Ms Kim	D1864.pdf
Margarita Valerievna Zinurova	5-Dec-69	Judge	Arb. Court	D	Approved based on false documents the bankruptcy of Rilend, Makhaon and Parfenion, misappropriated from the Hermitage Fund, used to cover up the criminal conspiracy	Moscow Region Court Case No A41-14643/08	"Approve the bankruptcy procedure [of Makhaon]... External Manager Kadzharduzov to provide immediately information about exclusion the company from Unified Registry of Legal Entities"	17-Sep-09	Decision by Ms Zinurova	D1829.pdf
						Moscow Region Court Case No A41-14640/08	"Approve the bankruptcy procedure [of Parfenion]... External Manager Kadzharduzov to provide immediately information about exclusion the company from Unified Registry of Legal Entities"	17-Sep-09	Decision by Ms Zinurova	D1830.pdf
Valentina Pavlovna Mizyak	2-May-63	Judge	Arb. Court	D	Cancelled the decision to return to the Hermitage Fund the misappropriated companies, and stayed the proceeding on the ground of liquidation of Mr Markelov's company who fraudulently owned these stolen companies, aiding the concealment of the criminal conspiracy	10 Arbitration Appeal Court Case No. A41-8992/09 with judges Mizyak, Kudeneeva, Isaeva and Maltzev	"Tax Office No13 of Rostov region did not show up the hearing and requested to consider the case in their absence", "the court of first instance violated the law...the case was considered in the absence of the third party - Tax Office No13 of Rostov region which was not properly informed about the date of hearings", "in accordance with p.5 Article 270 of Arbitration Procedural Code, the court will consider the case in accordance with the regulations of the court of the first instance"	28-Jan-10	Decision by Ms Mizyak	D1776.pdf

Galina Alexandrovna Kudeneeva	11-Sep-64	Judge	Arb. Court	D	Cancelled the decision to return to the Hermitage Fund the misappropriated companies, and stayed the proceeding on the ground of liquidation of Mr Markelov's company who fraudulently owned these companies, aiding the concealment of the criminal conspiracy	10 Arbitration Appeal Court Case No. A41-8992/09 with judges Mizyak, Kudeneeva, Isaeva and Maltzev	"Tax Office No13 of Rostov region did not show up the hearing and requested to consider the case in their absence", "the court of first instance violated the law...the case was considered in the absence of the third party - Tax Office No13 of Rostovregion which was not properly informed about the date of hearings", "in accordance with p5 Article 270 of Arbitration Procedural code, the court will consider the case in accordance with the regulations of the court of the first instance"	28-Jan-10	Decision by Ms Kudeneeva	D1866.pdf
Sergei Victorovich Maltsev	3-Mar-75	Judge	Arb. Court	D	Cancelled the decision to return to the Hermitage Fund the misappropriated companies, and stayed the proceeding on the ground of liquidation of Mr Markelov's company who fraudulently owned these stolen companies, aiding the concealment of the criminal conspiracy	10 Arbitration Appeal Court Case No. A41-8992/09 with judges Mizyak, Kudeneeva, Isaeva and Maltzev	"Tax Office No13 of Rostov region did not show up the hearing and requested to consider the case in their absence", "the court of first instance violated the law...the case was considered in the absence of the third party - Tax Office No13 of Rostovregion which was not properly informed about the date of hearings", "in accordance with p5 Article 270 of Arbitration Procedural code, the court will consider the case in accordance with the regulations of the court of the first instance"	28-Jan-10	Decision by Mr Maltsev	D1867.pdf
Elvira Raufovna Isaeva	26-May-61	Judge	Arb. Court	D	Cancelled the decision to return to the Hermitage Fund the misappropriated companies, and stayed the proceeding on the ground of liquidation of Mr Markelov's company who fraudulently owned these stolen companies, aiding the concealment of the criminal conspiracy	10 Arbitration Appeal Court Case No. A41-8992/09 with judges Mizyak, Kudeneeva, Isaeva and Maltzev	"In accordance with extract from the Unified Registry of Legal Entities, the legal competence of OOO Pluton was seized due to exclusion from Unified registry... therefore, we rule to reverse the decision of Arbitration Court of Moscow Region as of 28 September 2009 ... and to refuse the claim of Glendora Limited and Kone Limited to return 100% ownership of above mentioned companies"	26-Oct-10	Decision by Ms Isaeva	D1774.pdf
Marina Vladimirovna Ignakhina	8-Feb-77	Judge	Arb. Court	D	Refused to re-instate the control of lawful owners over Rilend, Makhaon, Parfenion, the three misappropriated subsidiaries of the Hermitage Fund, on the ground that the three companies had been liquidated by the time of the hearing, aiding the concealment of the criminal conspiracy	10 Arbitration Appeal Court Case number A41-8992/09	"Due to the completion of tender of procedure in relation to Rilend, Makhaon and Parfenion as a result of bankruptcy, the information on liquidation of three companies was put into Unified Registry of Legal Entities", "In these circumstances the claim of Glendora Holdings Limited and Kone Holdings Limited to return 100% ownership of Parfenion, Rilend and Makhaon could not be met"	3-May-11	Decision by Ms Ignakhina	D1779.pdf
Tax Officials										
Olga Germanovna Stepanova	29-Jul-62	Head of Moscow Tax Office No 28	FNS	D	In her position as Head of Moscow Tax Office No28, approved the fraudulent tax refund of 3.7 bln rubles (US\$155 m) as part of the \$230 m fraudulent tax refund	Ms Stepanova authorised decisions to refund 24.12.2008 for total amount of \$155 m on applications from fraudulent directors of the misappropriated Hermitage Fund's companies	O.G. Stepanova "Agreed" on the decisions to approve tax refunds	24-Dec-07	Approvals by Ms Stepanova of tax refunds	D1630.pdf
						Seven payment orders totaling \$2.7 million were made for purchase of apartments No 530 and No 428 at Kempinski Resort Palm Jumeirah for the benefit of (ex)husband of Olga Stepanova	Payment orders	17-Jan-08	Payment orders for Kempinski apartments in Dubai for Mr Stepanov	D1847.pdf

Elena Egorovna Anisimova	30-Jan-55	Deputy Head of Moscow Tax Office No 28	FNS	D	In the management role at the Moscow Tax Office No28, was responsible for the tax refunds	Payment orders were made for US\$852,908 for a down-payment for apartment G16 at Kempinski Resort Palm Jumeirah, Dubai, for the benefit of Elena Anisimova and her son. The apartment for the benefit of (ex)husband of Olga Stepanova was paid for from the same account	Payment orders	18-Jan-08	Payment orders for Kempinski apartments in Dubai for Ms Anisimova	D1849.pdf
Olga Dmitrievna Tsareva	28-Oct-56	Deputy Head of Moscow Tax Office No 28	FNS	D	In the management role at the Moscow Tax Office No28, was responsible for the tax refunds	Payment orders were mad for US\$620,784 for a down-payment for Apartment 116 at Kempinski Resort Palm Jumeirah, Dubai, for the benefit of Olga Tsareva and her daughter. The apartment for the husband of Olga Stepanova was paid for from the same account.	Payment orders	17-Jan-08	Payment orders for Kempinski apartments in Dubai for Ms Tsareva	D1850.pdf
Olga Victorovna Tsymai	3-Mar-67	Head of Tax Audit Department No 1 of Moscow Tax Office No 28	FNS	D	In her senior role at the Moscow Tax Office No 28, approved fraudulent tax rebate of 3.7 bln rubles (US\$155mln)	Decision to rebate taxes for OOO Makhaon and OOO Parfenion as of 24.12.2008 on fraudulent applications	Signature on the decisions to approve tax refunds	24-Dec-07	Approvals by Ms Tsymai of tax refunds	D1868.pdf
Olesya Vladimirovna Shargorodskaya	10-Oct-78	Officer of Moscow Tax Office No 28	FNS	D	In her senior role at the Moscow Tax Office No 28, approved fraudulent tax rebate of 3.7 bln rubles (US\$155mln)	Decision to rebate taxes for OOO Makhaon and OOO Parfenion as of 24.12.2008 on fraudulent applications	Signature on the decisions to approve tax refunds	24-Dec-07	Approvals by Ms Shargorodskaya of tax refunds	D1851.pdf
Olga Victorovna Davydova	14-Feb-60	Head of Tax Indebtedness Department of Moscow Tax Office No 28	FNS	D	In her senior role at the Moscow Tax Office No 28, approved fraudulent tax rebate of 3.7 bln rubles (US\$155mln)	Decision to rebate taxes for OOO Makhaon and OOO Parfenion as of 24.12.2008 on fraudulent applications	Signature on the decisions to approve tax refunds	24-Dec-07	Approvals by Ms Davydova of tax refunds	D1852.pdf
Yekaterina Alexandrovna Frolova	21-Jul-78	Head of Bookkeeping, Reporting and Planning Department of Moscow Tax Office No 28	FNS	D	In her senior role at the Moscow Tax Office No 28, approved fraudulent tax rebate of 3.7 bln rubles (US\$155mln)	Decision to rebate taxes for OOO Makhaon and OOO Parfenion as of 24.12.2008 on fraudulent applications	Signature on the decisions to approve tax refunds	24-Dec-07	Approvals by Ms Frolova of tax refunds	D1853.pdf
Maxim Alexeevich Tretiyakov	23-Jun-70	Head of Legal Department of Moscow Tax Office No 28	FNS	D	In the management role at the Moscow Tax Office No28, was responsible for the lawfulness of conduct of the tax office which approved the fraudulent tax refund	Mr Tretiakov gave a testimony to Interior Ministry used to cover up the details of the tax refund approvals and exonerate the officials, and recognise the tax office as a "victim"	M.A. Tretiakov: "OOO Makhaon and OOO Parfenion submitted request to return from budget excessively paid taxes. After considering these documents, on 24 December 2007 Tax Office No.28 made the decision to return taxes to OOO Parfenion and OOO Makhaon"	27-Feb-09	Testimony by Mr Tretiakov to the Interior Ministry	D1634.pdf
Svetlana Vladimirovna Dubrovskaya	27-Oct-61	Head of Tax Audit Department No 4 of Moscow Tax Office No 28	FNS	D	In the management role at the Moscow Tax Office No28, was involved in the fraudulent tax rebate of 3.7 bln rubles (US\$155mln)	Ms Dubrovskaya gave testimony to the Interior Ministry under a case used to cover up the details of the tax refund approvals and exonerate the officials	S.V. Dubrovskaya: "Based on the information on overpayment of income tax in accordance with the registrars of tax payers and in response to their request, the decision to rebate the overpayment of taxes were made"	30-Jul-09	Testimony by Ms Dubrovskaya	D1633.pdf
Elena Ivanovna Khimina	11-Feb-53	Head of Moscow Tax Office No 25	FNS	D	In her senior role at the Moscow Tax Office No 25, approved fraudulent tax refunds of 1.7 bln rubles (US\$75mln), part of the \$230 m refund	Decision to rebate taxes for OOO Rilend as of 24.12.2008 on fraudulent applications	Signature on the decisions to approve tax refunds	24-Dec-07	Approvals by Ms Khimina of tax refunds	D1631.pdf

Raisa Ivanovna Burmistrova	19-Oct-49	Head of Tax Indebtedness Department of Moscow Tax Office No 25	FNS	D	In her senior role at the Moscow Tax Office No 25, approved fraudulent tax refunds of 1.7 bln rubles (US\$75mln)	Decision to rebate taxes for OOO Rilend as of 24.12.2008 on fraudulent applications	Signature on the decisions to approve tax refunds	24-Dec-07	Approvals by Ms Burmistrova of tax refunds	D1854.pdf
Alexandra Kuzminichna Kuznetsova	27-Nov-55	Head of Bookkeeping, Reporting and Planning of Moscow Tax Office No 25	FNS	D	In her senior role at the Moscow Tax Office No 25, approved fraudulent tax refunds of 1.7 bln rubles (US\$75mln)	Decision to rebate taxes for OOO Rilend as of 24.12.2008 on fraudulent applications	Signature on the decisions to approve tax refunds	24-Dec-07	Approvals by Ms Kuznetsova of tax refunds	D1855.pdf
Yulia Mikhailovna Koltunova	27-Apr-78	Head of Tax Audit Department of Moscow Tax Office No 25	FNS	D	In her senior role at the Moscow Tax Office No 25, approved fraudulent tax refunds of 1.7 bln rubles (US\$75mln)	Decision to rebate taxes for OOO Rilend as of 24.12.2008 on fraudulent applications	Signature on the decisions to approve tax refunds	24-Dec-07	Approvals by Ms Koltunova of tax refunds	D1856.pdf
Natalia Mikhailovna Troshina	12-Jul-86	Officer of Moscow Tax Office No 25	FNS	D	In her senior role at the Moscow Tax Office No 25, approved fraudulent tax refunds of 1.7 bln rubles (US\$75mln)	Decision to rebate taxes for OOO Rilend as of 24.12.2008 on fraudulent applications	Signature on the decisions to approve tax refunds	24-Dec-07	Approvals by Ms Troshina of tax refunds	D1857.pdf
Sergei Yurievich Zhemchuzhnikov	6-Oct-68	Deputy Head of Moscow Tax Office No 25	FNS	D	In her senior role at the Moscow Tax Office No 25, was involved in the fraudulent tax refunds of 1.7 bln rubles (US\$75mln)	Ms Zhemchuzhnikov gave a testimony to Interior Ministry used to cover up the details of the tax refund approvals and exonerate the officials	S.Y. Zhemchuzhnikov: "I in my office obtained an explanation from head of OOO Rilend Kurochkin, who explained that it was he who is the head of OOO Rilend, that it was he who signed with the counterparty of OOO Rilend the contracts, the failure to fulfill which has lead to the reduction of the taxable base of OOO Rilend, that he signed the amended tax return."	30-Jul-08	Testimony by Mr Zhemchuzhnikov	D1842.pdf
Federal Penal Service (FSIN)										
Eduard Viktorovich Petrukhin	25-Apr-63	Deputy Director, General Lt	FSIN	A	In his role as one of the most senior officials in the Federal Penal Service, authorised the transfer of Mr Magnitsky to a new detention center with no medical facilities	Mr Petrukhin authorised to transfer Mr Magnitsky to a new detention center, Butyrka, in July 2009. By this time Mr Magnitsky had been held, in addition to Matrosskaya Tishina detention center, in the temporary holding facility of the Moscow Interior Ministry (IVS 1) twice, and detention center No 5 where he had also been transferred between cells on numerous occasions. Mr Magnitsky was transferred to Butyrka which did not have medical facilities equipped to monitor or treat pancreatitis and gallstones that he had been diagnosed with in detention	E.V. Petrukhin to acting head of FBU SIZO 1 D.I. Vasiliev: "[I] Allow to transfer accused Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich, born 1972, from FBU SIZO-1 of FSIN of Russia [Matrosskaya Tishina] to FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow [Butyrka] for further detention in custody. Acting director, General Lt of internal service. E.V.Petrukhin"	15-Jul-09	Authorisation letter by Mr Petrukhin to transfer Mr Magnitsky to Butyrka	D1500.pdf
Vladimir Ivanovich Semenyuk		Deputy Director, General Lt	FSIN	A	In his role as a senior official of the Federal Penal Service, was point of contact for the Interior Ministry to authorise Mr Magnitsky's transfer to a new detention center	Mr Semenyuk was point of contact for the Interior Ministry in organising a new transfer of Mr Magnitsky, to a new detention center, in April 2009, justified by the need to carry out "operations" and investigative activities	"Dear Vladimir Ivanovich!...Due to the necessity to ensure the regime of isolation, conduct operations and investigative activities and the significance of the criminal case I request to allow the detention during the period of preliminary investigation of accused S.L. Magnitsky in FBU SIZO-1 of FSIN of Russia. Deputy Head [of the Interior Ministry' Investigation Committee] General Major of Justice O.V. Logunov"	April 2009	Letter to Mr Semenyuk from Interior Ministry General Logunov concerning Mr Magnitsky's transfer	D1501.pdf

Alexander Alexandrovich Reimer	6-Apr-58	Director, General Colonel	FSIN	B	In his role as new director of the Federal Penal Service, was responsible for the cover up by his subordinates of the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment and death in detention	In the reply from Mr Reimer, the Director of the Federal Penal Service, to the General Prosecutor's Office the scope and kind of ill treatment of Mr Magnitsky in custody was minimised. The findings were based on an internal prison probe, despite the conflict of interest, and allowed concealment of facts. By way of example, it was reported that the head of medical unit, Ms Kramareva, was fired for allowing the violations; however, according to prison records, Ms Kramareva was on sick leave during the entire period of Mr Magnitsky's detention. The circumstances of the use of special means of restraint in the last hours of Mr Magnitsky's life were covered up in the report	"The notice from the General Prosecutor's Office of the Russian Federation about the elimination of violations of law in the activity of FBU SIZO-2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow [Butyrka] (further - SIZO-2), connected to the sudden death of defendant S.L. Magnitsky, has been considered. The Federal Penal Service has conducted an internal probe into the circumstances of death of defendant S.L. Magnitsky, during which it was determined that cells where he was held were equipped with necessary furniture and accessories in accordance with statutory norms...At the same time he spent 36 days in cells that did not meet the sanitary norms...Currently, measures have been undertaken at SIZO-2 for rational placement of suspects, accused and convicted persons paying regard to the norm of sanitary space set by the mentioned law...During detention in SIZO-2 defendant seven times was transferred from one cell to another. Only in one case (01.09.2009) the transfer from cell No 267 to cell No 59 was carried out without sufficient ground and in the absence of a report from an authorised official...On 16.11.2009.. at 21 hr 15 min. his condition suddenly worsened, he lost consciousness. Resuscitation activities were begun which did not have a positive effect. At 21 hr 50 min the biological death of S.L. Magnitsky was stated... During an internal probe it has been determined that medical employees based on results of tests (ECG, X-ray) could not suspect the defendant had cardiomyopathy due to the absence of characterising pathological symptoms, because of this additional methods of tests were not carried out. The head of medical unit of SIZO-2 N.V. Kramareva was fired, the head of SIZO-2 D.V. Komnov was removed from his position and demoted for the allowed violations in keeping medical records, untimely carrying out of examination of S.L. Magnitsky by specialist doctors."	23-Jan-10	Report by Mr Reimer to Deputy General Prosecutor on Mr Magnitsky's treatment and death in custody	D1797.pdf
Moscow Penal Service										
Vladimir Anatolievich Davydov	20-Jul-58	ex Director of Moscow Department of the Federal Penal System, General Major	FSIN	A	In his role as most senior official of the Moscow penal service, was responsible for the denial of Mr Magnitsky's complaint about his rights violations in custody	One month before Mr Magnitsky's death in custody, Mr Davydov refused the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers about his rights violations in detention	V.A. Davydov: "The Moscow Department of the Federal Penal Service of Russia [FSIN] in Moscow has considered your application concerning S.L. Magnitsky, held in FBU IZ-77/2 of the Department of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow [Butyrka]. ..Sanitary processing [use of shower] of suspects and accused, including S.L. Magnitsky, is carried out weekly in accordance with the Decree by the Justice Ministry... for no less than 15 minutes...The supply of cold and hot water in FBU IZ-77/2 of the Moscow department of the FSIN is centralised. Head V.A. Davydov. Executed by A.N.Chzhu"	7-Oct-09	Refusal by Mr Davydov of the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers about his rights violations in custody	D1506.pdf
Anastasiya Nikolaevna Chzhu	9-Dec-75	Assistant on human rights to Director of the Moscow Department of the Federal Penal System	FSIN	A, B	In her role as a senior official of the Moscow penal service in charge of human rights in detention, was responsible for the denial of Mr Magnitsky's complaint about his rights violations in custody	Ms Chzhu prepared a refusal signed by her superior Mr Davydov of the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers about his rights violations in custody one month before his death	Executed by A.N. Chzhu: "The Moscow Department of the Federal Penal Service of Russia [FSIN] in Moscow has considered your application concerning S.L. Magnitsky, held in FBU IZ-77/2 of the Department of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow [Butyrka]. ..Sanitary processing [use of shower] of suspects and accused, including S.L. Magnitsky is carried out weekly in accordance with the Decree by the Justice Ministry... for no less than 15 minutes...The supply of cold and hot water in FBU IZ-77/2 of the Moscow department of the FSIN is centralised. Head V.A. Davydov. Executed by A.N.Chzhu"	7-Oct-09	Refusal prepared by Ms Chzhu of the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers about his rights violations in custody	D1507.pdf

Olga Filippovna Grigorieva	9-Jul-61	Deputy Head of Medical Department of the Moscow Department of the Federal Penal System	FSIN	A	In her role as a senior official of the Moscow penal service in charge of medical care in detention, was responsible for acts of subordinates and denial of medical care to Mr Magnitsky	Ms Grigorieva was responsible for the oversight over the medical care provision in Moscow detention centers, during the time Mr Magnitsky was refused medical care at Butyrka detention center. Ms Grigorieva attended Butyrka on last day of Mr Magnitsky's life	"From testimony by witness O.F. Grigorieva, it follows that on 16 November 2009, in the second half of the day in her presence, L.A. Litvinova talked to D.B. Kratov about the organisation of the transfer of patient S.L. Magnitsky to a special hospital, in addition, accounting for the subsequent review of the medical record of that patient, she (O.F. Grigorieva) determined that there was no need to transfer S.L. Magnitsky."	28-Dec-12	Court verdict acquitting Mr Kratov naming Ms Grigorieva	D1508.pdf
Butyrka Detention Center										
Dmitry Viktorovich Komnov	17-May-77	Head of Butyrka, Lt Colonel	FSIN	A	In his position as the most senior official at Butyrka detention center, was responsible for the rights and health of Mr Magnitsky and his ill-treatment	One month before Mr Magnitsky's death in custody, Mr Komnov refused, jointly with his deputy Kratov, the application from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers about his medical condition ignoring requests for his medical ultrasound examination	D.V. Komnov: "To your request we report that arrested and investigated Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich, born 1972, during detention did not apply for help to doctors narcologist and psychiatrist. On medical signs, can participate in judicial and investigative activities. Can be held in detention center. Head of FBU IZ-77/2 ...D.V. Komnov. Deputy Head of FBU IZ-77/2 ...D.B. Kratov"	7-Oct-09	Reply by Mr Komnov on Mr Magnitsky's medical condition	D1512.pdf
						19 days before Mr Magnitsky's death in custody, Mr Komnov reported to the Moscow prosecutor's office in response to the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers that no violations had occurred and Mr Magnitsky had been allegedly regularly examined and treated in a letter which covered up the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment in custody	Report from D.V. Komnov, head of Butyrka to Prosecutor Zakharov in the Moscow Office: "I report that a probe was carried out into the complaint of lawyer D.V. Kharitonov about the conditions of detention in FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Moscow [Butyrka] of accused Sergei Leonidovich Magnitsky, no violations of the law in actions of the administration of the facility have occurred...The current legislation does not stipulate the provision of hot tap water in cells to persons held in custody... On 7.10.09 ...his diagnosis was: osteochondrosis..., gallstones, cholecystitis and pancreatitis. ..He is undergoing treatment in the hospital of therapeutic unit of the medical section of the facility...Currently, his general state of health is satisfactory. The treatment is done in accordance with prescriptions of treating doctor, medical examinations are conducted regularly..."	27-Oct-09	Report by Mr Komnov to the Moscow City Prosecutor's Office on Mr Magnitsky's rights in detention	D1513.pdf
						Mr Komnov replied, jointly with his deputy Kratov, to Mr Magnitsky's lawyers on his medical condition and diagnoses five days prior to his death in custody ignoring requests for his medical ultrasound examination	D.V. Komnov: "To your request we report that Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich, born 1972, is undergoing in-patient treatment at FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow with diagnosis: gallstones, cholecystitopancreatitis, acute stage. His state of health is satisfactory..[He] Can participate in judicial investigative activities. Can be held in detention center. Head of FBU IZ-77/2 ...D.V. Komnov. Deputy Head of FBU IZ-77/2 ...D.B. Kratov"	11-Nov-09	Reply by Mr Komnov on Mr Magnitsky's medical condition	D1514.pdf

					Mr Komnov was the most senior officer at Butyrka detention center responsible for the lawfulness of transfers of Mr Magnitsky between cells, and for the disappearance of his complaints about rights violations in custody	Conclusion by POC: "On August 31, Magnitsky filed a complaint with the Moscow UFSIN saying that the detention center's administration would not receive suggestions, complaints and statements from accused inmates on a daily basis and that the conditions did not meet the Internal Regulations of the Center. The next day, on September 1, Magnitsky was transferred from Cell 267 to Cell 59, which made his conditions significantly worse. Sergei Magnitsky considered the transfer to be retribution for filing the complaint. D. Komnov, the head of the Detention Center gave a vague explanation: "He was transferred because of psychological incompatibility. It was an operating officer's decision. There was probably some conflict." Magnitsky never said anything about any conflict. If there was a conflict, his lawyers would have certainly known about it...We showed him the response of V. Davydov, Head of the Moscow Directorate of the Federal Penitentiary Service, in which he was answering the questions which we addressed to Komnov. And the latter brought us the log for registration of complaints and requests. And the log did not contain records of Magnitsky's, his lawyers' or his mother's requests or complaints. This shows either negligence or, if such records were made, the log was subsequently rewritten. We looked at the log records, and we had the impression that they had been made with the same hand and with the same pen."	28-Dec-09	Conclusion by the Moscow Public Oversight Commission on Mr Magnitsky's detention and death naming Mr Komnov	D1798.pdf	
Viktor Alexandrovich Gorchakov	3-Dec-68	Deputy Head of Butyrka in charge of Operations Unit	FSIN	A	In his position as one of the most senior officials in Butyrka, in charge of Operations Unit, was responsible for Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment in custody and transfers between cells	The accusation of Mr Kratov identifies Mr Gorchakov as visiting a cell where Mr Magnitsky was held in detention. As most senior official in charge of Operations Unit, Mr Gorchakov was responsible for the conditions of Mr Magnitsky in custody and his ill-treatment at Butyrka	"Protocol of 14 May 2010 of review of journals of visits by management of FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Moscow of the detention buildings. During the examination ...a record identified from 29 July 2009, indicating that during the visit of building No 8 by the management of the facility, including D.V. Komnov, V.A. Gorchakov, D.B. Kratov, A.V. Dyuryagin - an entry was made: "No 267 Magnitsky - release from warehouse the boiler."	28-Dec-12	Kratov Accusation in relation to Mr Magnitsky's death naming Mr Gorchakov	D1836.pdf
Andrei Mikhailovich Yaroshenko	10-Dec-85	Operative	FSIN	A	In his position as operative at Butyrka, was responsible for the transfer of Mr Magnitsky between cells on 1 September 2009	Mr Yaroshenko was responsible for the transfer of Mr Magnitsky between cells at Butyrka on 1 September 2009. The circumstances of this particular transfer were noted as unexplained in the official records. Mr Yaroshenko was questioned for the first time about the circumstances of the transfer nearly two years after Mr Magnitsky's death and said he could not recall the transfer details	"Question [from investigator]: from the cell card of S.L. Magnitsky follows that on 1 September 2009 you gave an order to transfer S.L. Magnitsky from cell 267 to cell No 59 of FBU IZ-77/2 UFSIN of Russia in Moscow. Please explain what were the circumstances and what caused the transfer of S.L. Magnitsky from cell No 267 to cell No 59 of FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow on 1 September 2009? Answer [by A.M. Yaroshenko]: I don't recall the actual fact of transfer of S.L. Magnitsky from cell No 267 to cell No 59 of FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow on 1 September 2009, and I do not recall what the transfer was connected to and what caused it...It has been a long time since the moment of the transfer..."	5-Oct-11	Testimony by Mr Yaroshenko on transfer of Mr Magnitsky	D1524.pdf
Matvei Fedorovich Selnitsyn	18-May-82	Operative	FSIN	A	In his role as operative at Butyrka, was responsible for the "operational monitoring" of Mr Magnitsky's cell	Mr Selnitsyn was responsible for "operational monitoring" of the cell where Mr Magnitsky was held in detention	M.V.Selnitsyn: "During the period of detention of S.L. Magnitsky in FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow in the cell in my operational service, no complaints came from the latter orally or in the written form about the state of health, non-provision of medical care..."	15-Jun-10	Testimony by Mr Selnitsyn on operational monitoring of Mr Magnitsky	D1538.pdf

Ochir Aduchievich Sangadzhigoryaev	19-Jun-60	Head of Organisation and Analytical Section	FSIN	A	In his role of a senior official at Butyrka detention center, was responsible for ignoring and covering up the ill-treatment of Mr Magnitsky's in custody	19 days before Mr Magnitsky's death in custody, Mr Sangadzhigoryaev prepared a report on Mr Magnitsky's conditions in custody signed by his superior, head of Butyrka Detention Center Mr Komnov. The report was sent to the prosecutor's office in response to the complaint from Mr Magnitsky's lawyers, and claimed that no violations had occurred and that Mr Magnitsky had allegedly been regularly examined and treated, covering up the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's ill-treatment in detention	Report prepared by Mr Sangadzhigoryaev to Prosecutor Zakharov in the Moscow Office: "I report that a probe was carried out into the complaint of lawyer D.V. Kharitonov about the conditions of detention in FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Moscow [Butyrka] of accused Sergei Leonidovich Magnitsky, no violations of the law in actions of the administration of the facility have occurred...The current legislation does not stipulate the provision of hot tap water in cells to persons held in custody... On 7.10.09 ...his diagnosis was: osteochondrosis..., gallstones, cholecystitis and pancreatitis. ..He is undergoing treatment in the hospital of therapeutic unit of the medical section of the facility...Currently, his general state of health is satisfactory. The treatment is done in accordance with prescriptions of treating doctor, medical examinations are conducted regularly..."	27-Oct-09	Report prepared by Mr Sangadzhigoryaev on Mr Magnitsky's rights in detention	D1522.pdf
Dmitry Borisovich Kratov	16-Jul-64	Deputy Head in charge of medical treatment and prevention, Lt Colonel	FSIN	A	In his position as most senior official in charge of medical care at Butyrka detention center, was in charge of Mr Magnitsky's health and medical care provision	Mr Kratov co-signed the medical record for Mr Magnitsky, sent to his lawyers one month before his death, stating that he could be held in detention and participate in investigative proceedings based on medical signs, and ignoring requests for medical ultrasound examination	D.V. Komnov: "To your request we report that arrested and investigated Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich, born 1972, during detention did not apply for help to doctors narcologist and psychiatrist. On medical signs, can participate in judicial and investigative activities. Can be held in detention center. Head of FBU IZ-77/2 ...D.V. Komnov. Deputy Head of FBU IZ-77/2 ...D.B. Kratov"	7-Oct-09	Reply by Mr Kratov on Mr Magnitsky's medical condition	D1525.pdf
						Mr Kratov replied to Mr Magnitsky's lawyers on Mr Magnitsky's medical condition and diagnoses five days prior to his death in custody, ignoring requests for medical ultrasound examination	D.B. Kratov: "To your request we report that Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich, born 1972, is undergoing in-patient treatment at FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow with diagnosis: gallstones, cholecystitopancreatitis, acute stage. His state of health is satisfactory...Can participate in judicial investigative activities. Can be held in detention center. Head of FBU IZ-77/2 ...D.V. Komnov. Deputy Head of FBU IZ-77/2 ...D.B. Kratov"	11-Nov-09	Reply by Mr Komnov on Mr Magnitsky's medical condition	D1526.pdf
						After Mr Magnitsky's death, members of the Moscow Public Oversight Commission found that Mr Kratov and his subordinate, Ms Litvinova, were avoiding the question about the reasons why the prescribed ultrasound examination had not been carried out at all as prescribed in July 2009, four months before Mr Magnitsky's death	Conclusion by POC: "Members of the POC noted that both Litvinova and Dmitry Kratov, the chief medical officer of the detention center were trying to dodge the question of the second ultrasound. Members of the POC were persistent in their questions, to which Dmitry Kratov responded grudgingly: "An escort is needed to take Magnitsky to Matrosskaya Tishina for ultrasound. And one does not know when the escort is going to be available." Dmitry Kratov told members of the POC that Magnitsky had never complained to him during the doctor's rounds of the lack of medical assistance. This statement is surprising. According to the notes "On Conditions in Butyrskaya Prison," written by Magnitsky, he spoke with Kratov on September 4, 2009, about having ultrasound, when Kratov brought him the medicines delivered by his relatives, and Kratov said that he had written a report on Magnitsky's transfer to Matrosskaya Tishina for performing ultrasound. Kratov promised that this can be done not earlier than three weeks later. Kratov gave the same promise to Magnitsky's mother during their face-to face meeting. The question arises why Kratov said nothing to members of the POC that he had written a report on the need for Magnitsky to have ultrasound? Did he actually write such report? Or did Kratov deceive Magnitsky and his mother?"	28-Dec-09	Conclusion by the Moscow Public Oversight Commission on Mr Magnitsky's detention and death naming Mr Kratov	D1818.pdf
						Mr Kratov was found not guilty of negligence for the death of Mr Magnitsky by Tverskoi District Court in Moscow who found that he "undertook all necessary measures" to provide Mr Magnitsky with medical care	"...from the evidence studied in the court hearing follows, that D.B. Kratov who was brought to trial being a deputy head of detention center in charge of medical and preventative work of FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Moscow city [Butyrka], carried out the management of the medical section of the said detention center, undertook all necessary measures to provide S.L. Magnitsky with medical care in relation to the diseases identified on the latter."	28-Dec-12	Kratov Verdict as not guilty of negligence for Mr Magnitsky's death by Tverskoi District court of Moscow	D1819.pdf

Larisa Anatolievna Litvinova	18-Nov-63	Medica at Butyrka	FSIN	A	In her medical role at Butyrka, was responsible for Mr Magnitsky's health	After Mr Magnitsky's death, members of the Moscow Public Oversight Commission interviewed Ms Litvinova who was responsible for Mr Magnitsky's health and did not find satisfactory answers. Under the official Russian investigation, Ms Litvinova was charged for the first time 20 months after Mr Magnitsky's death with an inadvertent manslaughter, and released from prosecution in April 2011 on the 2-year statute of limitation	Conclusion by POC: "Members of the POC have met twice with Dr. Litvinova, the head of the therapeutic ward of Butyrka. She told members of the POC that she first saw Magnitsky on October 7, 2009: "During the doctor's round, he complained of exacerbation of chronic cholecystitis. He had with him a hospital discharge record preceding custody. I read the record and gave it back to him. Magnitsky said that he was having aggravation of osteochondrosis. We placed him in the therapeutic ward." Magnitsky stayed at the therapeutic ward of SIZO Butyrka from October 7 to November 12, 2009. Dr. Litvinova told members of the POC that she studied his medical history from his medical record book. She could not but know that he had had ultrasound of abdominal cavity in Matrosskaya Tishina. And during the conversation with members of the POC, Litvinova said that she "did not recall" that a second ultrasound was prescribed for him to be performed after one month. Members of the POC noted that both Litvinova and Dmitry Kratov, the chief medical officer of the detention center were trying to dodge the question of the second ultrasound....Litvinova told to members of POC that she was already absent on the second half of Friday November 13, 2009. Magnitsky complained about vomiting and severe pain on his right side. He was hospitalized by a medical assistant who informed Litvinova about his medical conditions. According to Litvinova she fully trusts medical assistants who over the weekend (November 14 and 15) applied the same therapy as before. POC members found this approach of Litvinova questionable. If a person who was released after improvement in his status subsequently shows a deterioration in his medical conditions, does it not mean that requires additional, more qualified medical assistance? Litvinova claimed to members of POC that "if she believed she would not be able to deal with Magnitsky herself, then she would have hospitalized him." She understood it too late. According to Litvinova at the morning on Monday November 16, 2009, when she examined Magnitsky she spotted that "his stomach is reasonably tensed, acute belting pain, vomiting every three hours." Litvinova decided that his status "required surgical examination, because the gallstone could close the canal." Besides that, as Litvinova admitted to POC members "it was necessary to push for an examination – I thought he had a chronic disease." This statement of Litvinova – "it was necessary to push for an examination" – could not be described as only a slip of tongue. It looks like somebody was preventing	28-Dec-09	Conclusion by the Moscow Public Oversight Commission on Mr Magnitsky's detention and death naming Ms Litvinova	D1820.pdf
Elena Valentinovna Chepeleva	5-Aug-67	Nurse	FSIN	A	In her role as nurse at Butyrka, was responsible for the initial examination of Mr Magnitsky's on arrival to Butyrka and organising his treatment in accordance with prescriptions which were never carried out	Ms Chepeleva claimed to have examined Mr Magnitsky on arrival to Butyrka detention center where he was transferred on 25 July 2009 from Matrosskaya Tishina detention center, and to have found him "healthy," in spite of the diagnosis of pancreatitis, cholecystitis and gallstones identified at Matrosskaya Tishina, and the prescription of a repeat medical ultrasound, planned surgery, and a course of medicines, stated in his medical record, but which were not provided at Butyrka	Kratov Verdict: "On 25 July 2009, ...S.L. Magnitsky arrived to FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia of Moscow [Butyrka], and the diagnosis was established to him: "practically healthy", which was found to be confirmed among others during the examination by a paramedic of FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia of Moscow E.V. Chepeleva."	28-Dec-12	Kratov Verdict as not guilty of negligence for Mr Magnitsky's death naming Ms Chepeleva	D1530.pdf
						After Mr Magnitsky's death, members of the Moscow Public Oversight Commission noted a discrepancy between Ms Chepeleva's claims of examining Mr Magnitsky's and the record of his letters refuting it	Conclusion by POC: "During the meeting with members of the POC, Dmitry Komnov, Head of Matrosskaya Tishina detention center said that upon arrival on July 25, 2009, Magnitsky was examined by paramedic Chepeleva. He presented no complaints. He did not complain of heart troubles. Whereas Magnitsky, in his statements, points out that upon his arrival to Butyrka he had no medical examination. One is surprised by paramedic Chepeleva's statement that Magnitsky did not complain of anything during the initial examination. In any case, he was to mention the need to have a second ultrasound."	28-Dec-09	Conclusion by the Moscow Public Oversight Commission on Mr Magnitsky's detention and death naming Ms Chepeleva	D1822.pdf

Olga Alexeevna (Pridanova) Morozova	3-Feb-87	Paramedic	FSIN	A	In her role as a nurse at Butyrka, was responsible for Mr Magnitsky's health	Ms Morozova, according to prison records, examined Mr Magnitsky three days prior to his death, failing to call a doctor, a specialist or an ambulance or to request tests to be carried out	"According to testimony from O.A. Morozova (Pridanova), given during the preliminary investigation and announced at the court hearing..., in November 2009, she worked as a paramedic at FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow. ...In the evening on 13 November 2009 she conducted an examination of S.L. Magnitsky who was delivered to her who expressed complaints about feeling unwell, poorly, and pains in the stomach area..."	28-Dec-12	Kratov Verdict as not guilty of negligence for Mr Magnitsky's death naming Ms Morozova	D1837.pdf
Galina Pavlovna Nikitina	30-May-59	Nurse	FSIN	A	In her role as a paramedic at Butyrka, was responsible for Mr Magnitsky's health	Ms Nikitina, for the first time questioned by investigators nearly two years after Mr Magnitsky's death, was responsible in her role as paramedic for Mr Magnitsky's health in detention, denied that she received any "characteristic instructions" concerning Mr Magnitsky from the management of detention center	G.P. Nikitina: "Concerning S.L. Magnitsky with applications of any kind, nobody ever came to me. Characteristic instructions in an oral or written form from the management of detention center concerning S.L. Magnitsky never came to me."	3-Oct-11	Testimony by Ms Nikitina	D1533.pdf
Olga Evgenievna Stratonnikova	1-Jan-66	Nurse	FSIN	A	In her role as a paramedic at Butyrka, was responsible for Mr Magnitsky's health	Ms Stratonnikova, for the first time questioned by investigators nearly two years after Mr Magnitsky's death, was responsible in her role as paramedic for Mr Magnitsky's health in detention, denied that she received any "characteristic instructions" concerning Mr Magnitsky from the management of detention center	O.E. Stratonnikova: "Concerning S.L. Magnitsky nobody ever came to me. Characteristic instructions in an oral or written form from the management of detention center concerning S.L. Magnitsky never came to me."	28-Sep-11	Testimony by Ms Stratonnikova	D1534.pdf
Evgeniya Alexeevna Efimova	20-Sep-88	Nurse	FSIN	A, B	In her role as a nurse at Butyrka, was responsible for Mr Magnitsky's health in detention center	Ms Efimova was a nurse at Butyrka during the period of Mr Magnitsky's detention and responsible for execution of prescriptions. She was questioned for the first time more than half a year after Mr Magnitsky's death and denied remembering any details, in spite Mr Magnitsky being held at the cells of the therapeutic unit she was responsible for during over a month	"I hold the position of medical nurse of the therapeutic unit of FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow since 06.09.2007 and until now. My duties include the execution of prescriptions of the doctor. I know based on the journal of shifts, that on 13.11.2009 an investigated and arrested S.L. Magnitsky was admitted to the therapeutic unit No 3 of FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow...Currently, I can't say if I performed any prescriptions from the doctor to S.L. Magnitsky..."	7-Jun-10	Testimony by Ms Efimova	D1535.pdf
A.V. Polkin		Deputy head of Butyrka detention center in charge of Personnel and HR	FSIN	A	In his role as a senior officer of Butyrka, was responsible for the cover up of Mr Magnitsky's complaints in custody	After Mr Magnitsky's death, Mr Polkin was demoted according to the report from the Federal Penal Service on Mr Magnitsky's death in relation to the absence of records of complaints in Butyrka during the period Mr Magnitsky's had filed complaints about his ill-treatment with the administration of detention center. The circumstances of the disappearance of Mr Magnitsky's complaints at Butyrka have never been properly investigated	A.A. Reimer, Director of FSIN to E.L. Zabarchuk, Deputy General Prosecutor's Office on the results of consideration of the prosecutor's submission: "deputy head of detention center A.V. Polkin who served as chairman of the commission for the consideration of complaints and petitions, have been removed from that position and used with a demotion."	23-Jan-10	Report by Mr Reimer to Deputy General Prosecutor on Mr Magnitsky's treatment and death in custody	D1518.pdf

K.S. Shir		Head of Correspondence Department	FSIN	A	In the role as a senior officer of Butyrka, was responsible for the cover up of Mr Magnitsky's complaints in custody	After Mr Magnitsky's death, Ms Shir was fired according to the report from the Federal Penal Service on Mr Magnitsky's death in relation to the absence of records of complaints in Butyrka during the period Mr Magnitsky's had filed complaints about his ill-treatment with the administration of detention center. The circumstances of the disappearance of Mr Magnitsky's complaints at Butyrka have never been properly investigated	A.A. Reimer, Director of FSIN to E.L. Zabarchuk, Deputy General Prosecutor's Office on the results of consideration of the prosecutor's submission: "head of correspondence department K.S.Shir has been fired."	23-Jan-10	Report by Mr Reimer to Deputy General Prosecutor on Mr Magnitsky's treatment and death in custody	D1520.pdf
Matrosskaya Tishina										
Ivan Pavlovich Prokopenko	28-Sep-73	Head of FBU SIZO 1	FSIN	A, B	In his role as head of federal detention center SIZO 1 Matrosskaya Tishina, authorised the transfer of Mr Magnitsky to a different detention center without medical facilities	Mr Prokopenko was responsible for the transfer in July 2009 of Mr Magnitsky diagnosed with pancreatitis and prescribed with treatment, to a different detention center without adequate medical facilities	"The head of Matrosskaya Tishina detention center Ivan Pavlovich Prokopenko gave the following reasons: Prokopenko: "We had decided to do a renovation, and we needed to vacate one floor...." Prokopenko's subjective assessment of Magnitsky's health condition is not relevant. What is relevant is the medical doctors' objective opinion. Prokopenko was aware of that opinion. By transferring Magnitsky from Matrosskaya Tishina to Butyrka Prison he deprived Magnitsky of the possibility to receive the needed medical assistance. The renovation plans cannot justify the decision. As this report is published, there is no renovation underway, despite the fact that Magnitsky was transferred five months ago...Prokopenko said it was his initiative, and Investigator Silchenko supported it."	28-Dec-09	Conclusion by the Moscow Public Oversight Commission on Mr Magnitsky's detention and death naming Mr Prokopenko	D1799.pdf
D.I. Vasiliev		Acting head of FBU SIZO 1	FSIN	A	In his role as acting head of federal detention center SIZO 1 Matrosskaya Tishina, was responsible for Mr Magnitsky's treatment in detention	Mr Vasiliev was responsible for issuing a reprimand to Mr Magnitsky for not keeping hands at the back while being escorted for a medical examination	D.I. Vasiliev: "In accordance with Article 38 of the Federal Law On Detention...for the violation of Rules of internal order of detention center expressed in the violation of rules of conduct of suspects and accused, and specifically that on 10.07.2009 accused Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich, born 1972, during movement in the regime building of the facility did not keep his hands at the back, did not react to the reprimands from employees of the detention center who escorted him, order: 1. To announce a reprimand to accused Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich born 1972	16-Jul-09	Penalty imposed by Mr Vasiliev on Mr Magnitsky in custody	D1540.pdf
Yuri Anatolievich Delov	28-Mar-80	Inspector of internal service of FBU SIZO 1	FSIN	A	In his role as inspector, reported Mr Magnitsky for an alleged breach of internal procedure when being escorted to a hospital	Mr Delov signed a report about Mr Magnitsky's breaching internal procedure by not keeping hands at the back while being escorted to the hospital, resulting in a reprimand to Mr Magnitsky being issued	Y.A. Delov: "I report that on 10.07.2009 during escorting the accused S.L.Magnitsky to the territory of the hospital of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow, during the movement in the regime building of FBU SIZO-1 did not keep hands behind back and did not respond to reprimands."	10-Jul-09	Report by Mr Delov in relation to Mr Magnitsky	D1541.pdf
Viktor Vyacheslavovich Stepanov	24-Jul-75	Head of medical unit, FBU SIZO 1 Lt Colonel	FSIN	A	In his role as senior official of detention center SIZO 1 Matrosskaya Tishina, signed a report about an alleged breach of internal procedure by Mr Magnitsky when being escorted to a hospital	Mr Stepanov signed a report about Mr Magnitsky's breaching internal procedure by not keeping hands at the back while being escorted to the hospital, resulting in a reprimand to Mr Magnitsky being issued	V.V. Stepanov: "I report that on 10.07.2009 during escorting the accused S.L.Magnitsky to the territory of the hospital of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow, during the movement in the regime building of the Facility did not keep hands behind back and did not respond to reprimands."	10-Jul-09	Report by Mr Stepanov in relation to Mr Magnitsky	D1542.pdf

Natalia Mitrofanovna Tarasyuk	18-Aug-60	Senior paramedic	FSIN		In her role as senior paramedic, allegedly medically examined Mr Magnitsky on 24 July 2009 one day before his transfer to Butyrka and stamped his medical record to the effect that he was healthy and fit to be transferred, contrary to medical recommendations and diagnoses	Ms Tarasyuk allegedly medically examined Mr Magnitsky on 24 July 2009, one day before his transfer to Butyrka and stamped his medical record to the effect that he was healthy and fit to be transferred, in spite of the previous medical diagnoses issued by doctors of pancreatitis, cholecystitis, and gallstones, and prescriptions of the course of medicines, a controlled ultrasound examination within a month and a surgery stated in the same medical record	Kratov Accusation, page 66: "According to a testimony by senior paramedic of a medical unit of FKU SIZO 1 of FSIN of Russia N.M. Tarasyuk from 16 September 2011..On 24 July 2009, she was at the 24-hour shift duty, during which she carried out an examination of Sergei Leonidovich Magnitsky who was leaving the detention center. During the examination, he did not state any complaints about his state of health."	7-Jul-12	Accusation of Mr Kratov for negligence naming Ms Tarasyuk	D1581.pdf
Fikhret Gabdulla Ogly Tagiev	3-Apr-62	Head of FBU IZ-77/1 (Matrosskaya Tishina), Colonel	FSIN	A, B	In his role as the most senior official of Matrosskaya Tishina detention center, was responsible for authorising the use of special means of restraint on Mr Magnitsky and the cover up of the circumstances of his death	Mr Tagiev approved the application of special means of restraint on Mr Magnitsky justified by the need to prevent bodily harm and suicide, ignoring that Mr Magnitsky was officially brought to Matrosskaya Tishina for the purpose of an "urgent admission to a hospital" and instead was held at a regular cell of the collection unit until his death	"Approve. F.G. Tagiev" on the "Report on the application of handcuffs. I report that on 16.11.2009 at 19hr 30 min. during the conduct of my service at the post of the collection unit I, deputy aid to head of detention center on duty, Lt of internal service, O.G. Kuznetsov at the post of the collection unit in accordance with Article 45 of the Law on Detention...and Article 30 of the Law on Penal Facilities and Penal Bodies, used special means in relation to suspect, accused, convicted Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich. Handcuffs used due to the threat to commit an act of bodily harm and suicide. Deputy Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty, Lt of internal service O.G. Kuznetsov"	17-Nov-09	Report on the use of handcuffs on Mr Magnitsky approved by Mr Tagiev	D1823.pdf
						In the conclusion of the internal probe of 20 November 2009 approved by Mr Tagiev on the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's death, the use of special means of restraint on him shortly before his death and visible injuries had been covered up. Mr Tagiev approved the recommendation of his subordinates to cease any further internal probe and to discard the materials to an archive ("file").	From F.G. Tagiev to G.V. Kharlamov of Preobrazhensky investigative district of Moscow: "I am sending to your address the conclusion on the materials of the probe into the fact of death of arrested S.L. Magnitsky." Authorisation on the conclusion: "Approve. Head of FBU IZ 77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow, Colonel of internal affairs, F.G. Tagiev". Conclusion is signed by M.V. Lapshin and Mr M.K. Zakharov: "...No operational information was received on the signs of violent death or death of S.L. Magnitsky due to unnatural causes. On the contrary, the received materials of the probe indicate that the death of S.L. Magnitsky occurred due to illness. On the basis of the above and guided by the materials of the probe, would believe: 1. Further probe to be ceased; copies of the materials of the probe to be discarded to file of the operational unit of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow. 2. Materials about the fact of death of arrested S.L. Magnitsky have been provided on 18.11.2009 ref No 50/2/1-9073 for the adoption of corresponding decision to the investigative unit for Preobrazhensky district of the investigative department of the Investigative Committee of RF in Moscow..."	24-Nov-09	Letter by Mr Tagiev with the conclusion approved by Mr Tagiev of the internal probe on Mr Magnitsky's death	D1544.pdf
						Mr Tagiev approved the conclusion of the internal probe on the lawfulness of the use of handcuffs on Mr Magnitsky before his death and authorised to discard it to the archive ("file")	"Approve. F.G. Tagiev" on the Conclusion by operative Bazaev on the use of handcuffs on Mr Magnitsky: "I, authorised operative of Operations Unit of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Moscow senior Lt of internal service V.E. Bazaev, having considered the materials of the probe into the fact of the use of special means (handcuffs) in relation to Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich, born 1972, has determined:...that handcuffs...had been used to stop the unlawful acts, the disobedience to lawful demands of employees of places of detention in custody, and to cause [sic] bodily harm to himself and those around him. The special means were used in accordance with Article 45 of the Federal Law On Detention...and Article 30 On Penal Facilities and Penal Bodies. During the application of handcuffs no breaches of the law have occurred. On the basis of the above and guided by the materials of the probe, would believe: 1. Further probe to be ceased, materials of the probe to be discarded to the file of the regime unit of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Moscow."	24-Nov-09	Conclusion approved by Mr Tagiev of the internal probe into the use of handcuffs on Mr Magnitsky	D1824.pdf

Alexandr Yurievich Kuleshov	29-May-62	Head of Department in charge of Supplies and Procurement	FSIN	A	In his role as one of the most senior officers next to the head of detention center, was responsible for the health and life of Mr Magnitsky	Mr Kuleshov was one of the most senior officers at the detention center during the period when Mr Magnitsky arrived to Matrosskaya Tishina until the time his death was recorded there	"On 16.11.2009 I was responsible on behalf of the management for the facility, my duties included the checks and carrying out of service by employees of the facility. Closer to the evening, I can't say today the precise time, I received a call from a person on duty who said that S.L. Magnitsky, a problem person arrested and held in custody by investigation, who had problem with health was delivered to the collection unit of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Moscow [Matrosskaya Tishina] from FBU IZ-77/2 of UFSIN of Moscow [Butyrka]. I immediately arrived to the collection unit, where I saw S.L. Magnitsky, the medical person, I think it was a paramedic on duty was finishing his examination. S.L. Magnitsky was standing and holding to the metal bar, acted aggressively, this was manifested in shouting, he shouted some phrases which by now I can't repeat, the only thing I recall is that Magnitsky shouted: Why are you all gathered here?" I noted that his forehead was sweating, the face was very pale, the eyes were expanded...Some time later S.L. Magnitsky calmed down, sat on the bench and said that he did not feel very well...to which he was responded again that soon he would be taken up to the hospital. I stayed for some more time at the collection unit, assured myself that the aggression of S.L. Magnitsky passed, he calmed down, following this I left the collection unit. In about 15-20 minutes, a man on duty called me and said that S.L. Magnitsky fell poorly again and that emergency ambulances was called which was on its way...In some more time again a man on duty called me and said that S.L. Magnitsky was transferred to the reanimation unit where he died... Upon receiving this information I went to the duty unit to see his personal file, because there was a need to prepare a special report on the death of S.L. Magnitsky."	16-Sep-10	Record of testimony by Mr Kuleshov on Mr Magnitsky's death	D1551.pdf
M.V. Lapshin		Operative of the Operations Unit, Senior Lt	FSIN	B	In his role as operative of Matrosskaya Tishina detention center, carried out an internal probe which recommended to discard the materials of the probe into Mr Magnitsky's death into an archive	Four days after Mr Magnitsky's death, Mr Lapshin issued the conclusion on the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's death and recommended to send its materials to an archive ("file")	M.V. Lapshin: "No operational information was received on the signs of violent death or death of S.L. Magnitsky due to unnatural causes. On the contrary, the received materials of the probe indicate that the death of S.L. Magnitsky occurred due to illness. On the basis of the above and guided by the materials of the probe, would believe: 1. Further probe to be ceased; copies of the materials of the probe to be discarded to file of the operational unit of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow. 2. Materials about the fact of death of arrested S.L. Magnitsky have been provided on 18.11.2009 ref No 50/2/1-9073 for the adoption of corresponding decision to the investigative unit for Preobrazhensky district of the investigative department of the Investigative Committee of RF in Moscow"	20-Nov-09	Conclusion by Mr Lapshin of the probe on the death of Mr Magnitsky	D1582.pdf
Mikhail Konstantinovich Zakharov	2-Apr-78	Deputy Head of Operations Unit, Major	FSIN	B	In his role as senior official of Matrosskaya Tishina detention center, authorised the recommendation of an internal probe to discard the materials of the probe about Mr Magnitsky's death into an archive	Four days after Mr Magnitsky's death, Mr Zakharov authorised the recommendation to send the materials of the internal probe on Mr Magnitsky's death to an archive ("file")	M.K. Zakharov: "Agreed" on the Conclusion of the probe: "No operational information was received on the signs of violent death or death of S.L. Magnitsky due to unnatural causes. On the contrary, the received materials of the probe indicate that the death of S.L. Magnitsky occurred due to illness. On the basis of the above and guided by the materials of the probe, would believe: 1. Further probe to be ceased; copies of the materials of the probe to be discarded to file of the operational unit of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow. 2. Materials about the fact of death of arrested S.L. Magnitsky have been provided on 18.11.2009 ref No 50/2/1-9073 for the adoption of corresponding decision to the investigative unit for Preobrazhensky district of the investigative department of the Investigative Committee of RF in Moscow"	20-Nov-09	Conclusion agreed by Mr Zakharov of the probe on the death of Mr Magnitsky	D1583.pdf

Dmitry Fedorovich Markov	2-Sep-67	Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty (DPNSI), Major	FSIN	A, B	In his role as one of most senior officers on duty at Matrosskaya Tishina detention center, was involved in the application of special means of restraint on Mr Magnitsky before his death and the subsequent cover up	Mr Markov signed the act on the use of handcuffs on Mr Magnitsky which indicates also the use of rubber baton. Subsequently it was claimed to be a technical error in the record and that it meant to state that handcuffs had been applied to Mr Magnitsky before his death. The circumstances of the application of restraint were never properly investigated	"Act on the use of handcuffs. 16.11.2009 at 19 hr 30 min. Deputy Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty O.G. Kuznetsov at the post of collection unit in accordance with Article 45 of the Law on Detention...and Article 30 of the Law on Penal Facilities and Penal Bodies used a rubber baton in relation to suspect, accused, convicted Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich. Handcuffs were used due to the threat to commit an act of bodily harm and suicide. The handcuffs were taken off at 20 hr 00 min on 16.11.2009. Witnesses: P.V. Borovkov, inspector, Lt of internal service. A.E. Larin, junior inspector...Signed by Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty of the shift No 4, major of internal service, D.F. Markin [sic]"	16-Nov-09	Act on the use of handcuffs stating the use of rubber baton on Mr Magnitsky	D1601.pdf
						Mr Markov was interviewed by the Public Oversight Commission. During the interview, Mr Markov claimed that Mr Magnitsky had fallen ill during the examination by a psychiatric medical team, when the psychiatric medical team stated that Mr Magnitsky had been already dead by the time they entered his cell	"Testimony by Markov. Markov was accompanied by his deputy, Lieutenant Kuznetsov. When we asked him whether Magnitsky's hands were blue (as it was known from Magnitsky's mother, she found that his hands were damaged), he replied that he saw only signs of handcuffs. He was brought to Matrosskaya Tishina in handcuffs. He came to the entrance department himself. Thirty minutes after fit of psychosis already in the isolation cell, the handcuffs were taken off him, he was normal. Psychiatric emergence came to the room and during the examination he felt bad, he was sitting on the floor, he had obvious difficulty breathing and he was sweating...Testimony by doctor Alexandra Gaus:..Being asked, whether his hands were damaged, she replied that there were signs of handcuffs and it was reflected in medical card. Being asked what psychiatric emergency did, what did doctor do, she said that called for enforcement DPNSI (Fedorovich) who came with approximately eight people. They put handcuffs on Magnitsky's hands. He didn't oppose them, but stayed in handcuffs, looked inadequate and gazed round. After handcuffs she ordered to make him injection in order diminish pain in stomach...Our conversation with Dr. Vitaly Kornilov, the psychiatrist from the emergency ward, (over the phone) helped to understand more in details. The ambulance arrived to the Matrosskaya Tishina Prison at 8 pm, and not at 8.48 pm as was reported earlier by the administration, however, the doctors were not allowed to go through to see the patient, as such they were waiting for an hour. Dr Kornilov further added: "Being the doctors from the Emergency Services, we were waiting in case our help in providing reanimation actions would be requested. Finally we were called upon. We entered into the cell. The patient was lying on the floor lifeless and we concluded that he had already died. There were a number of medical personal there. Then a male doctor came in. I do not recall seeing any female doctor there."	28-Dec-09	Conclusion by the Moscow Public Oversight Commission on Mr Magnitsky's detention and death naming Mr Markov	D1801.pdf
						Mr Markov co-signed the act of death of Mr Magnitsky	D.F. Markov: "Act of Death:..we state the death of: Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovichm born 1972 occurring on 16 November 2009 at 21 hr 50 min. Cause of Death: Toxic shock. Acute cardio-vascular insufficiency. Diagnosis: Gallstones. Acute calculous cholecystitis. Acute pancreatitis. Pancreonecrosis? Acute psychosis. Closed cerebral trauma?"	16-Nov-09	Act of death of Mr Magnitsky	D1545.pdf
Oleg Gennadievich Kuznetsov	11-Jul-82	Deputy Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty (DPNSI), Lt	FSIN	A, B	In his role as senior officer of Matrosskaya Tishina detention center, was involved in the application of special means of restraint on Mr Magnitsky before his death and the subsequent cover up	Mr Kuznetsov was involved in the application of special means of restraint on Mr Magnitsky shortly before his death, justifying it in the official report by the need to prevent bodily harm and suicide, disregarding that Mr Magnitsky was transferred to Matrosskaya Tishina for the official purpose of "urgent admission to a hospital" on account of acute diseases	"Report on the application of handcuffs. I report that on 16.11.2009 at 19hr 30 min. during the conduct of my service at the post of the collection unit I, deputy aid to head of detention center on duty, Lt of internal service, O.G. Kuznetsov at the post of the collection unit in accordance with Article 45 of the Law on Detention...and Article 30 of the Law on Penal Facilities and Penal Bodies, used special means in relation to suspect, accused, convicted Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich. Handcuffs used due to the threat to commit an act of bodily harm and suicide. Deputy Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty, Lt of internal service O.G. Kuznetsov"	16-Nov-09	Report on the use of handcuffs on Mr Magnitsky	D1802.pdf

					Mr Kuznetsov signed the record stating the use of handcuffs and referring to the use of a rubber baton on Mr Magnitsky shortly before his death	"Act on the use of handcuffs. 16.11.2009 at 19 hr 30 min. Deputy Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty O.G. Kuznetsov at the post of collection unit in accordance with Article 45 of the Law on Detention...and Article 30 of the Law on Penal Facilities and Penal Bodies used a rubber baton in relation to suspect, accused, convicted Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich. Handcuffs were used due to the threat to commit an act of bodily harm and suicide. The handcuffs were taken off at 20 hr 00 min on 16.11.2009. Witnesses: P.V. Borovkov, inspector, Lt of internal service. A.E. Larin, junior inspector...Signed by Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty of the shift No 4, major of internal service, D.F. Markin [sic]"	16-Nov-09	Act on the use of handcuffs stating the use of rubber baton on Mr Magnitsky	D1546.pdf	
Valentin Egorovich Bazaev	13-Jun-84	Operative of Operations Unit of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia of Moscow, Senior Lt.	FSIN	A, B	In his role as operative of Matrosskaya Tishina detention center, was part of the group of officers who admitted Mr Magnitsky to Matrosskaya Tishina and were responsible for his safety, and after Mr Magnitsky's death carried out an internal probe on the use of handcuffs on Mr Magnitsky, recommending to discard the materials of the probe into an archive	Mr Bazaev was part of the group of officers who admitted Mr Magnitsky on arrival to Matrosskaya Tishina, and were responsible for his safety. After Mr Magnitsky's death, Mr Bazaev carried out an internal probe into the use of special means of restraint (handcuffs) on Mr Magnitsky in his last hours of life, and recommended to discard its materials to an archive ("file")	"I, authorised operative of Operations Unit of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Moscow senior Lt of internal service V.E. Bazaev, having considered the materials of the probe into the fact of the use of special means (handcuffs) in relation to Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich, born 1972, has determined:...that handcuffs...had been used to stop the unlawful acts, the disobedience to lawful demands of employees of places of detention in custody, and to cause [sic] bodily harm to himself and those around him. The special means were used in accordance with Article 45 of the Federal Law On Detention...and Article 30 On Penal Facilities and Penal Bodies. During the application of handcuffs no breaches of the law have occurred. On the basis of the above and guided by the materials of the probe, would believe: 1. Further probe to be ceased, materials of the probe to be discarded to the file of the regime unit of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Moscow."	24-Nov-09	Conclusion by Mr Bazaev of the internal probe into the use of handcuffs on Mr Magnitsky	D1565.pdf
Alexander Grigorievich Grechkunovksy	11-Aug-80	Head of Operations Unit, f FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Russia of Moscow, Major	FSIN	B	In his role as most senior officer in charge of Operations at Matrosskaya Tishina detention center, after Mr Magnitsky's death authorised to discard the materials of the internal probe on the use of handcuffs on Mr Magnitsky before his death	Mr Grechkunovsky approved the decision to send to an archive ("file") the materials of the internal probe into the use of handcuffs on Mr Magnitsky in the last hours of his life, finding no violations of the law	A.G. Grechkunovsky: "Agree" on the Conclusion of the probe on the use of special means: "I, authorised operative of Operations Unit of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Moscow senior Lt of internal service V.E. Bazaev, having considered the materials of the probe into the fact of the use of special means (handcuffs) in relation to Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich, born 1972, has determined:...that handcuffs...had been used to stop the unlawful acts, the disobedience to lawful demands of employees of places of detention in custody, and to cause [sic] bodily harm to himself and those around him. The special means were used in accordance with Article 45 of the Federal Law On Detention...and Article 30 On Penal Facilities and Penal Bodies. During the application of handcuffs no breaches of the law have occurred. On the basis of the above and guided by the materials of the probe, would believe: 1. Further probe to be ceased, materials of the probe to be discarded to the file of the regime unit of FBU IZ-77/1 of UFSIN of Moscow."	24-Nov-09	Conclusion agreed by Mr Grechkunovsky of the internal probe into the use of handcuffs on Mr Magnitsky	D1547.pdf
Petr Vasilievich Borovkov	3-Sep-75	Inspector, Lt	FSIN	A, B	In his role as officer at the detention center, was involved in the application of special means of restraint on Mr Magnitsky in his final hours, was responsible for Mr. Magnitsky's safety and the cover up of the circumstances of his death	Mr Borovkov signed as a witness the record stating the use of handcuffs and referring to the use of rubber baton on Mr Magnitsky shortly before his death	"Act on the use of handcuffs. 16.11.2009 at 19 hr 30 min. Deputy Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty O.G. Kuznetsov at the post of collection unit in accordance with Article 45 of the Law on Detention...and Article 30 of the Law on Penal Facilities and Penal Bodies used a rubber baton in relation to suspect, accused, convicted Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich. Handcuffs were used due to the threat to commit an act of bodily harm and suicide. The handcuffs were taken off at 20 hr 00 min on 16.11.2009. Witnesses: P.V. Borovkov, inspector, Lt of internal service. A.E. Larin, junior inspector...Signed by Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty of the shift No 4, major of internal service, D.F. Markin [sic]"	16-Nov-09	Act signed by Mr Borovkov on the use of handcuffs stating the use of rubber baton	D1803.pdf

Alexei Evgenievich Larin	30-Jan-85	Junior Inspector	FSIN	A, B	In his role as officer at the detention center, was assigned to monitor Mr Magnitsky in the isolation cell where was found dead, was involved in the use of restraints, and the subsequent cover up of the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's death	Mr Larin signed as a witness the record stating the use of handcuffs and referring to the use of rubber baton on Mr Magnitsky shortly before his death, including the use of special means of restraint shortly before his death	Act on the use of handcuffs. 16.11.2009 at 19 hr 30 min. Deputy Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty O.G. Kuznetsov at the post of collection unit in accordance with Article 45 of the Law on Detention...and Article 30 of the Law on Penal Facilities and Penal Bodies used a rubber baton in relation to suspect, accused, convicted Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich. Handcuffs were used due to the threat to commit an act of bodily harm and suicide. The handcuffs were taken off at 20 hr 00 min on 16.11.2009. Witnesses: P.V. Borovkov, inspector, Lt of internal service. A.E. Larin, junior inspector...Signed by Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty of the shift No 4, major of internal service, D.F. Markin [sic]"	16-Nov-09	Act signed by Mr Larin on the use of handcuffs stating the use of rubber baton on Mr Magnitsky	D1804.pdf
Vadim Alexandrovich Romanov	14-Jul-72	Deputy Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty (DPNSI), Captain	FSIN	A, B	In his role as one of the most senior officers in charge of detention center, was responsible for Mr Magnitsky's life and health and subsequent cover up	Mr Romanov was on senior shift duty at the detention center during the time when Mr Magnitsky was brought to Matrosskaya Tishina for the "urgent admission to a hospital", was instead handcuffed, placed in an isolation cell where his death was recorded by civilian doctors. Mr Romanov wrote an internal report about the circumstances of Mr Magnitsky's death covering it up	From Mr Romanov to F. Tagiev, head of Matrosskaya Tishina: "Report. I report to you that ...S.Magnitsky arrived approximately at 18:20 from SIZO-2 of Moscow was placed [sic] in the medical room for an examination in the room [sic] was without other detainees under the supervision of junior inspector ...Larin. Approximately at 19:00 he began rioting and he was placed in handcuffs in cell No 4 at the collection unit without other detainees under the supervision of ...Larin. Approximately at 20:00 the handcuffs were taken off, because ...Magnitsky calmed down. Approximately at 21:00 he fell ill and at 21:10 approximately a doctor on duty was called. Deputy Aid to Head of Detention Center, captain of internal service ...Romanov"	24-Nov-09	Report by Mr Romanov on Mr Magnitsky's death	D1549.pdf
N.N. Klimkin	18-Nov-76	Deputy Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty (DPNSI)	FSIN	A	In his role as one of the most senior officers in charge of detention center, was responsible for Mr Magnitsky's life and health	Mr Klimkin was a senior officer on duty serving during the period of Mr Magnitsky's arrival to Matrosskaya Tishina and his death	"Order...1. Appoint for duty from 08:30 on 16.11.2009 until 08:30 on 17.11.2009 duty shift No 4. ...2. Approve the personal composition of duty shift...Deputy DPNSI [Aid to Head of Detention Center on Duty] captain of internal service N.N. Klimkin."	13-Nov-09	Decree appointing Mr Klimkin on duty shift	D1552.pdf
Olga Alexandrovna Yurkevich	26-Aug-51	Deputy Chief Doctor	FSIN	A	In her role of senior medical officer at Matrosskaya Tishina, was point of contact for the arranging admission of Mr Magnitsky to a hospital on the day of his death which never took place	Dr Yurkevich at Matrosskaya Tishina was the senior point of contact for Butyrka medical personnel for making arrangements on the last day of Mr Magnitsky's life to admit him to Matrosskaya Tishina hospital, where he was never admitted	O.A. Yurkevich: "Ambulance call record...Trigger: Acute pancreatitis. Wait time. Convoy guards. 2hr. 35'. Note: Dr Yurkevich, deputy chief doctor. Admitted Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich...Diagnosis: Acute cholecystotopancreatitis...Dr Gaus..."	16-Nov-09	Ambulance record noting arrangement with Dr Yurkevich on Mr Magnitsky's transfer	D1825.pdf
						After Mr Magnitsky's death, Deputy head of Butyrka Kratov described arrangements with Matrosskaya Tishina doctor to transfer Mr Magnitsky for hospital treatment to members of the Moscow Public Oversight Commission	Kratov: "I called to Matrosskaya Tishina and said to Olga Alexandrovna (doctor of Matrosskaya Tishina, 'We are transporting a patient to you. It would be nice to examine him. Pancreatitis.'" Alexandrovna: "Does he have pancreonecrosis? (a lethal condition requiring immediate treatment) Kratov: "No." Alexandrovna: "Then why are you transporting him?" Kratov: "Just acute Pancreatitis."	28-Dec-09	Conclusion by the Moscow Public Oversight Commission on Mr Magnitsky's detention and death naming "Olga Alexandrovna"	D1805.pdf
Alexandra Viktorovna Gaus	29-Mar-75	Doctor on duty, Captain	FSIN	A, B	In her role as doctor on duty at Matrosskaya Tishina, was responsible for the health and life of Mr Magnitsky upon his arrival to Matrosskaya Tishina and for the subsequent cover up	Dr Gaus co-signed the act of death of Mr Magnitsky	A.V. Gaus "Act of Death...we state the death of: Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovichm born 1972 occuring on 16 November 2009 at 21 hr 50 min. Cause of Death: Toxic shock. Acute cardio-vascular insufficiency. Diagnosis: Gallstones. Acute calculous cholecystitis. Acute pancreatitis. Pancreonecrosis? Acute psychosis. Closed cerebral trauma?"	16-Nov-09	Act of death of Mr Magnitsky signed by Dr Gaus	D1573.pdf

					Members of the Moscow Public Oversight Commission interviewed Dr Gaus and raised questions about her "reaction and behaviour" during the last hours of Mr Magnitsky's life in their conclusions	"Account of Dr. Gauss. She saw Magnitsky in the nurse's cabinet. He was accompanied by the guard from Butyrka and he was already in a special isolation cell. As it was mentioned earlier, the transfer documents were delivered by the young nurse from the ambulance. Magnitsky said that he had been sick since Thursday, November 12 when he started feeling abdominal pains. During the survey, his abdomen was tense; he felt pains in both the left and right parts of the area which is an obvious symptom of pancreatitis. In the medical records, she read about a prescription to undergo a repeat ultrasound examination. During the survey, Magnitsky had twice a desire to vomit (with no actual vomiting), and she gave him a hygienic bag. Initially, he was calm, agreed to a hospitalization and signed on the medical records. At 19:00 suddenly he started to behave anxiously, started to talk: "Why are you inspecting my bags?" He had three bags and two plastic bags. She replied to him "Nobody is inspecting your bags." He: "No, you see that they are being inspected now!" and seized the bench that was in the isolation cell where he was during the questioning. (Afterwards we observed this isolation cell, and it was apparent that it would be hard to swing the bench inside the cell due to the cell's small size.) He then sat down and covered himself with a plastic bag and said that they want to kill him. It continued for a short period of time and he hit twice the floor with the cot, then put it back and scared and started to hide behind the plastic bag again, which she gave to him. According to her opinion it looked like acute psychosis and delirium of persecution. They called for psychiatric emergency... Medical assistant Sasha made injection under her prescription. Injection was made in her absence, she left entrance department and came to surgery. She didn't see psychiatrists. At 21:20 a female voice called from entrance department and informed that a patient is on the floor in the fourth isolation cell. Medical conditions are questionable. The doctor on duty, Nafikov, ran in to perform resuscitation procedures. The medical assistant Sasha was conducting resuscitation procedures with the help of special equipment (cushion of Ambu).	28-Dec-09	Conclusion by the Moscow Public Oversight Commission on Mr Magnitsky's detention and death naming Mr Gaus	D1806.pdf	
						Cont'd. There were no handcuffs on Magnitsky's hands. At 21:50 heart stopped. ...The reaction and behavior of Dr Gauss raises more questions. Why did she leave Magnitsky unattended in this special cell in a critical medical condition without any medical support? Dr Gauss mentioned that Magnitsky was attempting to vomit repeatedly, which clearly confirms worsening of the pancreatitis. Also she mentioned that Magnitsky's psychosis was short and he came down quite quickly. Why he then was not transferred immediately to the surgery unit?				
Alexander Alexandrovich Semenyov	24-Nov-78	Paramedic	FSIN	A, B	In his role as paramedic, had responsibility for the health and life of Mr Magnitsky upon his arrival to Matrosskaya Tishina and was involved in the subsequent cover up	Mr Semenyov signed a medical report concerning Mr Magnitsky's bodily injuries on the day of his death	Signature of A.A. Semenyov: "On instruction from head of detention center No 77/1 of UFSIN of Russia in Moscow I examined Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich, born 1972, thereby found the following: bodily injuries not identified,."	16-Nov-09	Medical record by Mr Semenyov concerning Mr Magnitsky	D1574.pdf

					Mr Semenyov was present on duty during the last hours of Mr Magnitsky's life at Matrosskaya Tishina and was interviewed on the circumstances after Mr Magnitsky's death by members of the Moscow Public Oversight Commission	"Medical Assistant Alexander Semenov (Sasha), in the presence of Head of Medical Department of Matrosskaya Tishina Ibatulina and Head of SIZO Matrosskaya Tishina Tagiev said that he made injection before psychosis. He made an anti-spasm injection and left the room. Returned back during the psychosis, heard that Magnitsky shouted "Where is my stuff?" Psychosis started at 19:00. Psychiatric emergency was called for examination at 19:30. Emergency team arrived at 8.48 pm. When emergency doctors entered to the special cell, Sergei was sitting on the cot, felt very badly with his eyes unfocused. At the time from 7.30 pm up until the arrival of the Emergency team, he was left unattended without medical support. The supervision was conducted by the guard on duty through the little box in the cell door. The guard also uncuffed Sergei. Unfortunately we have not received any answer to our question: whether it is a normal behavior to leave the patient unattended without any medical supervision, due to the fact that medical superiors did not allow medical assistant Sasha to speak. Sasha was looked scared. On our question what he thinks about all of these, he replied that it is a typical event: psychosis and death."	28-Dec-09	Conclusion by the Moscow Public Oversight Commission on Mr Magnitsky's detention and death naming Mr Semenyov	D1807.pdf	
Iskander Iminovich Nafikov	20-Feb-67	Doctor on duty	FSIN	A, B	In his role as doctor on duty, had responsibility for the health and life of Mr Magnitsky upon his arrival to Matrosskaya Tishina and the subsequent cover up	Mr Nafikov was present on duty during the last hours of Mr Magnitsky's life at Matrosskaya Tishina when instead of the prescribed urgent admission to a hospital, he was placed in an isolation cell where he was found dead by civilian doctors	"Account of Dr Gaus...The doctor on duty, Nafikov, ran in to perform resuscitation procedures. The medical assistant Sasha was conducting resuscitation procedures with the help of special equipment (cushion of Ambu). There were no handcuffs on Magnitsky's hands. At 21:50 heart stopped."	28-Dec-09	Conclusion by the Moscow Public Oversight Commission on Mr Magnitsky's detention and death naming Mr Nafikov	D1808.pdf
Ekaterina Lvovna Vorobieva	22-Oct-62	Nurse, sergeant	FSIN	A, B	In her role as nurse on duty, had responsibility for the health and life of Mr Magnitsky upon his arrival to Matrosskaya Tishina and the subsequent cover up	Ms Vorobieva co-signed the act of death of Mr Magnitsky in Matrosskaya Tishina	E.V. Vorobieva: "Act of Death:...we state the death of: Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovichm born 1972 occurring on 16 November 2009 at 21 hr 50 min. Cause of Death: Toxic shock. Acute cardio-vascular insufficiency. Diagnosis: Gallstones. Acute calculous cholecystitis. Acute pancreatitis. Pancreonecrosis? Acute psychosis. Closed cerebral trauma?"	16-Nov-09	Act of death of Mr Magnitsky signed by Ms Vorobieva	D1578.pdf
Alexei Petrovich Pluzhnikov	17-Jul-61	Head of Building No 4, Captain	FSIN	A, B	In his role as senior officer on duty at the hospital building, co-signed the act of death of Mr Magnitsky	Mr Pluzhnikov co-signed the act of death of Mr Magnitsky	A.V. Pluzhnikov: "Act of Death:...we state the death of: Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovichm born 1972 occurring on 16 November 2009 at 21 hr 50 min. Cause of Death: Toxic shock. Acute cardio-vascular insufficiency. Diagnosis: Gallstones. Acute calculous cholecystitis. Acute pancreatitis. Pancreonecrosis? Acute psychosis. Closed cerebral trauma?"	16-Nov-09	Act of death of Mr Magnitsky signed by Mr Pluzhnikov	D1579.pdf
M.V. Romanova		Controller, intern, private	FSIN	A, B	In her role as an intern of duty, co-signed the act of death of Mr Magnitsky	Ms Romanova co-signed the act of death of Mr Magnitsky alongside her superior on duty Captain Pluzhnikov	M. Romanova: "Act of Death:...we state the death of: Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovichm born 1972 occurring on 16 November 2009 at 21 hr 50 min. Cause of Death: Toxic shock. Acute cardio-vascular insufficiency. Diagnosis: Gallstones. Acute calculous cholecystitis. Acute pancreatitis. Pancreonecrosis? Acute psychosis. Closed cerebral trauma?"	16-Nov-09	Act of death of Mr Magnitsky signed by Mr Pluzhnikov	D1580.pdf
David Vakhtangovich Galustov	23-Mar-77	Head of Surgery unit of FBU IZ-77/1 (Matrosskaya Tishina), Senior Lt.	FSIN	B	In his role as head of detention center's surgery unit, signed Mr Magnitsky's postmortem epicrisis used to cover up the circumstances of his death	The medical diagnosis of Mr Magnitsky issued after death ("Epicrisis") at Matrosskaya Tishina was signed by Mr Galustov as head of surgery	"Postmortem epicrisis. Patient Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich, born 0.04.1972...At 21:15 again examined due to the worsening condition of the patient. During the examination by a doctor psychiatrist, the condition of the patient sharply and suddenly worsened - the patient lost consciousness. Began resuscitation activities... Resuscitation activities for 30 minutes without effect. On 16.11.09 at 21.50 stated the biological death...Head of surgical unit... D.V. Galustov"	16-Nov-09	Postmortem epicrisis of Mr Magnitsky by Mr Galustov	D1543.pdf

Anna Nikolaevna Borzova	3-Apr-84	Expert of the Bureau of Judicial Medical Examination in Moscow	FSIN	B	In her role as a state-appointed post mortem expert, carried out an autopsy of Mr Magnitsky which failed to conduct tests of all internal organs and identify his blood type or examine his medical records from custody	Ms Borzova conducted the autopsy of Mr Magnitsky which failed to test all internal organs, identify blood type and examine his medical records from custody	"Based on the decree issued on 17 November 2009 by senior investigator of the investigative unit for Eastern Administrative District of investigative department of the Investigative Committee of the prosecutor's office of RF for city of Moscow lawyer of 2nd class K.Y. Cherny (SIZO No 1), in the premises of thanatological unit No 11, judicial medical expert of the Bureau of Judicial Medical Examination A.N. Borzova with expert record of less than 1 year, carried out a judicial medical examination of corpse of citizen Magnitsky Sergei Leonidovich, 37 years old"	17-Nov-09	Post mortem conclusion on S.L. Magnitsky signed by Ms Borzova
-------------------------	----------	--	------	---	--	---	---	-----------	---